#### A

## COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR

OF THE

# MODERN ARYAN LANGUAGES OF INDIA.

## COMPARATIVE GRAMMAFR

of the )

## MODERN ARYAN LANGUAGES

## OF INDIA; &

TO WIT,

HINDI, PANJABI, SINDHI, GUJARATI, MARATHI, ORIYA AND BANGALI.

ВX

#### JOHN BEAMES,

BENGAL CIVIL SERVICE,

PELLOW OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,

MEMBER OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, THE GERMAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY,

ETC., ETC.

VOL. II.

THE NOUN AND PRONOUN.



LONDON:

HERTFORD: STEPHEN AUSTIN AND BONS, PRINTERS.

#### PREFACE.

Two years and a half have elapsed since I sent home my First Volume. This Second Volume would have been ready sooner but for the constant interruptions to which I have been subjected. The pressure of official work has largely increased, and, at one time, when I was called on to take charge of the administration of the Province of Orissa, was so heavy as to compel me to lay aside all literary work for six months.

To this cause must also be ascribed much of the disjointed and unfinished appearance of some parts of the work, for which I must ask the reader's indulgence.

JOHN BEAMES.

Cuttack, Orissa, April, 1874.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS.

#### BOOK II.—THE NOUN AND PRONOUN.

#### CHAPTER I.—FORMATION OF THE STEM.

				1	PAGI
§ 1.	Structure of the noun				1
§ 2.	Suffixes				3
§ 3.	Stems in A				4
§ 4.	Adjectives formed from A-stems				12
§ 5.	Exceptions				14
§ 6.	Stems in -NA and -ANA				15
§ 7.	Stems in -A preceded by a semivowel .				20
§ 8.	Stems in -M $\Lambda$				25
§ 9.	The $K\Lambda$ - suffix and its ramifications .				26
§ 10.	Stems in -TRA				45
§ 11.	Treatment of feminine stems in -A .				45
§ 12.	Group of stems in the palatal and labial short v	owel	s		<b>52</b>
§ 13.	The long vowels of those organs				55
§ 14.	Stems in $R$				55
§ 15.	Dissyllabic and consonantal suffixes .				<b>5</b> 9
§ 16.	Stems of uncertain origin or partial application				61
§ 17.	Secondary stems—formation of abstract nouns				71
§§ 18.	19. The same—possessives and attributives				83
§§ 20-	-22. The same, continued			. 1	106
§ 23.	Stems with double suffixes			1	14
S 24.	Formation of diminutives			. 1	15

	PAGE
§ 25. Compound nouns	123
§ 26. Numerals—cardinals	. 130
§ 27. Numerals—ordinals	142
§ 28. Other numerals	. 144
CHAPTER II.—GENDER.	
§ 29. Natural and grammatical gender	146
§ 30. Use of gender in the seven languages	147
§ 31. Typical terminations of the adjective	150
§ 32. Terminations of the masculine	151
§ 33. Terminations of the feminine	159
§ 34. Terminations of the neuter	161
§ 35. Formation of feminines from masculines	163
§ 36. Gender of words ending in consonants	171
§ 37. Decay of Gender—its cause in Bengali and Oriya .	177
CHAPTER III.—Declension.	
§ 38. Inflection	181
§ 39. Preparation of the stem in Oriya and Bengali	183
§ 40. The same in Hindi and Panjabi	186
§ 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi and Sindhi	187
§ 42. Table showing terminations of the stem	195
§ 43. Formation of the plural in the uniform languages .	198
§ 44. Formation of the plural in the multiform languages.	202
§ 45. Origin of the plural forms	203
§ 46. Origin and analysis of the singular oblique forms .	209
§ 47. Oblique forms of the plural	218
§ 48. Remnants of the synthetical system in other cases .	222
§ 49. Absence of oblique and plural forms from certain languag	
§ 50. Internal modifications of the stem in Marathi	231
§§ 51. 52. Quasi-synthetical forms of some cases	233

		TABLE	<b>of</b>	COL	ITEI	TS.						ix
<b>§ 53.</b>	Adjectives											PAGE 239
U	Numerals .											243
•	Case-affixes											248
•	The Objective											252
	The Instrumen	tal										262
§ 58.	The Ablative											273
U	The Genitive	•										275
•	The Locative											<b>2</b> 91
§§ 61.	62. Postpositio	ons										295
	Сна	PTER IV	V	-Тн	вP	RON	OUI	٧.				
	<b>311.1.</b>		•					•				
~	Pronoun of the	-	rson	sing	gulai	r,						301
§ 64.	Plural of the sa	ıme	•									307
•	The second per	_			-	ıral		•				309
•	Genitive of the		-		ıs		•				٠	31 <b>1</b>
•	Pronoun of the	_	$\mathbf{crso}$	n								314
•	The Demonstra	tive									•	316
U	The Relative	٠		•		•		•		•		320
•	The Correlative		•				•				•	322
•	The Interrogat							•				322
•	The Indefinite		•									326
	The Reciprocal									•		328
-	Adjectival pror		•						٠		٠	331
•	Pronominal suf							٠				334
•	General scheme		_	nour	ıs aı	ıd p	rono	omin	al a	dver	bs	335
•	Miscellaneous p	•	s	•		•		•		٠		340
-	Gipsy pronoun		•		٠		•		•			344
§§ 79.	. 80. Concludin	g remar	ks									346

#### ERRATA.

Page 11, line 7, for nadû read nadû, i.e. the mark on the final a is the accent, not the long vowel; and so in the six words which follow.

Page 25, line 21, for तुहीनाम read तुही नाम.

Page 26, line 14, for हिवं read हिंव.

Page 30, line 5, for मोंड read भाडें.

Page 39, line 6, for माम read माम्.

Page 53, line 10, for चंडना read छंडना.

Page 53, line 11, for चोडना read छोडना.

Page 58, line 27, for jájarú read jágarú.

Page 65, line 23, for घुजाना read घुलाना.

Page 70, line 8, for बिक्सा read बिक्सा.

Page 70, line 14, dele "a" after "with."

Page 70, line 19, for आामण read आमणी.

Page 78, line 9, for B. read Pr.

Page 81, line 3 from bottom, for derivatives read desideratives.

Page 83, line 1, for gaurasa read ganrasa.

Page 93, line 8, for ज्ञतासु read क्रपासु.

Page 95, line 20, for ষ্ট read ষ্ট.

Page 100, line 19, for quarrel read quarrelsome.

Page 113, line 18, for it read them.

Page 122, line 7, transfer the comma from after 'mute' to after 'consonant.'

'Page 128, line 5, for marian read marian.

Page 128, line 5, for तंगा read टंग.

Page 128, line 20, for खांबतांग्या read खामटांग्या.

Page 128, line 20, for तांग read टांग.

Page 132, line 17, in "so-much-used" dele the first hyphen.

xii Errata.

Page 141, line 3, for "fifty-three" read "fifty-five."

Page 150, line 1, for घोडं read घोडें.

Page 154, line 1, after 'except' insert M.

Page 161, line 17, for হাত্ৰ read জন্ত্ৰ.

Page 179, line 22, for future read past.

Page 201, line 7, for ই সরাজ read ই সরাজ.

Page 201, line 7, for ফিরিস্থী read ফিরিস্থী.

Page 201, line 4 from bottom, for these read those.

Page 202, line 23, for दुडांग्री read दुंडांग्री.

Page 212, line 11, for काली read कालि ॥

Page 219, line 8, for दृवान read द्रवान.

Page 254, line 3 from bottom, for साथ read हाथ.

Page 267, line 13, for दुइ read दह.

Page 269, line 6, for नही read गही.

Page 275, line 13, for युद्द read युध.

Page 275, line 20, for जारी read जोरी.

Page 293, line 18, for termination read combination.

Page 293, line 3 from bottom, for सञ्चार read मञ्चार.

Page 295, line 23, for हत्तीं read हत्तींत्.

Page 297, line 22, for तीचे read पीचे.

Page 316, line 4, for महिये read गहिये.

Page 332, line 11, for you read yore.

#### COMPARATIVE GRAMMAR

OF THE

### MODERN ARYAN LANGUAGES.

#### OF INDIA.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### FORMATION OF THE STEM.

CONTENTS.—§ 1. Structure of the Noun.—§ 2. Suffixes.—§ 3. Stems in -A.—§ 4. Adjectives Formed from A-stems.—§ 5. Exceptions —§ 6. Stems in -NA, and -ANA.—§ 7. Stems in -A preceded by a Semivowel.—§ 8. Stems in -MA.—§ 9. The KA-suffix and its Ramifications.—§ 10. Stems in -TRA.—§ 11. Treatment of Feminine Stems in -A.—§ 12. Group of Stems in the Palatal and Labial Short Vowels.—§ 13. The Long Vowels of those Organs. —§ 14. Stems in R.—§ 15. Dissyllabic and Consonantal Suffixes.—§ 16. Stems of Uncertain Origin or Partial Application.—§ 17. Secondary Stems.—Formation of Abstract Nouns.—§ 18, 19.—The Same—Possessives and Attributives.—§ 20. 22. The Same.—§ 23. Stems with Double Suffixes.—§ 24. Formation of Diminutives.—§ 25. Compound Nouns.—§ 26. Numerals—Cardinals.—§ 27. Numerals—Ordinals.—§ 28. Other Numerals.

§ 1. Nouns are divided into two classes: those which name objects, and are called appellatives, or in the older phraseology substantives; and those which describe the qualities of objects, and are called attributives, or in the older phraseology adjectives. Both classes will be considered in this Chapter.

The noun as employed in Sanskrit contains three divisions—the root, the suffix, and the termination; the root and suffix taken together constitute the stem, the whole three combined form the perfect noun fitted for use in speaking or writing.

Vol. II.

Thus naras, "a man," consists of three parts, nar + a + s, where nar is the root, a the suffix, s the termination; nar + a = nara is the stem.

The terminations vary with every relation or connexion of the noun, and their variations constitute what are called cases. In the modern languages the terminations of the Sanskrit noun no longer exist in their original form; in some of the seven languages no trace of them is perceptible in the singular, and only weakened and half-obliterated traces remain in the plural; in none of the languages are more than slight and doubtful indications of their presence still observable. The variations of case are expressed in the modern languages by particles placed after the stem, just as in modern European languages they are expressed by particles placed before the stem. English declension, fish, of fish, to fish, stands in the same relation to the Gothic fisks, fiskis, fiska; and French champ, de champ, à champ, in the same relation to Latin campus, campicampo; as Hindi nar, nar kâ, nar ko, does to Sanskrit naras, narasya, narâya.

The first point then to be considered is the formation of the stem, and in this inquiry our attention is entirely concentrated on the final syllable or suffix. When treating, in the First Book, of Phonetic Changes, I exhibited, as far as the materials at my command permitted, those alterations and corruptions which have operated to change the form of the root; and the next step is to discuss the processes by which the stem, consisting of the combination of root and suffix, has been built up in its manifold developments.

Sanskrit grammarians divide nominal stems into two classes. They do not admit the possibility of a noun being a primitive word, derived from nothing else, but hold that all the words in their language are derived from verbal roots (called dhâtu), by additions and changes of various kinds. The first class, therefore, consists of those nouns derived from the dhâtu directly:

these they call *kridanta*; the second, of those derived immediately from other nouns: these they name *taddhita*. I shall use for *kridanta* the term "primary stem"; for *taddhita*, "secondary stem."

§ 2. Derivation is effected by the addition to the dhâtu, or root, of certain syllables called pratyaya, or suffix. These suffixes in many cases not merely add a vowel or syllable to the root, but also effect some change in the root itself, either by lengthening the vowel, eliding a final consonant, or in some other way. In order to indicate the effect the suffix has on the root, the Indian grammarians have prefixed or added to each suffix certain letters, which serve as a sort of memoria technica. पाक "cooking," would be described as पच + घन, that is, derived from the root पच "to cook" by the suffix घन; the effect of this suffix is principally to add a final 31 to the root, but it also lengthens the root-vowel, and this effect is indicated by the letter ज; it moreover changes a final palatal into a guttural, and this is denoted by the \u2204. The number of pratyayas is very great, the grammarians having multiplied them without stint, in order to give expression to the large mass of minute distinctions with which they have encumbered their writings. As, however, we are not discussing Sanskrit grammar, but only the simpler grammar of its modern descendants, it will not be necessary to consider all these suffixes in detail. They may be conveniently thrown into groups according to the vowel or syllables which they actually add to the root, the technical letters being referred to only when the distinction which they mark is of importance in its bearing on the forms of the spoken languages. Among the kridantas or primary stems the Indian grammarians include participles and verbal forms of all kinds, as well as abstract nouns. It will, however, be more in accordance with othe principles of linguistic science to reserve the consideration of participles and all verbal formations till the chapters on the Verb are reached, and to discuss in this place merely those suffixes which form substantives and adjectives.

Suffixes are monosyllabic or dissyllabic. Out of the vast mass of suffixes mentioned by the Sanskrit grammarians, many may be dismissed as unconnected with the present subject, inasmuch as the stems formed by them were so formed before the rise of the modern languages, and the question of their construction is a matter not of modern, but of ancient, grammar. Our seven languages took over these words ready made, and, as far as we are concerned therefore, they may be regarded as primitive words. Only such suffixes will here be introduced as have left traces in the speech of the present times; and if it be necessary to speak of certain of them which are of purely Sanskrit use, it is merely because of their bearing on phonetic or structural processes of recent introduction.

§ 3. Stems in -a. The nouns of this class are of all three genders, and make in the nominative case of Skr., masc. -as, fem.  $-\hat{a}$ , neut. -am. The s of the masc. nom., however, is not permanent; before a word beginning with a sonant letter, it, together with the a of the stem, changes into o. Inasmuch as the sonant letters in Sanskrit are more numerous than the surds, the form of the nominative case in -o was much more frequently heard than any other; and the vulgar, who are not careful of minute grammatical distinctions, appear even in the earliest times to have used the termination in -o to the ex-Thus Vararuchi (v. 1) gives it as a clusion of all others. general rule that o is substituted for su (= as), the technical name of the nom. sing. ending, in all words whose base ends in a, as vachchho, vasaho, puriso, for vrikshas, vrishabhas, purushas. He considers that the a of the suffix has been elided, though it is more probable that the whole termination -as changed into -o through the intermediate form -ak, the labial vowel owing its origin to the involuntary contraction of the lips during the passing of the soft breathing indicated by the visarga. In Magadhi Prakrit the nom. is sometimes formed in -e, and in Apabhransa in -u.

In more recent times two separate sets of stems developed themselves out of this a-stem. The first probably ended in u in all the languages down to about the fourteenth century; since then it has ended in -a in all but Sindhi, which still retains the termination in -u. In old Hindi and Marathi the nominative in u is distinctly traceable, and in the former is even lengthened to  $\hat{u}$  at the end of a verse where the metre requires a long syllable. This is especially the case in Tulsi Das's Ramayan, a work which exhibits a wide range of popular forms and phrases. Thus we have श्रारीक, बीक, कपाक, प्रचाक, at the ends of rhyming lines for भ्रीव, बीव, in modern H. श्रीर बीर, sarir, bir. The second ended in o, which in the broad pronunciation of old Hindi sounds au, though the form in o is often used by the earlier poets; and there is no distinction between the two: it is merely a matter of manuscripts, some using one, some the other form. This o softened subsequently still further into a, in all but G. and S., which still retain o.

Concerning the cause of the parallel existence of the two forms there has hitherto been much doubt. Dr. Trumpp considers it a mere matter of accident, and thinks the presence of one form or the other is due to habit and daily usage. Dr. Hoernle, however, holds, and with justice, that this reasoning is insufficient, and would ascribe all words which exhibit the  $\hat{a} = o$  termination to the forms of nouns with an added  $\overline{a}$ , which are extremely common in Prakrit. To this opinion I in the main subscribe. I think myself fortunate in this second volume

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Eine Regel scheint bei dieser Separation nicht vorgewaltet zu haben, wenigstens habe ich bis jetzt noch keine entdecken können, sondern der tagtagliche Gebrauch scheint sich füß die eine oder andere Endung entschieden zu haben."—Zeitsch. d. D.M.G. vol. xvi. p. 131.

to have hints from other and better scholars than myself, which were wanting when the First Volume was written.

There is, however, one other possible way of accounting for the peculiarity. This is the influence of the accent.¹ It is vigorously denied by some that the Sanskrit accent has persisted until modern times; but it may be urged that the idea is a new one, not altogether without support in facts, nor in any way à priori impossible, and it is not quite fair to dismiss it contemptuously without due consideration.² The influence of the accent is naturally confined to words of the early Tadbhava class, namely to words which have come down uninterruptedly from those times when Sanskrit was spoken, and whose form depends on the ear, not on the eye. This is all that is claimed for it: it is not pretended that the Sanskrit accent has been preserved in words resuscitated from the written language by learned men, centuries after it had ceased to be spoken.

With these reservations, the reader is invited to examine the lists hereinafter given, given not in a spirit of dogmatism, but merely because I cannot satisfy myself in rejecting accentual influence in them, till I am met by something more convincing than sneers; though in the desire to arrive at the truth, which is the only object which these researches can possibly have for one in my position, I shall be ready to throw down my weapons and acknowledge myself beaten directly I feel myself fairly convinced.

In any case, whether the influence of the accent be admitted or rejected, the collection of words now given will be useful as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Vol. I. Ch. I. § 6, pp. 17 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unfortunately little is known of the spoken accent in Skr. In the following pages, the rules laid down in Bopp's Vergleichendes Accentuationssystem have been my guide; but perhaps since that was written advances have been made by European writers. These, in my isolated position in the depths of an Indian province, I have no means of obtaining. I am, however, quite conscious of the unfavourable effect isolation has on this, and all other parts of my work, and only wish my critics would bear it in mind sometimes.

affording instances of the development of the -a suffix, whatever be thought of the cause of it. Those who hold that the termination  $\hat{a}=o$  is due to the Prakrit (and at times also Sanskrit) suffix as must explain to us why this as, producing  $\hat{a}=o$ , has been so capriciously employed, why, in fact, Skr. Wie "lip," should not have taken a as and become Pr. Wiew, H. Wiet, just as much as, according to them, Wes "egg," took as and became wish, with a is asserted, all nouns might and did take this as, why do not all nouns of this stem end in the moderns in  $\hat{a}=o$ , why do some end in a=u?

(1). Barytones.—The Sanskrit words in the following list are all accented on the penultimate; they are all primary stems formed by the addition to the verbal root of one or other of the simple suffixes, such as **uq**, **uq**, **uq**, and the like: they are all therefore fair cases in point.

Skr. स्रोष्ठ "lip," Pr. स्रोट्ठं, H. श्रींठ, P. होंठ, G. होट, स्रोठ, M. श्रींठ, O. स्रोट.

Skr. कार्ण "ear," Pr. कास्को, H. कान, P. कञ्च, G. M. B. O. कान, S. कनु. Skr. काष्ट "wood," H. काठ, and so in all, but S. काठु.

Skr. कच "armpit," Pr. कक्द्यो, H. कांख, P. कच्छ, G. M. B. O. काख, S. कक्

Skr. कोश "kos," H. कोस, P. कोह, the rest कोस, S. कोइ.

Skr. क्लेग्न् "trouble," H. क्लेस, P. कळेस, M. किलेस, किलोस, S. किलेसु.

Skr. च्या "moment," H. खन, छन, छिन, the rest खण, S. खिया (fem.).

Skr. गर्भ "womb," H. गर्भ, गाभ, P. id., and गञ्भ, M. G. गाभ, S. गभ, गर्भ.

Skr. ग्राम "village," II. गांव, G. गाम, M. गांव, B. O. गां, S. गामु, गांउ.

Skr. जाल "net," H. जाल, so in all, but G. जाळं, जार्, S. जार.

Skr, तान "tone," H. तान, so in all, G. ताण, S. तानु.

Skr. तेब "oil," H. तेस, so in all, S. तेसु.

Skr. दन्त "tooth," H. दांत, so in all, P. दंत, S. खंदु.

Skr. दिन "day," H. दिन, so in all, S. डिग्रु.

Skr. देश "country," H. देस, in the rest देश, S. डेस्.

Skr. पाश "noose," II. पास, फांस, G. and the rest पाश, P. पाइ, S. फाड़ी, फासी.

Skr. फार "ploughshare," H. फार, and so in all, but P. फळ, S. फार.

Skr. मार्ग "road," II. मग, मांग, in the rest माग, S. मागु.

Skr. लोक "world," H. लोग ("people"), in the rest लोक, S. लोकु, लोगु.

Skr. वंश "bamboo," H. बांस, बांश and so in all, S. बांसु.

Skr. वर "banian-tree," II. बढ़, so in all, M. O. वर, S. बढ़ु.

Skr. विष "poison," H. बिख, B. विष, M. G. id. and विख, P. बिख, बिस, विह, S. विख and बिझ.

Instances might be multiplied to a large extent, but the above will suffice to show that the rule holds good in a vast majority of instances, Sindhi having uniformly u, the other languages a, though Gujarati now and then retains u. This final short a is not pronounced at all in Hindi, Panjabi, Marathi, or Gujarati, and very slightly in Bengali and Oriya. We hear  $j\hat{a}l$ ,  $t\hat{a}n$ , tel,  $d\hat{a}nt$ , and so on, not  $j\hat{a}la$ ; words of this class may, for practical purposes, be regarded as ending in consonants, except in M. and G., where it is necessary, as will be shown hereafter, to bear in mind the existence of the final mute a, in order to effect the necessary changes of the base in the oblique cases of the singular.

(2). Oxytones.—The list which follows comprises, like that which precedes, none but primary stems formed by simple suffixes, as স্বৰ, ঘৰ and the like; but the words which it contains are all accented on the last syllable, and accordingly it will be seen that they all end in the modern languages in a or o.

Skr. आएड "egg," II. ग्रंडा, P. id., B. श्रांडा, O. id., S. श्रानी, M. and G. are exceptions, M. श्रंड, G. इंड.

Skr. कीट "worm," H. कीडा, and so in all, G. and S. कीडी.

Skr. चुर "knife," H. कुरा, and so in all, G. and S. कुरो. (In all the fem. कुरी is more common.)

Skr. चेट "slave," H. चेडा, चेला, so in all, G. and S. चली.

Skr. चूर्ण "dust," H. चूरा ("powder"), so in all, G. and S. चूरी, M. and B. also च्र.

Skr. चूर्ण "dust," H. चूना ("lime"), P. id., M. चुना, चूणा, B. O. चुना, B. also चुन, G. and S. चूनी, चूणो.

Skr. दीप "candle," II. दिपा, O. B. id., P. दीत्रा, दिवा, M. दिवा, G. दीवो, S. ज़िश्रो.

Skr. ध्वज "flag," H. धजा, P. id., G. धजी.

Skr. नास "stream," H. नासा, and so in all, G. नाळो, and नाळुं, S.

Skr. फ्रा "snake's hood," H. फ्रा , M. B. O. id., G. फ्रा , S. फ्रा (fem.).

Skr. वत्स "young," II. बचा, बचा, and so in all, B. बाचा, G. बची. S. ब्चो.

Skr. भन्न "spear," H. भाला, P. M. O. id., G. and S. भाली.

Skr. ग्रांन "brother-in-law," H. साना, P. M. साळा, B. O. श्ना, G. and S. सानो, G. also साळो.

Skr. स्त्रन्थ "shoulder," II. कांधा, P. कंधा, M. खांदा, O. B. कांधा, G. S. कांधो.

Skr. साम "post," H. खंभा, P. B. O. id.

Skr. मूष "mouse," H. मूसा, P. B. id., O. मूषा.

Skr. वर्ष "rain," H. बर्सा, बर्खा, O. बर्षा, B. M. id., G. वर्षी.

The Hindi in this, as in so many other instances, vindicates its right to be considered the leading language of the group, by the fidelity with which it adheres to rule. The other languages are less faithful to the long vowel representing the oxytone, than they are to the short vowel derived from the barytone stems. Marathi especially diverges in this respect, but the divergence is probably due, as will be seen hereafter, to its practice in modifying the final vowel of the stem in the oblique cases.

Bopp's rule<sup>1</sup> for stems formed by the addition of simple a is that they carry the accent on the root-syllable in most cases. He excepts, however, a class of abstract stems formed from roots in i and i, which allow the accent to sink down to the final syllable. They are as follows:

'Skr. चि चय "destruction," H. क्य, P. क्रे, S. क्रोच्रो, G. खे, M. खे. Not used in B. and O. except as Tatsama.

Skr. चि चय "heap." In compounds H. संचै, M. चय, संचय, etc.

Skr. जि जय "victory." In all जय or जै.

Skr. सि स्वय "smiling." Not in use.

Skr. हिं हय "horse." हय and है in the old poets; often with an additional syllable हें वर in Chand.

Skr. क्री क्रय "buying." In compounds H. बिक्री (विक्रय), B. O. id., P. बिक्ररी, S. विकिरो.

Skr. भो भय "fear," 11. भय, B. O. id., P. भै, S. भइ and भउ, M. G. भय.

Skr. ली लय "ahode." In the compounds with आलय, as below.

Skr. देवालय "temple," H. देवल, P. देवाला, S. देविली, G. देवळ, M. देजळ, O. id., B. देवल.

Skr. श्रुश्रालय "father-in-law's house," H. सुसर्गल, M. सासरें.

Skr. श्रि श्रय "refuge." In the compound श्राश्रय, H. श्रासरा, P. id., M. श्राश्रा, B. O. id., (i. श्रासरी, S. श्रासर, श्रासिरी.

In all these words the inherent weakness of the \(\mathbf{q}\) has led to the corruption of the two syllables of the Skr. into one in such words as \(\delta s r \alpha\), devala, while in others it has been practically softened into a diphthong in combination with the preceding consonant. It may also be conjectured that though the learned accentuated the last syllable of stems of this small class, the masses did not at any time observe this distinction, but treated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vergl. Accentuationssystem, § 115.

them as barytones, and the final vowel has therefore not been preserved.

There is also a rule of a somewhat intricate character, which declares that stems of this form, when simple appellatives, which, according to their original meaning, are nouns of agency, bear the accent on the last syllable. Under this head are such words as

```
nadd, "river," or the sounder (root नृद् "to sound").

plavd, "ship," or the swimmer (root मु "to float").

chord, "thief," or the stealer (root नृर् "to steal").

kard, "hand," or the maker (root नृ "to do").

maghd, "cloud," or the wetter (root मिइ "mingere").

devd, "god," or the shiner (root दिव "to shine").
```

It is obvious, however, that nouns of this class may be formed to any extent by a little exercise of the imagination. Every noun in fact formed by the suffixes ach, ghan, or ap and the like, which merely add a vowel to the root, might be classed as a nomen agentis, and expected to be an oxytone. There is no reason why gárbha, "womb," should not be considered as a noun of the agent, meaning the container (root us "to hold"), or kárna, "the ear," as the piercer (root कर्ण "to pierce"), or risha, "poison," as the percader, from its action in stealthily creeping into the blood (root विष् "to penetrate"); and as a matter of fact the grammarians do so regard them all. rule appears to be too subtle for general practical use, and the following list of oxytones of this class, mostly formed with चच. which is said specially to form agents, will show that in a majority of instances the modern languages have not regarded these words as oxytones.

```
Skr. बर "hand," H. बर, and so in all, S. बाब.
Skr. बद "river," H. बद, M. id., perhaps S. बड़ी "tube," but also बड़.
Skr. संघ "cloud," H. संघ, and so in all, S. संघ.
Skr. सोर "thief," H. चोर, and so in all, S. चोड़.
```

Skr. देव "god," H. देव, देउ, so in all, S. डेड and देउ. (In Muhammadan Sindh it means "a devil.")

Skr. दंश "gadfly" (the biter), H. दंश, दंख, and so in all, S. इंगु "the bite of an insect or reptile."

Skr. वर "bridegroom," (he who chooses the wife), H. बर, P. B. O. id., M. G. वर, S. वर्.

§ 4. Under this rule is included a large number of adjectives which are oxytone in Sanskrit, and end with long  $\hat{a}$  or o in the moderns. It may, however, be observed, that the majority of adjectives from a-stems end in a or o in the masculines, except in B. and O., where the habitual neglect of quantity has led to the final vowel being shortened. The rule therefore hardly covers all the examples, and is perhaps not meant to do so, as Bopp merely includes adjectives with the meaning of the present participle. We may suppose that the rule, once established for this class of adjectives, gradually extended itself to the others also, on account of the facility which the variety of terminations so obtained afforded for making the distinctions of gender. In those languages which pay little or no attention to gender, as the Bengali and Oriva, the distinction was not needed, and we hear in O. such phrases as boro bhâi, "eldest brother," and boro bhauni, "eldest sister."

Examples of adjectives—(o) indicates oxytone, (b) barytone adjectives:

Skr. মুক্ছ (b) "clear, good," H. মুক্ছা, P. id., G. মুক্ছা, S. মুক্ছা ("white"), B. O. মুক্ছ

Skr. श्रन्थ (0) "blind," H. ग्रंथा, P. ग्रहहा, G. ग्रांथको, M. ग्रंथका, B. 0. ग्रन्थ, S. ग्रंथो.

Skr. बार्च (b) "half," H. श्राधा, P. बाह्य, G. श्राध, बार्धो, S. श्रधु, M. बार्धा, B. श्राधा, O. श्रधा, श्रधे.

<sup>1</sup> Used as a substantive.

Skr. उञ्च (0) "high," H. जंचा, P. उञ्चा, S. जंचो, G. जंचो, M. उंच, B. O. id.

Skr. काल (b) "black," H. काला, P. M. काळा, G. काळो, B. काल, काला, O. कळा.

Skr. काण (0) "one-eyed," II. काना, P. M. काणा, G. S. काणो, B. काणा, O. काण

Skr. गंभीर (0) "deep," H. गहिरा, गहरा, and so in all, O. गहिर. The Tatsama गंभीर is also in use in all.

Skr. गाढ (o) "intense," 1 H. गाढा, P. id., G. गाढी, M. B. O. गाढ.

Skr. गौर (b) "pale," H. गोरा, P.id., S. G. गोरो, M. (dimin.) गोरटा, O. गोरा, B. गोर.

Skr. घन (0) "dense," H. घना, P. घणा, S. G. घणो (many, much), M. घण, O. B. घन.

Skr. कठिन (0) "hard," H. कठिन, O. B. id., P. कठन, ॰ण, G. कठण, M. कठोण, O. B. कठिन, S. कटन्.

Skr. द्शिण् (b) "right" (hand), H. दहिना, O. डाहाण्, B. डाइन.

Skr. बिंधर् (o) "deaf," H. बहरा, बिंहरा, M. id., G. बेहेरो, S. बोडो, B. बेहरा, O. बहिरा.

Skr. शुष्क (ø) "dry," H. सूखा, P. सुक्का, G. सुको, M. सुका, S. सुको, O. सुखिला, B. शुका.

There are very many other instances. Hindi preserves the long vowel which naturally arises from oxytones, and lengthens the vowel in many cases in barytones; in a few Tatsamas like at the long vowel is not taken. The accent is thus virtually neglected, and in this respect Hindi is followed generally by P. S. and G. Marathi halts between two opinions, sometimes taking the short vowel, sometimes the long; the latter, however, appears to be the more common of the two, as might be expected in a gender-ridden language like this. More remarks about the adjectives will be found scattered amongst the various stems.

<sup>1</sup> Deep (colour), strong (infusion of a drug), thick (cloth), etc.

- § 5. The influence of the accent is only claimed for the early Tadbhava class, and it is natural therefore that there should be many instances in which it does not apply. Seeing that there are among the late Tadbhavas many which approach closely in point of antiquity to the latest of the early Tadbhavas, the line is hard to draw. We merely give examples therefore of these exceptions, and wish for explanation as to why, if the stems in \$a-o\$ are due to the addition of \$\frac{1}{3}\$, that suffix has not been used here also. Many of the words now given appear, from their phonetic structure, to be early Tadbhavas, as for instance \$\frac{1}{3}\$, which exhibits the Prakrit process of assimilation from \$\frac{1}{3}\$ into \$\frac{1}{3}\$ and the modern further elimination of the first element of the nexus and compensatory lengthening of the preceding vowel.
- (1). Of Sanskrit oxytones which take the short or barytone ending in the moderns, the following are some of the more prominent examples:

Skr. सिंह "lion," H. सिंघ, P. id., the rest spell सिंह but pronounce singh, S. both सिंघो and शींक्र.

Skr. ऋच "bear," H. रोक्, G. id., M. रोस, P. रिक्क, S. रिक्क, O. (rare) रिक.

Skr. गृह "house," H. घर, and so in all, S. घर, O.-H. गेह, M. id.

Skr. वर्ष "year," H. बर्स, and so in all, but S. वर्ह्य.

Skr. पर्ण "leaf," H. पान (betel), and so in all, S. पान्.

Skr. भाव "nature," H. भाव, भात्रो, and so in all (णाउ).

Skr. दास "slave," H. दास, and so in all, S. दास.

Skr. दुग्ध "milk," H. दूध, and so in all, S. डोधि.

These words are all very common words in constant daily use, and as such should, according to the क theory, have taken that suffix in Prakrit, and consequently end in â-o in the moderns. Some of them have an alternative form in â, as पर्ण, which has पद्मा "a leaf;" वर्ष, which has बरसा and बरसा in

the sense of "rain;" the meanings of **पान** and **बरस** are secondary or restricted, as compared with **पना** and **बरसा**, and they are perhaps late Tadbhavas in the former, but early Tadbhavas in the latter sense.

(2). Barytones in Sanskrit, but taking the long vowel in the moderns, are the following:

Skr. जोह "iron," H. जोहा, P. B. id., but M. G. O. जोह (O. also जुहा), S. जोड़.

Skr. सुवर्ण "gold," H. सोना, P. B. O. id., but M. सोनं, G. S. सोनु.

I cannot find many undoubted examples of this class, and even those that do occur do not run through the whole seven languages, which seem as a general habit to be more faithful to the barytone accent, especially in substantives, than they are to the oxytone, or, if we accept the a theory, to be derived from words which did not take the a termination, more frequently than from those which did.

§ 6. Stems in -na and -ana. The former of these is in use only in a very small class of words, all of which, with one exception, are oxytone in Sanskrit. The words are—

```
yajná from yaj, "to sacrifice."

praśná ,, prachh, "to ask."

yatná ,, yat, "to strive,"

viśná ,, richh, "to shine."

and fem. yáchná ,, yách, "to ask."

trishná ,, trish, "to thirst."
```

The exception is swapna from shwap, "to sleep," which, however, the grammarians derive by the suffix नन, while the others are formed by नङ.

In the modern languages these words, many of which are much corrupted, take the under-mentioned forms:

Skr. यञ्च ",sacrifice," Old-H. जजन, जग्ग, जग्ग, H. जाग, P. जग, M. B. O. जाग, S. जग्.

Skr. यत "effort," H. जतन, P. M. G. id., S. जतन्.

Skr. মম, "question." Not in use, except as a rare Tatsama.

Skr. विश्व "shining, light," H. बिहान ("dawn"), बिहनसार or भिनसार, M. भिंबिर्टे, S. भिंभास, भिंभिका. (The M. and S. are compounds, of which the first part represents विश्व.)

Skr. स्वप्न "dream," Old-H. सुपन, सोपन, P. सुफन, सुफना, S. सुपनो, G. सुपन.

In these words the accent is entirely neglected; strangely the only word in which Panjabi and Sindhi exhibit oxytone terminations is precisely that one which is barytone in Sanskrit. It will be more convenient to take the feminines of this and all the other -a stems together later on.

The suffix -ana is one of the most commonly used of all. It is of all three genders, and in the large majority of instances is barytone, carrying the accent on the root-syllable. A few cases exist in which the first syllable of the suffix bears the accent, and some in which it is oxytone, but the rule is that they should be barytone.

The masculine contains simple appellatives whose original meaning was that of the agent. They are not much used in the modern speech, and when they are, occur as Tatsamas, or as very slightly changed Tadbhavas. Being mostly simple words with strong consonants, they offer no opportunity for phonetic changes, and may therefore, in spite of their identity with the Skr. form, be ancient words. I give a short list, as there is not much to be learnt from them, beyond the fact, important to the present portion of our inquiry, that they take in every case the barytone form.

Skr. जन्दन "son" (the delighter), H. नंदन, and so in all. Chiefly used in poetry.

Skr. गायन "singer," H. गायन, and so in all. Chiefly used in poetry. Skr. दर्पेस "mirror" (the flatterer), H. दर्पेन, and so in all, except S. Skr. दहन "fire" (the burner), H. दहन, and so in all.

Skr. दश्रन "tooth" (the biter), H. दश्रन, and so in all, except S. Poetic.

Skr. तर्ण "raft" (the crosser), H. तर्न, and so in all, except S. Poetic.

Skr. किर्ण "ray" (the shiner), H. किर्न, and so in all, S. किरिणि.

Skr. स्रोदन "boiled rice" (the moistener), H. स्रोदन, and so in all, except S. Poetic.

Three adjectives, oxytone:

Skr. क्रोधन "angry," H. क्रोधन (rare).

Skr. रोषण "angry," II. रोषन (rare), S. ब्रिगो comes from another stem.

Skr. रोधन "obstructive," II. रोधन (rare).

Far more widely used; and in every sense more important, is the neuter form. Two classes must be here distinguished: the first, simple appellatives, or names of objects or actions; the second, abstract nouns which have the meaning of the performance of an action, or the being in a state. The latter are in fact the infinitives of verbs.

The first class is always barytone, both in Sanskrit and the moderns.

Skr. चाङ्गन, "courtyard," H. चांगन, चांगन, P. B. O. id., G. चांगणु, S. चाङ्गणु.

Skr. काञ्चन "gold," H. कांचन, and so in all but S.

Skr. चन्द्रन "sandal-wood," H. चंद्रन, in all, B. संदत्त.

Skr. जीवन "life," H. जीवन, so in all, P. जीव, S. जीउ.

Skr. गान "song," H. गान, so in all.

Skr. स्तान "bath," H. स्तान, असनान, P. B. O. id., G. स्तान and नहाण,

M. नहाण, नाहण, S. सनान्.

Skr. नयन "eye," H. नयन, नेन, so in all, G. नेन (masc. pl.), S. नेणु.

Skr: सार्ण "memory," H. सुमिर्न, so in all.

Skr. वेज्ञन "rolling pin," H. बेज्ञन, so in all, O. बेज्ञणा.

The second class consists of words which, though simple nouns, and as such regularly declined, perform nevertheless in four out of the seven languages the functions of an infinitive.

Thus from Skr. कर्एां "the act of doing," H. कर्ना "to do," P. कर्ना, कर्एा, M. कर्एां.

It is unnecessary to multiply instances, as every verb in each of these languages forms its infinitive in this way, absolutely without exception. In Bengali and Oriya the form exists, but simply as an abstract noun, almost entirely restricted to grammatical and other works. The real every-day infinitives of those languages are formed in a different way. Gujarati also wants this form.

In Sanskrit the formations of this class are regularly barytone, and accentuate the root. In M. and S. they are also barytone, but in II. and P. they take the long vowel. It does not appear, however, that this is a regular exception. In old Hindi the infinitive of this class-I say "of this class" because there is another infinitive in almends always in the short vowel. For example, Chand (A.D. 1200) uses the phrases: जय हरन कीं "(in order) to seize the victory," बंधन विचारि "having plotted to , bind," जंग जुर्न जालिम जुझार "a warrior terrible in the tug of war." In the modern idiom we should have हरने को, बांधना, and जोडने में respectively. This consonantally terminated form, or rather (as it is pronounced in poetry) this form ending in short a, is used by the poets as far down as the middle of the seventeenth century. Gambhir Rai (circa 1650) has कोज न कूहन पाने "(that) no one might be able to touch." Tulsi Das (1600) employs this form regularly in his Ramayan, e.g. ব্ৰবাই तब बरजन लागे "the keepers then began to forbid (them)." Sundara Kánd. 60, 15. From Bhaktamâla (1630): मांगन की भायी "he ran to beg." I have only picked out an instance or two at random, as the practice is universal.

It will be more appropriate to discuss this matter at full

length under the Verb, and I therefore content myself here with saying that the long vowel appears not to be in any way whatever due to the accent, but to have arisen from the influence of the anuswara (see Vol. I. § 65), by which karanam became first actif, then actif, acti, and lastly karna. In M. the infinitive is still neuter, and it is masculine in the H. P. and S. merely because those languages have lost the neuter, and only retain the other two genders.<sup>1</sup>

This infinitive is also in certain phrases used as a simple noun, as in Hindi जना देना or जन देन, literally "taking and giving," but idiomatically expressing the state of one's loan account with a banker or money-lender; देना पाउना "to give and to receive," idiomatically the outstanding assets and liabilities of a mercantile concern. In Sindhi, words of this class sometimes take the form in o, as

ड़िश्रणों "a debt," डिश्रणों वठणों or डिश्रणों जहणों "debts and credits" (but डिश्रण "to give").

मरियो or भर्यो "embroidery" (lit. "filling up," भर्यु "to fill"). मङ्ग्यो "betrothal" (मङ्ग्यु "to ask for").

In these cases the T inserted before the termination is inorganic, and merely due to the preference of Sindhi for that sound (Vol. I. § 32). In Hindi and the other languages, nouns of this kind are more generally feminine, and so also in Sindhi; in Marathi and Panjabi also the fem. form is more usual. Thus

Sindhi चवणी "saying," verb चवणु "to say."
" हलणी "going," verb हलणा "to go."

And with short i-

Sindhi गिहणि "swallowing," verb गिहण "swallow."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hoernle in a recent article in Journ. As. Soc. Bengal, 1873, vol. xlii. p. 66, contends for the derivation of these infinitives from Skr. karaniyam, etc., overlooking the intermediate forms of the mediaval poets, which militate strongly against his conjecture.

When added to causal verbs, this suffix, which then takes also the form will ani, is used to express the idea of the cost of doing, or wages for doing, an act; as

Sindhi खारा "porterage," verb खार्ण "to cause to carry."

- " चाराणी "grazing fees," verb चार्ण "to cause to graze."
- " धुत्रारियो "cost of washing," verb धुत्रार्यु "to cause to wash."

Hindi has words of this class, as मंगनी "betrothal," भरनी "woof," बद्दी "lading," but more frequently as consonantally terminated masculines, as भरण पोषण "feeding and protecting," "maintenance." Marathi is perhaps the richest of all the languages in words of this formation. It is, however, as will be seen from the following examples, very capricious in its use of such words, sometimes using a masc. in खा, at others fem. in खी or ख, or neut. in ख or खे.

Verb षड्णे "to stop," षड्णा (m.), a slip of bamboo to fasten a door with. षड्णो (f.) a slip of bamboo to strengthen the षड्ण (n.) edge of a winnowing-basket.

Verb कसंगों "to bind," कसणी (f.), ligature.

Verb कारणें "to cut," कारणें (f.), a cutting or reaping, i.e. the quantity cut at one time.

Verb काढणें "to take out," काढणी (f.), removal of crops from a field.

,, , , कांटेसं (n.), a pitcher to draw water from a well with.

Verb खोदगां "to dig," खोदगां (f.), a digging.

Verb घोळणें "to stir," घोळण (n.), a sifting, the quantity sifted at one time.

- ,, , चोळ्गा (m.) a stick for stirring grain while it
- § 7. The next class of -a stems is that in which the final letter is preceded by a semivowel, as ya, ra, la, va. Of these

ya is chiefly used in Taddhitas or secondary stems; there are only a few primaries, which, being feminine, will be treated separately.

- (1). Stems in ra are of two kinds, those in which the suffix is joined immediately to the root, and those where a joining vowel intervenes. Both kinds are for the most part oxytone in Sanskrit, and the accent is lost by the rejection of the last letter of the nexus; the whole suffix thus ordinarily disappearing.
- (a). Examples of words where the suffix is joined immediately to the root—

Skr. श्रास (o) "cloud," M. श्रास, श्रास, G. श्रास, S. श्रास, E. श्रास, S. श

Skr. आम्र (0) "mango," H. आम, ऋंब, P. id., B. O. आंब, आम, G. आंबो (the tree), M. आंब, अंबा, S. अंब and आमो.

Skr. বহু (o) "otter," H. জহ, P. জন, M. G. বহ, B. O. বহু.

Skr. चट्ट (o) "moon," H. चांद, and so in all; but P. चंद, S. चंडु.

Skr. गुप्र (b) "vulture," H. गिड, P. id., M. G. गिद, गीद, गिध, S. गिद्र, B. O. not used.

Skr. ताम्र (o) "copper," H. तांबा, P. id. and तंबा, O. id., B. तामा, G. तांबु, M. तांबे, S. दामी.

Skr. व्याघ्र (0) "tiger," H. बाघ, and so in all, S. वाघु.

Skr. समुद्र (o) "ocean," H. समुद्र, समुद्र, समुद्र, P. id., the rest समुद्र, S. समुद्र,

Skr. भूद्र (e) "Sudra," H. सूद्रा. In the rest Tatsama.

Only two of these words take the long -â, and of these तांबा may be derived from the Sanskrit form तासक, which would lose the क, and the two vowels would coalesce into a long vowel, as will be shown hereafter. सूद्रा was probably regarded as an adjective. चंबा in M. is fem., the change of gender accounts for the long vowel.

 $(\beta)$ . Examples of words where the suffix is joined to the root by a joining vowel—

Skr. चमर (o) "chowry," H. चींर, चींरी, P. चीर, G. चीरी, M. id., O. चंखर, B. चींर, S. चीड्.

·Skr. दर्दुर् (0) "frog," H. ट्रादुर्, P. G. id., S. ड़ेड़्र्

Skr. देवर् (o) "husband's younger brother," H. देवर्, P. M. id., G. देर्, B. O. देयर्, S. डेक्.

Skr. धत्तूर (o) "dhatura tree," H. धतूरा, P. B. M. id., G. धतूरो, S. धातुरो, O. धुतुरा, धुदुरा.

Skr. बहुर (o) "jujube," H. बैर, बेर, P. id., M. G. बोर, B. बहुर, O. बर, S. बेह, बेरि.

Skr. अमर् (o) "bee," H. भंदर, भींर, P. id., O. भंद्रर, M. भींर, B. भीमर, S. भींह.

Skr. मन्दिर् (o) "temple," H. मन्दिर, and in all.

Skr. वर्कर् (0) "goat," H. बकरा, B. P. id., O. बक्रा, G. बकरो, S. व्राकरो, but M. बोकड.

Skr. श्वशुर (b) "father-in-law," II. ससुर, P. सीहरा, S. सुहरी, M. सासरा, G. ससरा, B. O. श्वशुर.

There is not much uniformity in this list. Sanskrit oxytones end in the short vowel in Hindi and the rest; and the one barytone is in P. S. M. and G. terminated by the long vowel, though the others keep the short vowel. Under the head of nouns in  $\overline{\bullet}$  will be found an attempt to explain a good deal of this discrepancy. My method of reasoning does not admit of the usual slipshod way of accounting for the difficulty by setting it down to "caprice" or "lawless licence." There is a reason for everything in this world, if we can only find it out; and if we cannot find it out, it is only honest to say so, and not to try and cover our ignorance by saying there is no law. Some words of wide daily use have all sorts of forms; if we knew more about the subject, we should be able to give a reason

for all of them: perhaps some day we or our descendants may be able to do so. For instance, the word चक्क (oxytone) "a. wheel," has the following long list of forms in modern times.

- H. चकर "cart-wheel;" चक्कर, चाक, चकरी, चक्की (a mill-stone); चकला, चक.
- P. चक्क, चकर, चक्कर; चकला, चकली, चकळी; चक्की, चकुला, चाकळी.
- S. चकर, चकरि, चिकरि, चको, चकु, चकी, चकु; चकुको, चकुकी; चीकिलो
  - G. चक्कर, चक्र, चाकः चक्की, चक्री, चाकी, चाकळी.
- M. चकः चकला, चकलीः चकीरः चक्काः चक्काः चकाः चाकाः चाकीः
  - 0. चक, चकडा, चकडी; चकला, चका, चकी, चक्र; चाक.
  - B. चक, चकला, चक्र, चकर, चाक, चाका, चाकी, चाकती.

All these may be undoubtedly accounted for by special rules. Their significations are very various, all resting on and derived from the primary idea of roundness. To enter into a detailed examination of all of them would take too much time and space. I therefore pass on to the next form.

- (2). Stems in la. Many nouns ending in la do not come under this head, because the l is part of the root, কাৰ, কুৰ, বাৰ, সাৰ and others, which are to be considered as formed by pratyayas leaving a only. Of those which are really formed by the suffix la, the following are some of the commonest.
  - (a). Substantives.

Skr. वामख (b) "lotus," H. P. वामख, कंवल, M. G. वामळ, O. id., B. वामख, S. कंवल.

Skr. काबल (o) "blanket," H. कामल, कंवल, P. कामळ, कंवळ, G. कामळ, कावळो, कामळो, M. कांवळा, O. कामळ, B. काबल, किल, S. कामिर.

Skr. कवल (o) "mouthful," H. कील, कुत्ती, P. कुर्ली, कुर्लू, G. कोळियो, M. कवल, B. O. कुत्ती, कुत्ति.<sup>1</sup>

Skr. कदल (o) "plantain;" H. केला, P. O. id., S. केविडो, G. केळ, केर, M. केळ, B. कला, कदिला.

Skr. कुण्डल (o) "earring," H. कुंडल, P. कुंडळ, S. कुंडलु, G. कुंडळ, M. B. O. कुण्डल.

Skr. कोकिल (0) "koïl," II. कोइल, P. S. B. id., G. कोयळ, M. कोकील, O. कोयळिं.

Skr. पिप्पल (b) "pipal-tree," H. पीपल, P. B. पिप्पल, O. पिम्पळ,  $^2$  M. id., G. पिपल, S. पिपिल.

Skr. मण्डल (b) "circle," H. मंडल, and so in all, M. G. O. also मंडळ.

Skr. युगल (o) "pair," H. जुगल, P. G. B. id., O. जुगळ, M. जुळा, जुवळ, जुवळा (twin, adj.), जुळे (twins, s.n.), जुळो, जूळ.

Skr. ग्रृङ्खल (o) "chain," H. सांकर, सांकल, संकर, P. संघर, S. id., G. सांखळ, M. id. and B. श्विकल, O. श्विकळ.

 $(\beta)$ . Adjectives.

Skr. चंचल (o) "tremulous," H. चंचल, चंचला, चांचल, P. चंचल, S. घंचल, G. चंचळ, M. B. O. चंचल.

Skr. शिथिल (0) "loose," H. ढीला, P. ढिझा, S. ढिरी, ढिलो, G. ढीलो॰र्रे॰रं, M. ढील, O. ढिला, B. id.

Skr. श्रीतल (o) "cold," H. सीतल, सीला॰ई, P. सीतल, सिद्धा, S. सीतल, M. श्रीतळ, O. id., B. श्रीतल.

In the case of adjectives, the Tatsama form does not take the long vowel, while the Tadbhava forms do to a great extent. This confirms the general theory. Tatsamas resuscitated after the Sanskrit language had ceased to be spoken would naturally not follow the accent.

<sup>1</sup> Chiefly used in the sense of rinsing the mouth with water after eating.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Oriyas probably borrowed this word from the Marathas, as it is only found in the names of a few places, probably founded during the Maratha fule. The Oriyas generally use उसढ or असढ, from अश्वत्य.

(3). The suffix va is employed to form both adjectives and substantives. It is not of common use. Examples:

Skr. স্বস্থ (b) "horse," only used rarely as a Tatsama.

Skr. पार्श्व (o) " side," S. पासी, M. पासल.

Skr. विस्व (b) " bel-tree," H. वेस, B. M. O. id.

Skr. पञ्ज (b) "ripe," H. पञ्जा, P. M. O. id., also पिका, S. पको, G. पाको, B. पाका.

Skr. पूर्व "eastern, former," H. पूर्ब, in the rest पूर्व, S. पूर्व. Skr. सर्व "all," H. सब, सार्ा, B. id., O. सबु, P. सर्ब, सभ, S. सभु.

पार्श्व, as a substantive, has descendants only in M. and S.; but in the locative case पार्श्व it forms an adverb of place, पास "near," in all the languages. As, however, we are discussing the formation of the stem with especial reference to its termination, this adverb does not concern us here. In the case of so familiar a word as सर्व "all," many irregularities might be expected to have crept in; thus we have the barytone सब, as well as the oxytone सारा, the latter by the rejection of the च. Chand uses an oblique singular सब, which would point to a nom. सबा, if we could place any reliance on so rude an author. The final vowel is, however, often merely inserted to eke out the metre; as in the hymn to Ganesh (i. 27, 26):

### सबै कज्ज अग्री। तुहीनाम लग्री॥

"Before all affairs, thy name is prefixed."

Here the metre is Chhânda-virâja:

#### U-1-U1-- 11 U-1-U1-- 111

§ 8. The suffix ma forms adjectives, and masc. and neut. substantives. It is generally oxytone, though there is also a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This constant use of sabbai in Chand may be nothing more than a Prakritism for the nom. pl. of Skr. sarvve.

class of appellatives which carry the tone on the root-syllable. Examples:

Skr. कार्ट्स (b) "mud," H. कार्ट्स, कार्ट्स, G. कार्ट्स, B. कार्ट्स.

Skr. ग्राम (b) "village," II. गांव, S. गांड, गामु, G. गांम, M. गांव, B. O. गां.

Skr. घर्म (o) "heat," H. घाम, M. O. B. id.

Skr. हुम (o) "tree," H. हुम, and so in all.

Skr. धूम (o) "smoke," H. धूत्रां, P. id., S. ढूं हां, M. G. धूम, B. धुयां, O. ध्रा.

Skr. धर्म (b) "justice," H. धर्म, and so in all.

Skr. वास (b) "left-hand," H. बायां, बावां, P. बाइन्स्रां, G. M. वास. B. बां, O. id. and बास.

Skr. हिम (o) " snow," H. हिम, M. हिवं. In the rest Tatsama.

Skr. हेम (0) " gold," Old-H. हैं, हैम.

There are not many examples of this suffix. In most of them, where not still in the Tatsama form, the weakness of the A, which passes into A preceded by anuswara, has caused the loss of the final syllable to be very common. In many cases the A has itself disappeared, leaving only the anuswara; and in AIZI, AIZI, etc., even the anuswara is lost.

§ 9. The suffix ka is of very frequent use in Sanskrit, and in the modern languages its use is extremely common. It branches out into many different classes, and its discussion is embarrassed by the fact that it is employed both as a primary and a secondary suffix. We are not directly concerned, however, with the minute distinctions which Sanskrit grammarians find necessary; for our purpose it suffices to take the Sanskrit noun as it stands, without troubling ourselves to inquire whether it be formed by adding the suffix to a verb, or to another noun. As regards the modern languages, some of the classes of this

suffix which are regarded in Sanskrit as secondary are perfectly primary, and may be so treated without any loss of clearness.

Ka is generally joined to the root by a vowel, and the terminations most in use in forming nouns which have lived on to the present day are aka, ika, uka, ûka, and ûka.

Whatever may be the strict philological theory as to the origin of this ka, in practice there can be no doubt that its meaning is primarily that of "the doer." It may perhaps be supposed to be a shortened form from the root kar, "to do;" but this is a matter of Sanskrit philology, into which it is needless here to inquire. Starting from this point, however, the meaning, like all meanings in all languages, widens out and loses in distinctness as it goes on. A fourfold division may be detected, which has this advantage, that it subsists in the languages of the present day as clearly as in Sanskrit and the If it be found in Sanskrit, it will of course be also found in the Prakrits, as the latter are the mere apes of the former, having no independent ideas of their own; or perhaps it would be fairer to say of them, that they are identical with Sanskrit in so far as they are the popular side of that ancient Aryan speech of which Sanskrit is the learned and literary side only. It is not till we come to the Indian renaissance in the twelfth century that we find the popular dialects possessing any originality, and striking out for themselves forms which are something more than mere colloquial and phonetic corruptions of Sanskrit. When they do begin to do so, they often leave the ancient path and go into ground where it is difficult to follow them, or account for the origins of their forms. When therefore they do carry on an ancient system into modern times, it is a fact to be laid hold of and brought to the fore.

The fourfold division which I make is, then, as follows:

- (1). Words which mean purely and simply "the doer:" as 
  কাবে "doer;" पाचन "cooker," তায়ন "giver," ইবিন "writer."
  - (2). Words in which the sense of "the doer" is only to be

detected metaphorically: as सर्क "a road," i.e. that which goes on and on; जीचक "pupil of the eye," i.e. that which shines or glances; पावक "fire," i.e. that which purifies; तमक "asthma," i.e. that which chokes. This class includes words which are derived from intransitive verbs, and those in which a passive sense must be substituted for that of the agent: as चिचक "leopard," i.e. the spotted animal; खातक "a pit," i.e. that which is dug; सूक "lotus," i.e. that which blooms; सूतक "birth," i.e. the act of being born.

- (3). Words which have entirely lost all idea of agency, if indeed they ever had it, and have become pure appellatives: as कटन "an army," which, if it ever had the idea of "the encompasser," from the root कर "to surround," had lost it long before the times to which we can look back; वृक "a wolf," for which we have only a dubious verbal root वृक "to seize," which looks as if invented for the occasion; नरक "hell," जोक "world."
- (4). Words in which the suffix has no meaning at all, but is merely added for metrical purposes, or to avoid the intricacies of declension. This is a very numerous class, and we have side by side two forms, one with the suffix, the other without it: as as as and as an as and as an as

In the modern languages, although the same shades of meaning can easily be traced, yet as our business is rather with form than meaning, it will be more convenient to exhibit the various details of this large and important class according to its forms,

alluding to the meaning of the words only where they are in any way essential to the clear perception of the subject.

(1). The has two forms.—(a). In Tatsamas it retains the k with the masculine gender, and with the sense in general of the agent, as in the "a doer," हारक "a taker," पाहक "a taker." In Tadbhavas there is a numerous group of interesting words, which have come to us through the Prakrit, by virtue of the rule laid down in Book I. § 53 (3) and § 54. A single consomant in the middle of a word would be elided by that rule, and the termination akah becomes thus ao. In the moderns the a drops out and the o is retained in G. and S., but changed to a in the others. Thus we get the following:

Skr. ग्रामलक "emblic myrobalan," Pr. ग्रामलग्री, H. ग्रांवला, P. गाजळा, M. ग्रंवळा, B. ग्राग्रीला.

Skr. काएक "thorn," Pr. कंटग्री, H. काटा, P. कंडा, M. काटा, काटा, B. id., O. काएा, G. कांटो, S. कंडो.

Skr. घोटक "horse," Pr. घोडग्री, H. घोडा, P. M. B. O. id., S. G. घोडो. Skr. चित्रक "leopard," H. चीता, P. चित्ता, M. चिता, B. O. id., G. चित्तो, S. चिटो and चीतो.

Skr. पत्रक "description," Pr. पटन्नी, H. पता, P. id., M. पत्ता, B. O. id., G. S. पती.

Skr. पुस्तक "book," Pr. पोत्यञ्ची, H. पोषा, and so in all. The fem. पोषी is however more common.

Skr. भारक "hire," H. भाडा, B. O. id., P. भाढा, S. भांडी.

Skr. मस्तक "head," Pr. मंचत्रो, II. माचा, B. M. id., P. मत्या, S. मधो, O. मथा.

Skr. स्कोटन "tumour," Pr. खोडमो, H. फोडा, B. O. P. id.

Sindhi uses this affix, which in that language naturally results in o, as descriptive of trades or occupations. Trumpp gives as examples the following, formed by Sindhi out of its own modern materials:

वाढी "carpenter," verb वढणु "to cut." चीरी "sawyer," , चीरणु "to split." टोबी "diver," , दुवणु "to dive." घोरी "seeker," , घुरणु "to seek."

In HIZAM. is irregular, having HIE (fem.) and HIE (n.). So also G. HIE and HE instead of HE from HEA. M. has also contrary to rule HIE from Enlea, but also HIE. which, as will be shown hereafter, is from a Skr. fem. form Enlean. The feminines of the form in aka always end in Skr. in ikâ, e.g. bâlaka, "boy," bâlikâ, "girl." The comparative neglect by M. of the long â is possibly due to the fact that mase nouns ending in short a, i.e. a mute, in that language, change the a to â in the oblique cases; thus from Et "a house," Et El "of a house," Et El "to a house," so that the distinction between this class of nouns and that which ends in long â exists only in the nominative, and is thus of comparatively rare occurrence.

Here also it may be admitted that, as the suffix न may be added at will to all nouns in Prakrit, it is probable that many of the nouns ending in long â or o, which I have held to be derived from Skr. oxytones, do in reality owe their final long vowel to the fact that the word from which they are derived had in popular, though not in classical usage, a न tacked on to it. This would account for Sanskrit barytones like नाइ, सुन्यों, becoming नाइ, सोना, with long â. The difficulty, as already mentioned, is the existence of any nouns in a-w; if ka is added to all nouns of the a-stem, why do not all end in â-o?

 $(\beta)$ . The suffix **344** appears also in a great many words of apparently modern origin, as well as in a few which can be traced back to Sanskrit, most of which are feminine.

¹ This view is taken, as I have stated above, by Prof. Hoernle of Benarcs, in Journ. As. Soc. Bengal, vol. xli. p. 154. The learned writer is not disposed to admit with me the influence of the accent at all. There is, however, not only much that is difficult to understand in that essay, but much that requires further proof.

Some of these are pure appellatives, and if derived from Sanskrit feminines, all of which end in tan, they must have lost the final long a, and changed the i into a, neither of which processes indeed are without a parallel, though it seems unnecessary to suppose that they have taken place here. Examples of such words are—

- H. सडक "a road" (f.), P. M. B. O. id., G. सडक (m.).
- H. फाटन "a gate" (m.), and so in all except S.
- H. बैठक "a scat" (f.), and so in all but S. G. writes बेठक.

We find in Sanskrit सर्क: and सर्कं "a road," as well as मृति, from a form of which, सर्तिका, or from सर्क by change of the semivowel into the media, this word may have been derived. फाटक, like all the neuters of its class (see Vol. I. § 80), is a formation from the root एकट् "to split," "to open," though we cannot point to any actually used Sanskrit word from which to derive it. बैठक is quite a modern word from the verb बैठना, concerning the origin of which see Vol. I. p. 179.

Now comes a long string of words, all feminine, which express noises, pains, violent actions, and are like our words buzz, thump, crack, bang, jingle, tingle, and the like. It is almost impossible to do more in any of these cases than refer the word in a general way back to some Sanskrit root. The majority of them are in all probability onomatopoetic. As the same words do not occur in all the languages, I give each language separately, and add that every one of these words has its verb with the same meaning. I give the verb in the first few examples to show how it is formed, the reader can supply the rest for himself.

Hindi. घटन "stoppage" (ग्रटकना "to be stopped," ग्रटकाना "to restrain), क्सन "pain" (क्सना "to ache"), कड़न "crash" (कड़ना "to crash," "to fall with a crash"), क्नन "sprain," खड़न "clang," खटन "pit-a-pat," गृहक "drunkenness," "reeling," चींन "starting,"

चिस्ता, चमक, स्वक, स्मक, ट्लक, ट्मक, all mean "glitter," "flashing," चटक "crack," "snap," द्स्ता "start," स्टक "jerk," स्डिक "wrangling," "quarrelling," टसक "twinge," टनक "harsh sound," "crunch," टपक "throb," "drip," टहक "ache," डिटक "amazement," दुनक "sob," ढडक, घडक "trembling," ढलक "rolling," "wallowing," ट्हक "glare," फर्क "flutter." "twitter," भडक "starting," "flash," मटक "ogle," लचक "bound," "spring," लटक "hanging," "dangling," लपक "flash," "bound," लखक "gush," "bursting," सहक "flash," "glare," सटक "tapering."

Panjabi. Many of the same words as Hindi, as स्राटक, क्रुक, खाटक, खाटक, चारक, चारक, झारक, बारक, ताडिक, दाक, दाक, दाक, दाक, ताडिक, वाडिक, वाडि

Sindhi does not exhibit many words of this form, owing to its invariable rule of making all its words end in a vowel. Examples are হালক (f.) "lustre," হৌলক (m.) "caprice," "whim," and a few others agreeing with Hindi, as হালক, হালক, হালক, etc.; but Sindhi, as will be shown hereafter, has another form for words of this class.

Marathi has झळक "glitter," तडक "bang!" "crash!" द्चक "shock," गजक "copiousness," चटक "liking," "taste for a thing," घडक "blow," भि्याक "twinge," टमक "jingle," बचक and चबक "handful," जबक़ "a dab," or "mass of mud," भि्ळक "a splinter," together with some of those that occur in Hindi.

Gujarathi is, like Sindhi, not very partial to this form. Instances are उसक "strut," "swagger," उएक "sob," उचक "shock," उपक "slur," "blot," चसक "glitter," शुळक and श्रमक "glitter."

Oriya and Bengali have mostly the same words as Hindi, which need not be repeated.

From the above lists have been excluded words of similar form, in which the क is organic, or a part of the root, as टक "staring," द्वाक "shove," "puff," टूक "piece," टोक "hindrance." But under this head come miscellaneous words like चौक (m.) "square" (in all the languages), which is probably from Skr. चल्क. The H. चौक "starting," as in चौक उटना "to start up" (as a man suddenly aroused from sleep), is, it would seem, contracted from चमत्का, a shortened form of Sanskrit चमत्कार "surprise."

All these words being in form identical with the root of the verb, are most usually employed to form compound verbs with the addition of जाना, जेना, देना, रहना or other semi-auxiliaries, especially in Hindi, as जटक रहा "it remained hanging," घोडा भडक गया "the horse started (from fear)," उसकी पटक दिया "he dashed it against (the ground)." It is doubtful, therefore, whether in many cases they should not be rather considered as a part of the verb, than as nouns.

Sindhi, Gujarati, and Marathi, and to a less extent the other languages too, use also a closely allied form of this suffix, in which the final vowel is long,  $k\hat{a}$  or ko. In many cases both forms exist, as in H. **usa** and **usan**, G. **uza** and **uzan**. In Sindhi this form is the favourite, and is used almost to the exclusion of the other in **a**. Examples in that language are the following:

उडतो "fear," "dread," उविडको "retching," उल्लो "care," "anxiety," कडलो "crack," "crash," "thunderpeal," खडलो "rattling," "pattering," खडलो "d., गुडलो "rumbling," गहलो "rub," चसलो "fondness," "taste," क्खलो "burst," "blast," "gust," झटलो id., झुललो "puff," टहलो "boiling," "bubbling," "घडलो "trembling," "घडलो "rumbling," "gurgling," फडलो "quivering," "shivering," "rustling," फफलो "bubbling."

The other languages have also this form. Thus Hindi has again as well as again, again and again, ugain and uga. It is not necessary to give detailed examples, as in popular and

vulgar words like these no very strict canon is observed; sometimes one form is used, sometimes the other. In O. and B. the form in a is most common.

(2). The suffix  $\mathbf{q}$  follows the analogy of  $\mathbf{q}$  and. In Tatsamas it retains the  $\mathbf{q}$ , in Tadbhavas the  $\mathbf{q}$  goes out. Here, however, it is not left in the same condition as  $\mathbf{q}$  and, because the disappearance of the  $\mathbf{q}$  brings into contact i and o, and later  $i+\hat{a}$ . In the case of aka, the result of the elision of k was a+o and  $a+\hat{a}$ , in both of which cases the short a was easily absorbed by the long vowel; i, however, resists absorption, not being homogeneous with the vowel following. What we really get is a double set of forms, of which one ends in long i  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ , the other in  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ , or  $\mathbf{q}$ .

Taking first the form in द्वे, we are met by the difficulty that more than one Sanskrit termination results in द्वे, at least in H. P. B. and O. For instance, there is the form द्वं = द्वे, as in Skr. पानीयं, which is in all पानी or पाणी; and again, Skr. एन द्वे in खामिन, modern सांदे or सांदे, धनिन् = धनी; as well as Skr. द्वे itself. Further, it must be observed that the suffix दक्क is in most cases a secondary suffix, so that a notice of it would hardly come in here. The cases I shall now give are chiefly from the Skr. fem. दक्का, which, as mentioned above, belong's really to the अक series.

The best example of a bonâ-fide primary word of this form is the following:

Skr. मौत्तिवं "pearl," Pr. मोत्तिश्चं, मोती (m.), M. मोतीं (n.). In all the rest मोती (m.).

In M. the anuswara is a retention of the neuter form in Prakrit.

The following are from feminines in इका:

Skr. मिंबना "fly," H. P. मक्दी, माछी (f.), O. B. माछी, M. माशी, G. माखी, S. मिंब-

Skr. मृत्तिका "earth," H. मिट्टो, मट्टो, P. G. id., M. माती, O. B. माट्टो, S. मिटी.

Skr. कर्किटिका "cucumber," H. क्व डी, and so with slight phonetic variations, but with final ?, in all.

Skr. कुञ्चिका "key," H. B. कूंजी, P. S. M. कुंजी, G. कुंची, O. कंचि, कुजि.

Skr. खटिका "chalk," H. खडी, and so in all, but O. खडि.

Skr. दाढिका "beard," H. G. डाढी, P. दाहडी, S. डाडही, M. दाढी, O. दाढि, B. दाडी.

Skr. चोटिका "rapeseed," II. तोडी, M. तोंड्या.

Here we may introduce a group of Marathi agents and adjectives, which, as derived from Tadbhava verbs, are primary, and appear to have originated from Sanskrit nouns in ika, by rejecting the k and hardening i into y. Such are—

बाह्या "a borrower," verb बाह्यों "to extract."
खया "laborious," " खपेंगं "to work."
खर्द्या "scraper," " खर्द्यों "to scrape."
जद्या "jeweller," " जद्यों "to set jewels."
टेहळ्या "prying," " टेहळ्णें "to pry."

In many of these the alternative form in long i is found, as **का**डी, खपी, etc., and in some cases the latter is the only form in use, as भेती "owner of a field" (Skr. चेचिक), from भेत "a field" (Skr. चेच).

We may now dismiss ika till we come to the secondary formations to which it more properly belongs.

- (3). 34 is exactly similar to 344 and 44, but its various forms can be traced with greater accuracy, as the vowel is not so easily confounded with other suffixes.
  - (a). The full form uka is retained only in Tatsamas.
- $(\beta)$ . The R is rejected, together with the vowel which follows it, and the u lengthened in compensation. Words of this class

have almost always the sense of the agent, either direct or only very slightly metaphorical. Instances are the following:

```
Hindi ব্ৰাৰু "destroyer,"
                              verb उजाडना "to destroy."
      उतार "spiller,"
                                   उतारना "to cause to descend," P.
                                     id. but in sense of "degraded,"
                                     M. id. "a passenger."
                                  काटना "to cut."
      बादू "cutter,"
                                  खाना "to eat," P. S. M. id., G. खाउ
      ভাক "eater,"
                                     (adjective) "destructive."
      खिल " player,"
                                  खेलना "to play."
                                   — [Skr. प्रहर, H. पहरा "watch"],
      पहरू "watcher,"
                                     P. पहिष्ट.
      मारू "fighter," "beater,",,
                                  मार्ना "to strike," P. S. id., G. मार्
                                     (adjective).
      द्वाक् "caller," "robber,", दाकना "to shout," P. id., O.B. द्वाक्.
      धकेलू "pusher," "shover," धकेलना "to shove," P. id.
      मुख्द "ascetic," "one who ) मुख्दना "to shave," P. id. "a child
                                     whose head has been shaved for
         shaves his head,"
                                     the first time."
                                  पेलना "to pull," P. id.
      पेलू "wrestler,"
                                  जागना "to wake," P. id.
      जागू "waker,"
      ढालू "metal caster,"
                                  ढालगा "to cast metals," P. id.
      बिगाडू "spoiler,"
                                  बिगाइना "to spoil," P. id.
      द्याद "sweeper," "broom," द्यादना "to sweep," and so in all.
```

In addition to the words noted above, Panjabi has also पाढू "tearer," पाडह "reader," जाचू "prover," "trier," डोबू "dipper,"

<sup>1</sup> Daka is a rural form of Daka it (dacoit). The word is derived from the verb "to shout," because the dacoits always shout and call out at the entrance of the village they are about to plunder, whereby all the inhabitants, being terrified, hide in their houses, and the dacoits, who are generally quite as afraid of the villagers as the villagers of them, can plunder the house they select without opposition.

उधालू "adulterer," नवाह "lasting," from पाढणा "to tear," पडहणा "to read," जञ्चणा "to test," दुवणा "to be immersed," उधालना "to abduct," "seduce" (Skr. उडर्ण), निवाहणा "to endure" (Skr. निवहणं in the sense of not + destruction). In some of these, as पाडह, जाचू, डोबू, the radical vowel is lengthened, or even gunatized, a practice of which more examples will be shown under the Verb.

From the habitual omission of the Gujaratis to distinguish between short and long u, it comes to pass that it is almost impossible to tell which of the words ending in u should correctly be written with long  $\hat{u}$ . As each word must be considered on its own merits, the dictionary is the fit place for the discussion. GIF, SIFE, should probably be written with  $\mathbf{S}$ , but in many others the point is doubtful. The difficulty is increased by the fact of the existence of the pratyaya u in Skr., which also has the sense of the agent, so that in a language which does not distinguish between the long and the short vowel, it becomes impossible to say whether we are dealing with u, or uka, or  $\hat{u}ka$ . The following list exhibits the most common words of the class: 1

Verb	खावुं "to eat,"	<b>बाउ</b> "eater."
,,	गावुं "to sing,"	गाउ "singer."
,,	भटनावुं " to wander,"	भटकु "wanderer."
."	खर्चवुं " to spend,"	खर्च "spender."
,,	समजवुं "to understand,"	समनु "intelligent."
,,	खेडवुं "to till,"	बिंदु "cultivator."
,,	द्वादवं "to sweep,"	द्यांडु "broom."
,,	चर्वं " to wander,"	चर "wanderer."

<sup>1</sup> For this list I have to thank the Rev. I. V. Taylor, of Ahmadabad, an accomplished Gujarati scholar, and author of an excellent grammar of that language, published at the Irish Mission Press, Surat, 1868. It is unfortunately written in Gujarati, so that one is expected to know the language in order to learn it!

Of these कर, भर, खाउ, गाउ, are probably formed with u, and the rest with uka. The word खर्च is a hybrid from Persian दें "expense."

Sindhi has many examples of this form; the following are the principal:

```
तारू "swimmer,"
                          verb तर्गु "to swim."
भाजू "fugitive,"
                            " भज्ञणु " to flee."
भात्र "breaker,"
                            " भत्रणु "to break."
माञ् "one who obeys,"
                               मञ्गु " to obey."
रह "dweller,"
                               रहण " to dwell."
पहरू "goat" (i.e. "browser"),,
                               पहर्ण "to put out (cattle) to graze."
पेनू "beggar,"
                            " पिन्णु "to beg."
घोम् "vagabond,"
                               घुमणु "to wander."
सह "patient,"
                               सहस् "to endure."
वेडह "fighter,"
                            " विदह्णु " to fight."
फोड़ "robber,"
                            ,, फुड्णु "to rob."
हाञ् " destroyer,"
                            " [Skr. हन "to kill"].
```

In the majority of cases it will be observed that the vowel of the root is lengthened or gunatized. Marathi does not employ this termination very largely, and I have not found many instances worth quoting. Nor do Bengali and Oriya much favour it: a final long vowel is in no case agreeable to the spirit of these two languages; and the forms in use belong to the next class.

Hindi has a few words of this form which are simple appellatives as far as their present use is concerned, though, perhaps, by some far-fetched and fanciful chain of metaphor, they may be capable of being resolved into agents. Such are:

Skr. बालुका "sand," II. बालू, G. has also बाळ, but O. बालि, S. वारी, B. बाली and 'लि, probably from a Skr. form वालिका (see remark on वृश्चिक under ह्क).

Skr. मह्नुक, also मह्नक and मह्नूक "a bear," H. भाजू, M. id., O. भाजु. Bhojpuri Hindi per metathesin भाजज, भीज.

Skr. मामक (? मामुक) "maternal uncle," H. माम, M. id., O. मामु, but B. and P. मामा, G. and S. मामो.

(γ). Especially in B. and O. the form ending in ব্যা (often shortened to ব্যা in O.) prevails, and in Hindi also this form is frequently found, particularly in words denoting occupation or trade. In Bengali it is ordinarily written ব্যা, but in this case, as in many others, the য is merely a fulcrum for the following vowel, and is not pronounced. In this class are included many simple appellatives, and numerous words for which it would be difficult, if not impossible, to find a Sanskrit origin. Examples are:

Bengali माकुया "fisherman," O. माकुञ्जा and •ञ्ज, H. मच्कुञ्जा, also written मकुवा, P. मकूञा, S. मकुञो "a fishing-boat," G. मक्वो id. [Probably from an unrecorded Skr. form मात्युक.]

Bengali भेडुया "pimp," O. भडुआ and °अ, H. भडूआ (chiefly as a term of abuse), M. भड्वा. [The classical Skr. is भर्याट, but we must suppose a form भा° or भटुक, from भट् "to hire," i.q. one who hires out women; cf. our English whore, German Hure, Ang.-Sax. hure, with hyre, heuer, "reward," "hire."]

Bengali टेक्स "skewer," verb टेक "to stick."

Bengali ठेनुया "stake," verb id.

Bengali दानुया "postman," verb दान "to call."

Bengali चारुया and चेरुया "palm of haud," verb चार "to stroke," "lick."

Bengali देवा "widower," probably connected with डांग "a stick," q.d. "a withered branch," "fruitless," O. डांगुजा.

Bengali पेरुया "glutton," from पेर "belly."
Bengali झानुया "pungent şauce," verb झान् "to burn."
Bengali क्रानुया "cast in a mould."
Bengali क्रेमुया "snarling."
Bengali जानुया "watery."

Oriya has, besides those mentioned, जाऊंच "full of holes," from जाऊ "a net;" बादुमा "bachclor," probably from वाडि "a stick" (see डेड्ड्राया above); भकुमा "a fool;" महम्मा "honeyed," from मधु "honey;" मदुमा "a drunkard," from मद "wine;" भार्मा "a bearer," from Skr. भृ "to bear," भार् "a load;" नाजुमा "an oil-bottle," from नल "a reed" (oil being generally carried in a joint of bamboo); नाजुमा "a big nose," from नाक "nose;" डेगुमा "a clod," डाजुमा "a kind of rice," etc.

Many of these words are secondary forms, if we have regard to the rule which holds that only nouns derived directly from verbs are to be considered as primitives; but in words whose derivation is admittedly obscure, it seems unnecessary to keep up this distinction.

In Hindi there are often two forms of the same word, just as we saw in aka. Thus we have use and use a

चेब्या "slave," Hindi चेडा. हब्या "plough," ,, हल.

# बेटुमा "son," Hindi बेटा. घर्मा "house," . , , घर, etc.

(4).  $\hat{a}ka$ .—Connected with this suffix is a form  $\hat{a}ku$ , which appears principally in Sindhi, and to which, with elision of  $\overline{a}$ , Trumpp refers a common form in Sindhi  $\hat{a}\hat{u}$ , in which the final u is lengthened. It is clear, however, from the structure of other parts of words in Hindi which end in this form  $\hat{a}\hat{u}$ , that they are not derived from  $\hat{a}ku$ , but from causal verbs, in which, as I have explained in Vol. I. § 60, the aya, which is the characteristic of the causal in Skr., is changed through  $\hat{a}u$  into  $\hat{a}$ , to which the long  $\hat{u}$  representing the suffix uka is appended; thus we get—

पुसनाना "to coax," H. पुसनाज "a coaxer," "wheedler."

खिलाना "to feed" [caus. of खाना "to eat"], H. खिलाज "feeder."

বঙ্গালা "to cause to fly" [caus. of বন্ধলা "to fly"], H. বন্ধাজ "spend-thrift" ("one who makes the money fly"), P. id., S. id.

कमाना "to earn" [a quasi-causal or nominal verb from काम "work"], H. कमाज "a worker," "bread-winner," P. id., M. id.

লঝালা "to make clear" [caus. of ল্ঝলা "to see," Skr. ল্বলা], H. লঝাজ "an indicator," also as adj. "significant," "perceptible."

टिकाना "to detain" [caus. of टिकाना "to remain"], H. टिकाज (adj.) "durable," "stationary," P. id.

সভালা "to cause to be set" (jewels) [caus. of জন্তলা "to set jewels"], H. অভাজ "jewelled," "studded with gems," P. id., S. id.

ৰহানা "to travel" [unused irreg. caus. from ৰাষ "road"], H. ৰহাজ "traveller," P. id.

धुलाना "to melt" [caus. of घुलना "to be melted"], H. घुलाज "a liquefier," "solvent."

M. কাভাজ "one who is inveigled away" (wife or servant), from কাভৌ "to take away."

¹ Causal of an unused फ्याना "to slip," "to waver," i.q. "to cause to waver."

This class is exceedingly numerous, especially in H. and P.; in fact, a word of this form might be made from every causal in the language, and would probably be understood by all classes. The dictionaries do not give every word, perhaps because the compilers do not happen to have met them in writings.

Instances of nouns in  $\hat{a}\hat{u}$  in Sindhi which Trumpp refers to  $\hat{a}ku$  are the following:

रहाज "dweller," from रहण "to remain."
हेकाज "puller," " हिक्ण "to pull."
विचीज "wearisome," " विचीइण "to tire."
विजाज "a destroyer," " विजाइण "to destroy."
वेकाज "saleable," " विकण "to be sold."
पेटाज "an ill-wisher," " पिटण "to curse."

Of these words, however, विदीज may be regarded as formed by uka from the causal, as the word विदेश "to be wearied," "to be tired by any one," is in use, and विदेश is its causal; and the same may be suggested of most of the others. Sindhi does in many cases retain the k; as, for instance—

गिराकु "a receiver," verb गिर्णु "to take."
पिश्वाकु "a drinker," ,, पिश्वणु "to drink."
रहाकु "dweller," ,, रहणु "to dwell."
निंडाकु "sleeper," ,, निंड "sleep" (Skr. निद्रा).
हराकु "tamed," ,, हरणु "to tame."

But there are many instances in Sindhi, in addition to those given above, in which the form  $\hat{a}\hat{u}$  is undoubtedly from the causal, as

गुसाक "a shirker," verb गुसाई यु "to miss," "evade," causal of गुसगु

¹ Although this verb now means "to devour," yet its origin (from Skr. **双夏**顿) shows that it had at one time the meaning "to take," which has now attached itself to another derivative from **双夏**, namely, **河季夏**顿.

खपाज "extravagant," verb खपाइणु "to spend," causal of खपणु "to be spent."

छुटाज "deliverer," छुटाइणु "to deliver," causal of छुटणु "to escape."

In Hindi this suffix takes usually the form  $\hat{a}k$ ; as far as my inquiries have gone, I am not aware of any forms in  $\hat{a}\hat{u}$  in Hindi which can be referred to  $\hat{a}ku$ ; they appear all to be like দুম্বাজ, বিৰাজ, and the rest, derived from causal verbs, and the  $\hat{a}$  belongs to the verb, and not to the suffix. Instances of  $\hat{a}ka = \hat{a}k$  are the following:

Hindi उड़ाक "a flier," "a bird that has just begun to fly."

- "**पैराक** "a swimmer."
- " क्नाव "hissing sound."
- " झुडाक "speed," "hurry" (literally "being swept along," from झाडना "to sweep").
- " ब्रांक "quarrelsome."

Most of these have also a form with the long final  $\hat{a}$ , as **RESIGN**, **WEIGHT**, and it is difficult to distinguish them from formations in which the long  $\hat{a}$  represents the causal; thus **VZIGN** and **VZIGN** "crash," **ASIGN** and **ASIGN** "thud," **ZFIGN** "clink," seem to be from the causals **VZIGN**, and **ZFIGN**, where the final k represents aka, not  $\hat{a}ka$ ; and it will be seen that the sense of agency is as much obscured in nouns of this form as it is in the cognate forms **ASGN**, **VEGN**, and the like, given under aka.

Gujarati, like Sindhi, has this form in frequent use:

```
ভৰু "to fly," ভৰাত "one who makes the money fly," "a spendthrift."

হন্ধু "to endure," হন্ধাত "enduring."

ভৰু "to shape," ভৰাত "that may be shaped or moulded."

ভৰু "to be mounted," ভৰাত "rideable" (a horse).
```

```
सहयुं "to fight," सहाउ "fighter."
वडवुं "to quarrel," वडाउ "quarrelsome" (this word is rare).
तपवुं "to be hot," तपाउ and तवाउ "hot-tempered," "irritable."
```

The only one of the above which can be referred to uka with a causal is **333**; the others have no causal sense, but are either agents, or adjectives with a secondary meaning, and in one or two cases even, as, for instance, in **333**, the meaning is passive, like that of the Latin part. in -ndus, as faciendus, etc.

(5). ûka is a rare termination in Sanskrit, and is not traceable in any of the modern languages except Marathi and Gujarati, and in the former its presence is to be ascribed more to the habit which this language possesses, of lengthening the final syllable, concerning which see § 50.

Instances are the following:

```
चुणूक "sample," "taste," Skr. चूण "to select."
बेड्र a "frog," , मण्डूक, i.e. मण्ड् + जक.
जाचणूक "teasing," from जाचणे "to tease," Skr. याच.
ग्राटवणूक "remembering," , श्राटवणे "to remember" (perhaps Skr.
with ख्या in sense of "abiding").
जागरूक "wakeful," Skr. id., from जागू + जक.
ग्राटवण्क "stopping," from ग्राटवणे "to stop."
```

As this suffix is especially used in forming diminutives, it will be more appropriately considered under the head of secondary formations.

A Gujarati instance, written with the short u, is वर्त्तगुक "carriage," "deportment," "behaviour," from वर्त्तवुं "to behave," but this is evidently a modern word, probably borrowed from Marathi, and bringing with it the ण of the Marathi infinitive, which has no place in G. itself. If we derive वर्तगुक from वर्त्तवुं, we must treat the m as part of the suffix, as Taylor

does, which is erroncous. It is really वर्त्तेण + जिल. Moreover, the form of the word with the combination  $\hat{\pi}$  is that of a very modern Tadbhava, and the meaning is one of a somewhat secondary kind, so that, all things considered, the word can hardly be admitted as genuine Gujarati; and as I find no other example of the kind, I am induced to conclude that this suffix is, except in Tatsamas, confined to Marathi.

§ 10. The last of the -a stems is tra. Words formed with this suffix indicate the instrument. In the majority of instances the  $\mathbf{T}$  goes out, leaving only the t (see examples in Vol. I. p. 337). Some words, however, preserve both letters by splitting the nexus, and Sindhi, as a rule, substitutes  $\mathbf{T}$ , which is pronounced tr, and as such is to be regarded merely as a peculiar method of writing. This class contains a large number of common words, some of which are extant in two forms, the earlier Tadbhava and the later Tatsama; thus, while  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{T}$ , and from the Saptaçatakam we know that the r was dropped as early as Prakrit. Sindhi has a class derived from the stems in this suffix when preceded by i and forming  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}$ , in Sindhi  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{T}$  or  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}$ ; thus:

वाज्दु "a musical instrument," verb वज्या "to sound." विह्रु "a beast of burden," " वह्या "to carry."

But there are not, I believe, any parallel instances in other languages, except those already given in the First Volume.

§ 11. Much interest attaches to the stem which comes next in our list, both on account of the widespread and deepscated ramifications which it exhibits, and because one of its developments is of the highest importance in the elucidation of the mystery of genders in some of the languages. The suffix in question is technically known as **ZTQ**; but its effect is to add

आ å to the root of a verb, so as to produce abstract nouns, or nouns denoting the idea involved in the verb; as to endeavour," fel "effort." Closely connected with it is we, also leaving which only differs from ziv in the class of verbs to which it is added, a distinction not at all important to our present inquiry, and not of very much moment even in Sanskrit. The Pandits, being rather oppressed with the amount of idle time on their hands, have employed themselves in multiplying useless distinctions, which in this busy age we are forced to disregard. The suffix  $\hat{a}$ , whether technically classed as टाप or ग्रङ, is practically the feminine suffix of the majority of nouns whose masculines in a have been discussed in the preceding sections. It is important, however, to note that Sanskrit masculines in a form their feminines both in  $\hat{a}$  and in  $\hat{i}$ ; and as the rules for the adoption of the one or the other termination are somewhat intricate, dictionary-makers in most cases add the pratyaya in brackets: to wit, ट्राप् or ग्रह when the fem. is in â, solu when it is in â.

In Tatsamas this suffix, of course, remains, as पूजा "worship," चिना "thought," and the like. In Tadbhavas it is invariably shortened to a, which is, as before noted, mute for practical purposes in all the languages except Sindhi. This suppression of the long vowel is, in cases where a double consonant precedes, compensated for in the usual way by the lengthening of the preceding vowel. A few instances have been given in Vol. I. p. 182; but as the question is really one of the formation of the stem, it will be better to give a full list in this place; and as the words now quoted are of very frequent occurrence, the exhibition of a considerable number will be useful, for the sake of the individual words as much as for the rule itself. For our grammar-writers, being more of the rule-of-thumb sort of people than philologists, have, especially in Marathi, been much exercised on this point, in their endeavours to account for the fact that the majority of these words are feminine. They seem

to consider that the final consonant has been the deciding element in the matter, and lay down, or attempt to lay down, rules for each letter; as, for instance, that final z is feminine and final z masculine, evidently not being aware that neither z nor Z have anything to do with the matter, but that the words in which those letters now appear as finals are derived from Skr. words ending in a, which has been absorbed, leaving the preceding consonant, no matter what it be, as a final; and the cause of these words being feminine is not any peculiarity inherent in the consonant, which has now, as it were by accident, become final, but results from the words having been feminine in Sanskrit and Prakrit. For the rule holds good for the modern Arvan group, as well as for their Romance and Teutonic cousins, that the gender of the ancient mother speech is faithfully preserved, in spite of all changes. In German much of the difficulty which foreigners experience in determining the gender of nouns would be removed were they better acquainted with the forms of the Old High German. "Gender was, in the older language, easily recognizable from the form and method of declension of the word itself. When once we know the full Old German inflexion of a substantive, we can have no further doubt as to its gender. In our modern speech, however, these marks of gender have to a great extent been worn away and obliterated. Compare, for instance, 'der Dorn' (masc.) and 'das Horn' (neuter), 'der Wind' and 'das Land,' 'der Vogel' and 'die Nadel,' etc., with the Gothic equivalents thaurn-us and haurn, vind-s and land, fugl-s and nethla, 'der Same' (or Samen), 'die Staude' and 'das Ende,' with the Old-High-German samo, studa, enti." In the same way in the modern Aryan languages, our doubt as to why dant should be masculine and bât feminine is removed when we look back to the Sanskrit dant-as and vârtâ respectively. It would be well if those who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Heyse, Lehrbuch d. Deutschen Sprache, vol. i. p. 443. Compare also the forms of the Gothic declensions at p. 96 of the same volume.

write our Indian Dictionaries for us would put the original word in a bracket for our guidance. Unfortunately they do not usually know the original themselves.

My list, which is only a specimen, and by no means exhaustive, is as follows; it consists of Skr. feminines in a, irrespective of the *pratyayas* by which they are considered by native grammarians to be formed:

Skr. जर्णा "wool," H. जन, P. उत्त, S. उन, but G. जन is n.

Skr. खुरा "bedstead," H. खुर, खार, P. खुरू, S. खुर, G. M. खार.

Skr. गोधा "iguana," H. गोह, P. S. id., G. घो.

Skr. क्या "shadow," H. क्रां, क्रांव, क्रांह, क्रांत्रों, P. क्रां, क्रांचं, S. क्रांव, क्रां, G. क्रांच.

Skr. जंघा "leg," H. जांघ, G. M. id., P. जंघ, S. जंघ.

Skr. जिह्ना "tongue," H. जीभ, P. G. M. id., S. जिभ.

Skr. दूर्वा "dûb-grass," H. दूब.

Skr. द्वाचा "vine," H. दाख, P. id., S. ड्राख.

Skr. निद्धा "sleep," H. नींद, P. id., S. निंड, G. नींद, M. नीद, मीज

Skr. पोड़ा "pain," H. पोड, Old-H. पोर, P. पोड, also and in the rest पोड़ा.

Old Hindi confounds & and T; thus Chand writes:

#### को जानि मात विंद्यनी पीर॥ सौति की साल साले सरीर॥

"Who knows, O mother! the pain of a barren woman?

The dart of a rival wife pierces the body!"—Pr. R. i. 178.

Skr. माता "mother," Old-H. मात, as in the line above quoted, ordinarily मा, माई and माउ.

Skr. माला "garland," M. and G. माळ.

Skr. रचा "ashes," H. राख, P. G. M. id., S. रख.

Skr. राष्ट्रा "widow," H. राष्ट्र, G. M. O. B. id., P. र्ष, S. रन (see Vol. I. p. 299).

Skr. रेखा "streak," रेख, P. G. id., M. रेघ, रेह.

The Sindhi te means the first streak of down on the cheeks of a young man, and may be derived from ter, in the same sense that the Persian and Urdu poets use be "line" in the sense of whiskers or moustache. Thus, to take an illustration from a popular Indian poet, Wali says:

"The moustache fears the mirror,

As the thief fears the watchman."

Skr. জ্ব্ৰা "shame," H. জ্বাৰ, G. M. O. and Old-B. id., P. জ্ব্ৰা, S. জ্ব্ৰা. The form জ্ব্ৰা is also in common use.

Skr. जत्ता "kick," H. जात, B. O. M. G. id., P. जत्त, S. जत, B. also जाथ.

Skr. वला "rein," H. बाब, and so in all.

## उलटी जुराज पृथिराज बाग॥ यिक सुर गिग्गन धर धसत नाग॥

"When Prithirâj the King turned rein,

The heavens stood still, the earth trembled, and the earth-serpent."

—Chand, Pr. R. xx. 33.

(सुर is for खर् "heaven," गिरगन = गगन "sky," धर = धरा "earth," धसत = ध्वंभ्रत "falling to pieces," and नाग is the serpent Sheshnâga, who supports the earth on his head; or we may take धर् and नाग to be separated parts of a compound धर्नाग "the earth-serpent.")

Skr. वार्ता "speech," H. बात, P. G. id., M. also, but rare, S. बाति

Skr. विद्या "ægle marmelos," H. बेल, P. M. B. O. id.

Skr. श्रव्या "bed," Old-H. सज्या, H. सेज, P. id., G. M. श्रेज.

Page 8, line 13, of M. Garcin de Tassy's beautiful edition of Wali (Paris, 1834).
VOL. II.

Skr. भ्राजा "hall," H. साज, P. सार, G. M. भ्राळ, B. O. (vulgarly)

Skr. शिला "stone," H. सिल, P. सिळ, S. सिर्, M. शीळ, B. शिल, O. शिळ.

This word in the modern languages is generally restricted to the meaning of a peculiarly shaped flat stone on which spices are ground for the native dish "curry." In Sindhi, however, it means a "brick."

Skr. भ्रुपद्धा "elephant's trunk," H. सूंद्ध, P. सुंद्ध, S. सूंद्धि, M. भ्रुंद्धा, G. B. O. भ्रुंद्ध, G. also सुंद्ध and सुंद्ध.

Skr. संघ्या "evening," H. सांद्रा, P. संद्रा, S. सांद्री, संद्रा, but also संद्रो, G. सांज, M. id., B. O. सांद्रा.

Nearly all of the words in the above list retain the feminine gender throughout all the languages; but this point will be more fully dwelt on in the next chapter (see § 36).

Besides words of the class given above, there is an extremely numerous class consisting of abstract nouns, which may be formed at will from infinitives of all verbs by dropping the final syllable, and they then convey the sense inherent in the verb. Thus in Hindi मारना "to beat," and मार "a beating." Thus they say मुद्दा को बढी मार मारा "he beat me a great beating." It would not be correct to say that these abstract nouns were derived from the infinitive; on the contrary, in respect of formation, they stand on the same level with it. The original Sanskrit root पोड़, for instance, forms two nouns; by adding बङ् (आ) it forms the abstract पोड़ा "pain," and by adding बङ् (आ) it forms पीड़नं "the act of paining"; from the former we get पोड़ "pain," from the latter, पोड़ना "to pain," infinitive of the verb.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See § 9, (1), (β), feminines in ak.

Some few of the commoner pairs of words may here be set down.

```
Hindi मार "a beating," मार्ना "to beat."

" दीड "a running," दीडना "to run."

" भूल "an error," भूलना "to err."

" चढ "a rising," चढना "to ascend."

" अटक "a stopping," अटकना "to be stopped."
```

It is these abstract nouns which are used with a long list of auxiliary verbs to make the compound verbs so common in all the seven languages, as मार डालना "to kill," चढ बैउना "to mount upon a seat," and the like. In Sindhi all the verbs are capable of being used as abstract nouns by the rejection of the final syllable of the infinitive: as in these instances from Trumpp.¹

```
जाग "wakefulness," जाग्णु "to be awake."
मई "pardon," मईणु "to pardon."
सघ "strength." सघणु "to be strong."
```

In Marathi also there are numerous abstract nouns of this sort, with which may be joined the corresponding words in Gujarati.

```
M. साग "adherence," सागीं "to adhere." G. साग and सागवुं.

" भर् "fullness," भरीं "to fill." " भर् " भर्वुं.

" चास "motion," चासीं "to move." " चास " चासवुं.

" धाव "running," धावीं "to run." " धाव " धाववुं (poet.).
```

Instances may also be found in quantities in the other languages, but it is unnecessary here to adduce them. The formation of these abstract nouns in some cases necessitates the lengthening of the radical vowel of the root, and in cases where that vowel is *i* or *u*, it is changed into the guna vowel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sindhi Grammar, p. 46.

This process is more clearly seen in Sindhi than in the other languages; thus we have—

झाट "snatching," झटगु "to snatch." भोब "error," भुलगु "to err."

Thus also in Hindi, where the verb **बगना** corresponds to an abstract noun **बाग**, and **पबना** to **पाव**; **भिद्ना** to **भेद**; and **पुतना** to **प्रोत**. As usual in these languages, there are very many of these abstract nouns which it is difficult to trace back to any Sanskrit root; the principle, however, is the same in all: when once established in the popular mind, it was by degrees extended to words in which it had no business to appear.

 $\S$  12. The group of stems ending in i consists principally of i, ni, and ti. The former added to verbs composes abstracts or appellatives; but the final short i is in most cases rejected in the modern languages, except Sindhi. Thus, taking all three suffixes together:

Skr. श्वामि "fire," H. श्राम, M. G. id., P. श्वरमा, B. श्वामिन and श्रामुन, S. श्वामि, O. शिश्व for श्रामिश्व.

Skr. हानि "hurt," H. हान, S. हाणि, G. id. and हानी, M. हाण.

Skr. भूमि "earth," H. भूम, भूई, भूं, P. id. and भोई, S. भू, भूई, G. भू, भोच, भूच, M. भूच, B. भूम, O. id. and भूई.

Skr. रात्र "night," H. रात, P.G.M. id., S. राति, B.O. राति and रात.

See also examples in Vol. I. p. 315, as HE, ale, ale, and the like.

Skr. गीति "song," H. गीत, and so in all, but S. गीतु (m.).

Skr. जाति "caste," H. जात, and so in all, but S. B. O. जाति.

Skr. स्नृति "memory," H. P. सुर्त.

Skr. सति "opinion," H. सत, P. id., and so in all, though सति is used in literature.

In this class also the gender depends upon that of the Sanskrit, and has nothing to do with the consonant which may happen to be left final by rejection of the vowel.

In Sindhi there is a class of words, not very extensive, formed with the suffix ti, which expresses abstract nouns, having also a verb of the same meaning. Trumpp's instances (Gr. p. 49) are:

खपति "expense," verb खपणु "to spend."
वणति "pleasure," ,, वणणु "to please."
चड़ित "remission," ,, चड़िणु "to remit" (Old-H. चंडना,
Mod.-H. चोडना).

हबति "behaviour," " हज्जणु "to go."

So also the double noun आवित जावित (literally, "coming and going") "income and expenditure."

In the other languages the short final i is generally rejected; when retained, it is mostly lengthened to i. An example of the former is the curious II. word **MEG** or **MEG**, which now means "brokerage," "commission." This occurs in Chand. I. 3, in the form **MEG**, and with the meaning probably of "increase." There is no modern verb from which it can be derived; but it is perhaps to be referred to the Skr. root **MEQ** ridh, "to increase," through a form **MEG**. In the other languages the corresponding word is S. **MEG** (f.), G. **MEG** (f.), M. **MEG**, O. B. **MEG**.

With long i we have-

- H. P. चढती "profit," "rise of prices," from चढना "to rise," M. id., G. चढती "rise," "advance," S. चढहति, O. चढति, B. id.
- H. जगती "assessment," from जगना "to be attached," M. जगत, G. जगत (f.) "intimacy," S. जगति, B. O. जागति, जागित.
- H. चलती "movement," from चलना "to move," M. id. "influence." P. चलती, S. चलति "custom," "habit," O. चलति, B. id.
  - H. P. बसती "settlement," from बसना "to dwell," M. वसति, G. वसती,

S. वसंदी, with totally uncalled for anuswara and softening of त to द, probably induced by a belief that the word was the feminine of the present participle active, as in English "a dwelling," O. बहित, B. id.

H. भरती "filling," "completion," from भर्ना ." to fill," P. id., M. भरती, G. id., S. भर्थी, O. B. भर्ती.

The words of this form are not, however, universally composed by the addition of the suffix त; for instance, II. अरती "the earth," is from Skr. अरवी "the supporter." This suffix is commonly, but erroneously, added to Persian words, as "deficiency," from "less." Here may also be mentioned those two excessively common vulgarisms "jāsti" and "parwasti," so perpetually in the mouths of the lower classes. Pārwasti, meaning "protection," "favour," is used instead of the correct Persian "parwasta, "protected." But "jāsti," which is used instead of 'yarwasta, "protected." But "jāsti," which is used instead of 'yarwasta, "more," defies analysis; and I have never heard any attempt to account for it: it is perhaps in some way corrupted from إلادة عنه المعادلة المعادلة

The labial vowel is found throughout every branch of these languages in strict parallelism to the palatal, here also it is so; there are stems in u, nu, tu, and ru. They present, however, no particularly noteworthy peculiarities. Common examples are as follows:

Skr. विन्दु "a drop," H. बूंद, बुंदा, बिंदी, P. बुंद, S. बुंदी, बूंद, M. बुंद, बूंद, G. बुंद, बुंदि, B. O. बिंदी.

Skr. वायु "wind," H. बाव, बाई, B. बान्री, O. बान्न, M. वाव, G. वा, वाइ, S. P. वाच.

Skr. बाफ़ "arm," H. बांह, P. S. id., G. बांहि, M. id. and बाफ़, B. O. बाह.

¹ Vulgarly used for "enlisting in a regiment," "engaging in a service," with বাবা when used of the person who hires or engages the soldier, and with হালা when speaking of the soldier himself.

The general rule for these groups is that the final short vowel is in a majority of cases rejected by all the languages except Sindhi; and when retained, is generally lengthened. Cases occur in which t is substituted for u, as in bindt for vindu. Of the common suffix un or un, I treat in § 16, because there are some peculiarities in its use which render it both uncertain in origin and partial in application. None of these suffixes are used in the modern languages as additions to verbal roots, so that they do not come under the head of genuine modern primaries.

Skr. वधू "wife," H. बह, P. बोह, M. S. वह, B. O. बह, बउ, G. ; these three, being careless of quantity, shorten the vowel.

The monosyllable  $\mathbf{z}_i$  "eyebrow," undergoes considerable changes, as H.  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$ , P.  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$  S. introduces its favourite i in  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$ , while O., on the other hand, inserts u in  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$  G.  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$  M.  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$  and  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$  are probably derived from some formative, rather than from  $\mathbf{z}_i$  itself.  $\mathbf{z}_i$  "earth," has been illustrated above, under  $\mathbf{H}_i^i$  (§ 12).

§ 14. The termination  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$  of a large class of nouns in Sanskrit, where it is preceded by  $\overline{\mathbf{n}}$ , represents an older form  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1,\overline{1}}$ , the  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  of which, though rejected in the Sanskrit nominative, still survives in such Old-Hindi forms as  $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1,\overline{1}}$  "a doer," and is found

in all the oblique cases of the Sanskrit noun. At p. 165 of Vol. I. these words were somewhat cursorily noticed. It may therefore be as well to examine the whole subject more fully here.

As the noun in all the modern languages takes its form from the nominative case of Sanskrit, and omits entirely the grammarian's fiction of a separate base-form, it would be expected that in this class of stems the groundwork would be the nominative in  $\hat{a}$ , as  $\frac{1}{3}$ ; but this is the case, strictly speaking, only in Tatsamas. The large and important class of words denoting relationship and professions exhibits numerous different forms.

In Prakrit there are several systems; the simplest and commonest is the substitution of aro for ri, as  $n\pi i$  "husband," Skr.  $n\pi i$ . In this case the Prakrit merely perpetuates the true old Aryan nominative, rejecting the corruption which has taken place in classical Sanskrit into ri. From the analogy of the cognate forms in allied languages we see this, as Latin  $dat \bar{o}r$ , Greek  $\delta \omega r \dot{\eta} \rho$ , which postulate a Skr. dat ar; the final o in Pr. arises from its custom of requiring a vowel-ending, which leads it to attach a vowel to Skr. nouns ending in a consonant (Var. iv. 6, 8), or to reject the final consonant itself. From this form arises the Old-Hindi form  $a \in I$  quoted above, still in use in modern Panjabi  $a \in I$  and S.  $a \in I$ .

Prakrit follows the Sanskrit in shortening the vowel in the familiar and much used words denoting relationship, પિતૃ "father," आतृ "brother," जामातृ "son-in-law," which stand for पित्, आत्, जामात्, respectively, as is shown by their making in the other cases पित्म, not पितारम, etc. Thus also, while Lat. has datōr, datōrem, it has patēr, patrem; and Greek δωτήρ, δωτῆρα, but πατὴρ, πατέρα, and πατρὸς; Prakrit has પિম্বা, भाषा, जामाबरो (Var. v. 45). In these words, however, there is also the contracted form पित्रा, भाषा, and this is apparently the only form permissible in the corresponding

feminine  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}}$  ( $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}}$ ) "mother," which makes  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}}$ . This latter is the form in use in Pali, as  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{I}}}$ . In the oblique cases comes out a form in u, thus

Nom. Plural भत्तुणो for Skr. भत्तीर:. Instr. Sing. भत्तुणा " भर्ताः Loc. Plural भत्तुसु " भर्त्तृषु (Var. v. 33).

This rule is not extended by Vararuchi to nouns of relationship, though in Pali the u form occurs in the genitive sing. and plur. as पितुस्त, भातुस्त, Pl. पितृन, भातून, etc.

There are then in the mediæval or Prakrit stage three types of this class of nouns: first, that in aro, shortened in nouns of relationship to aro; second, that in a; third, that in u. No one of these forms runs through the whole series, or is found in every case of the noun, except perhaps the first. When discussing the phonetic changes of **\vec{n}** (Vol. I. p. 159), it was shown that though this vowel migrates into u but rarely, and principally in words which already have a labial consonant adjoining or preceding the vowel, yet, that in the modern, and probably to a great extent in the mediaval languages also, it was often pronounced as ru, so that we might expect to find this formation in u somewhat common in the modern languages. The Pali forms pitu, bhâtu, etc., may thus be taken to have arisen from pitru, the vulgar pronunciation of pitri, though it is also possible to derive them from pitaru, shortened from pitaro. This latter derivation is, however, rendered less probable by the fact that Pali has this nominative in u for words which retain the older and fuller form aro, as kattu, for कर्त "doer;" satthu, for nie "ruler," where the clision of the long vowel would seem to be too violent a supposition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Grammaire Palie de Kaccâyana, par. M. E. Senart, Journal Asiatique, sixth ser. vol. xvii. p. 220. It is Kaccâyana's second chap. on nouns, rule 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> lb. ii. 40.

In the modern languages the termination u or  $\hat{u}$  is common. Examples are:

Skr. पितु "father," P. S. पिड, and occasionally in Old-H.

Skr. मातु "mother," P. माज, माउं, मांड, मार्र, मा, S. माड.

Skr. भातु "brother," P. भाउ, भाज, S. भाउ, M. भाज.

Skr. नपू "grandson," M. नातू, H. नाती.

The other languages, however, have in some cases, as in H. Hit, Find, etc. The word for a "barber" may be introduced here. In classical Skr. its form is Fiven, but this is said to be from an older form Fiven for Fiven, agent of causal of Fi, in the sense of "to cleanse." It becomes Fish in M., but Fit in all the other languages, except B. and O., which retain the form Fiven. Marathi, Sindhi, and Panjabi are, it will be seen, the languages which mostly affect this form in u. Hindi generally exhibits that in i or û. It is followed in most in the word below. Skr. usual "worshipper," H. usual, and so in all, M. also usual, and S. usual. The latter is referred by Trumpp, erroneously as I think, to the suffix âru (see § 15). The Prakrit form would, we may suppose, be usually or usual.

This is again one of those cases where confusion arises from three or four different pratyayas, whose forms were quite distinct in Sanskrit, having by phonetic changes all come to have the same form in the modern languages. Thus a word ending in u or  $\hat{u}$  may either come from the pratyaya u, as  $k\hat{a}ru$ , "a doer," or from uka, as  $k\hat{a}t\hat{u}$ , "cutter," or from  $\hat{u}ka$ , as  $j\hat{a}jar\hat{u}$ , "watchful," or from ri, as  $n\hat{a}t\hat{u}$ , "grandson." It is not possible in each case to decide which of these terminations is the true one; and in many cases it may be safely asserted, that

<sup>1</sup> In all the ceremonies of the Hindu religion in the present day a preliminary shaving by the barber is a necessary part of the purification which must be undergone by the celebrant.

u or  $\hat{u}$  having come to be considered the usual termination for a large class of words of agency, the vulgar tacked it on to all sorts of words, as was seen a few pages back in the case of G. 

T, where it is added on to the foreign word , without any regard to the hybrid nature of the word thus produced. This habit is common to all languages, and may be paralleled by instances in our own, as "starvation," where a Latin termination has been unceremoniously tacked on to a Teutonic verb "starve" (M. H. G. sterben). It will not be necessary therefore to pursue this question any further.

In the majority of instances the modern languages have formed words of this class from the Sanskrit nom. in  $\hat{a}$ , and in these cases there is nothing remarkable to notice. Such words are for the most part Tatsamas, and do not therefore enter into the current speech of the people very largely.

§ 15. The dissyllabic suffixes in Skr. are athu, âlu, and ishnu. The first does not seem to have left any traces in primary stems, though under various modifications it appears as the foundation of secondary stems in several languages.

The second, d/u, is extremely common, both as a primary and secondary. An allied suffix is dru, and from the close connexion between the two, it comes to pass that a form with a cerebral l is in general use in the dialects which possess that letter. Instances of primary words, according to the view of the Indian grammarians, are the following; though they seem to make into primaries, by deriving them from almost imaginary verbs, many words which are strictly secondaries derived from nouns. As I said before, it is not worth while to stick very closely to this division.

निद्राजु "sleepy," H. निद्राजु, S. निडारो, G. निद्राळु, M. निद्राळू. B. O. निद्राजु (rare).

द्यानु "merciful," H. B. P. O. द्यानु and द्यान्, M. द्याळ, द्याळू, G. id., S. द्यानु. This termination is of frequent occurrence, and is one of those which are attached to all sorts of words, without regard to origin. The common, and often noticed rule holds good here also, that when a people have once got to feel that a certain termination carries a certain meaning, they extend its use to all words in their language. Thus, from modern verbal roots come the following:

Verbal root द्मगढ "quarrel," H. द्मगडानु "quarrelsome," M. G. द्मगडाळू. P. B. O. द्मगडानु.

Verbal root दुर "fear," H. दुर्ा "fearful."

Sindhi, as usual, changes l to r:

Marathi is particularly rich in words of this type, such as

A long string of them will be found under secondary formations. The third suffix, ishnu, is of very rare occurrence even in Sanskrit, and I have not observed any words which can be referred to it in the modern languages.

With regard to चन, वन, मन, and दून, there is also very little to be said. The first three are similar in treatment. Masculines of this stem form their nominative in â, neuters in a, the modern languages accept the nom. as their type. Thus दावन "king," nom. दावा, which is the form in use in all the moderns. Instances are:

Skr. नामन् "name," nom. नाम, H. नाम्, and so in all.
Skr. जवान् "birth," nom. जवा, H. जनम्, P. जनम्, जस्मण्.
Skr. पर्वन् "festival," nom. पर्व, H. पर्व, P. B. O. id., M. G. पर्व,
S. पिर्भ.

Skr. दामन "rope," nom. दामा, H. दाम, दाव, and so in all. Skr. चर्मन् "skin," nom. चर्म, H. चाम, चर्म, and so in all. Skr. प्रेमन् "love," nom. प्रेम, H. प्रेम, प्रेम, and so in all.

Nouns in **T** form their nominative in **t**, in which they are regularly followed by the moderns. As this suffix will be more fully discussed in several other places, I omit instances from this section.

§ 16. The stems, or themes, or bases, for all three terms are used by various authors, hitherto discussed, are all distinctly traceable to Sanskrit stems. But there are in the modern languages, with their rich and varied development, numerous classes of nouns whose terminations point to a common source, which yet cannot always be distinctly referred, in a manner admitting of no doubt, to either a Sanskrit or Prakrit original. Others again there are, which, though they can in some instances be brought back to Sanskrit, are only of partial application, being found in some languages, and not in others. must be remembered that it is only in one language out of the group that any attempt has yet been made to classify or analyze these formations. In the rest the grammarians simply give rules for the declension of nouns, without troubling themselves to explain how the body of the word was formed. Only in Sindhi have the valuable labours of Dr. Trumpp put me in a position to understand the formation of the noun in this least known of all the group. Often from this exhaustive work light has shone into all the languages, and I cannot too often or too fully acknowledge my obligations to it. It follows, however, from what I have just said, that it is impossible at present for any one writer to carry out to the full the somewhat minute system of classification that has been observed in the foregoing easily recognized classes. The Indian languages in this respect fully establish a right to be considered the equals of their

Romance cousins, in the number, variety, and expressiveness of the derivatives which they have formed out of their own native resources. Frequently, too, they have adopted a form of noun from Sanskrit, and finding it useful and convenient, have extended the principle to their own Tadbhava or Desaja verbs, so that we know them only to be primaries from the existence of such verbs. All the forms that I have as yet come across I now group together in this section.

(1).  $\xi$  is affixed to causals to denote "the wages or cost of doing anything."

Hindi घोना "to wash," causal घोनाना, nom. घोनाई "art of washing," M. धुनाई.

Hindi ढोना "to carry," causal ढोलाना, nom. ढोलाई "cost of carriage."

Hindi बटना "to twist ropes," caus. बटाना, nom. बटाई "hire for making ropes."

Hindi गढना "to beat out," caus. गढाना, nom. गढाई "hire for making ornaments of gold and silver."

Writers on Marathi grammar tell us that this form is only used in words of Hindi origin; but it may fairly be doubted if there be in Marathi any such thing as a word of Hindi origin. Molesworth—although he sometimes incloses in brackets the corresponding Hindi word after a Marathi onc—guards his readers against supposing that the Hindi word is the original, and tells us that he merely puts down the Hindi, because it is the same as the Marathi, leaving it an open question which is the original. It is more consonant with what we know of the relations between these languages to suppose that both formed these words independently from the Prakrit. This view is further strengthened by the fact that a similar form exists in more or less frequency in all the languages of the family, except Sindhi, which expresses the idea of "wages" or "ex-

penses" by a form in suff or suff, as mentioned in § 6. Bengali and Oriya have numerous instances of this form, as होनाई" cost of carriage," but in O., from some forgetfulness of the original meaning, we often hear the phonetic expression ढोलाइ खर्च or ढोलाइ टंका. Panjabi sometimes inserts a व, owing to the existence of this semivowel in its causal, and the same practice prevails in rustic Hindi, as चराना or चरवाना "to graze cattle;" P. H. चराई or चरवाई "wages of a herdsman or shepherd;" P. दवाई "cost of pulling down a building," from ढवाना "to demolish;" पिसाई "wages for grinding," from fuersum "to cause to grind," and many others. G. also exhibits numerous words of this type, but also expresses the idea by a form in मण, the म of which arises from व, as in पामवं "to find," H. पाउना (Skr. प्रापणं). In चरामण "wages of a herdsman," the older form would be चरावण, which is analogous to the Sindhi form in suff, mentioned above.

(2).  $\[ \]$  is employed after causals to denote an act of any kind, and is frequently written  $\[ \]$ . In many cases the sense would point to a derivation from the simple verb, and in these cases we must treat the termination as  $\[ \]$ , and derive it by means of the Skr. affix  $\[ \]$ , or  $\[ \]$ , the  $\[ \]$  of which is preserved in Sindhi, but changed into  $\[ \]$  according to the genius of that language, and takes a feminine in  $\[ \]$ , probably from the  $\[ \]$  having been regarded as the ordinary Sindhi masc. nom. of  $\[ \]$  a-stems, and not, as it really is, an organic portion of the suffix. Sindhi, however, in a few instances, rejects the  $\[ \]$ , thus coming more into conformity with the other languages.

Verbal root ग्रह्मा "stop," H. ग्रह्माव, P. ग्रह्माउ, S. id., G. ग्रह्माव, M. id. and ग्रह्मावा.

Verbal root खेंच "pull," H. खिचाव, P. खिचाउ.

Verbal root युक्त "cause to melt," H. ग्राव, P. ग्रावाड.

Verbal root घिसा "rub," H. घिसाव.

Verbal root घुमा "whirl" (active), H. घुमाव, P. घुमाउं.1

Verbal root ঘত "ascend," H. ঘতাৰ "act of ascending," "rise," P. ঘতাৰ, S. id., G. ঘতাৰ, M. id., but rare.

Verbal root चरा "cause to graze," H. चराव, O. चरा.

Verbal root क्या "to conceal," H. क्याव.

Verbal root पত্ত "fall," "alight," H. पত্তাব "encamping-ground," P. G. id., M. id. and पত্তাব, O. पত্তা.

Verbal root बिक "be sold," II. बिकाव "sale," O. बिका.

Formations of this class are so common in Hindi, that it may be said that every verb in the language may give rise to such a noun. They are less common in the other languages. In Sindhi the examples, besides those given above, are

> घरदु "surrounding," verb घरणु "to surround." चिमकादु "jingling," , चिमकणु "to jingle." भृणिकाद् ) "humming," .. भृणिकणु "to hum."

Owing to the fact that Sindhi, when it omits the  $\mathbf{z}$ , as  $\mathbf{z}$  as  $\mathbf{z}$ ,  $\mathbf{z}$  it is at times difficult to distinguish words of this class from those derived by the suffix  $\mathbf{z}$ , as noted in § 9 (4). The only distinction is that the latter class makes the u long. In Gujarati, where the distinction between long and short u is very seldom observed, the difficulty of distinguishing is still greater. Fortunately, however, G. frequently writes words of the present class, like H., with  $\mathbf{z}$ . They are not very common in G. Besides those given above, I find also—

ठराव "determination," "certainty," verb ठराववुं "to determine." मचाव "stirring up (a quarrel)," , मचाववुं "to stir up."

<sup>&#</sup>x27;In special sense of a measure of land, originally as much as could be ploughed in a day, from the bullocks turning at each end of the furrow, much as we use the word "turn" in such expressions as "a day's turn of work;" a ghumâu would be "a turn of the plough."

This termination is rare in Marathi, though the syllable आव is used in the formation of secondary verbs; and it seems also foreign to the genius of B. and O. The few words that are to be found appear to have been borrowed in comparatively modern times from Hindi, such as B. चढाओ, O. चढाउ, "an attack," H. चढाव; and O., as in the instances चरा, पडा, विका, given above, generally drops the final व or उ.

(3). Probably connected with the last-mentioned is the very common Hindi form in 刻有之, with allied forms 刻度之,刻之. The fact that many of these words imply sounds of various kinds has led to the supposition that they arise from compounding the verb with the noun 刻度之"noise," "sound," but this is not altogether probable. It might rather be conjectured that the process is just the reverse, and that 刻度之 is a mere onomatopoetic word derived from the termination, on account of its having some resemblance to the sound. The word is used to express principally light and repeated noises, as "tap-tap," "pit-a-pat," and the like. The two forms, that with 氧 and that with ₹, often exist in the same word. Instances are—

Hindi खिसलाहर "slipperiness," खिसलाना "to cause to slip;" खिसियाहर "fretfuiness," खिसियाना "to vex;" खुजलाहर "itching," खुजलाना "to itch:" घबराहर "confusion," घवराना "to be confused;" घुलावर "melting," घुजाना "to melt" (active); चमकावर, चमकाहर "plendour," चमकाना "to cause to glitter;" चुनावर "plaiting," चुन्ना "to plait;" छुहावर "touch," छुह्ना "to touch;" झन्झनाहर "tinkling," झन्झनाना "to tinkle;" झुन्नालाहर "whining," झुन्नाना "to whine," "fret;" झुकावर "stooping," झुन्नाना "to stoop." तडफडाहर "fluttering," तडतडाहर "trickling," तंतनाहर "tingling," फडफडाहर "throbbing," are all formed from verbs of the same sound.

There is a very large class of these words in Hindi, both in ahat and awat, as well as contracted into me, as general breakage," from again "to cause to break." These forms

all run into one another to so great an extent that it is very difficult to draw the line between them. Thus from बझाना "to explain," we have agree "the act of explaining," but also बुद्दीती, i.e. बुद्धावती, with the same meaning. The origin of these forms being unknown to the masses, it was natural that they should confuse any two of them which had at all a similar sound. Moreover there is some obscurity about the exact derivation of this and the preceding form in आव. The presence of long  $\hat{a}$  does not necessarily prove that these words are taken from the causal verb in all cases. In some instances the sense leads to a derivation from the simple verb, as in चढाव, पडाव, which come from चढना, पडना, respectively, and not from चढाना, पडाना. The long vowel must therefore be assigned to the suffix, and in cases where the word has, on account of its meaning, to be referred to the causal, it appears that the two long vowels, that of the verbal root and that of the suffix, have coalesced into one, without any further lengthening.

Panjabi possesses a great number of words of this triple form, mostly identical with Hindi, as uatiaz, uatiaz, and uatia. From the peculiar softness of Panjabi articulation, the g in the second form would be very indistinctly heard, so that, writing according to sounds, the third form would represent more correctly than the others the spoken word. Thus the numerals that 71, aiz 72, az 73, aiz 74, and the rest, sound generally ikât, bât, tet, chaut. Usti "father-in-law" is in most districts saurâ. The Hindi-speaking people, on the contrary, pronounce the generally very clearly and distinctly, perhaps rather overdoing it; so that they would naturally retain the forms in aiz, and in the eastern Hindi area aiz, where Panjabi prefers the shortened form aiz.

Sindhi does not appear to have any words of this form, but instances of a similar and possibly connected form will be found in § 20. Gujarati has আবন, আবz, and আz, I do not find আহz. Of the former, instances are बनावट and बनावत "fiction," बनाववं

"to make;" चाहावट "affection," चाहावुं "to love;" of the latter, चळकाट "brilliancy," गभराट an incorrect spelling of घबराट "confusion," गडवडाट "muddle," कणकणाट "shuddering," "tingling," etc., from verbs of similar form.

Marathi generally follows ways of its own in the numerous forms of derivatives in which it abounds; in the present instance, however, it is found to be to a limited extent in accordance with Hindi. The class of words which I am at present discussing appears in M. with the terminations \( \frac{1}{32}, \) \( \frac{1}{312}; \) \( \frac{1}{32}, \) बाट, and occasionally आटा. Nouns of this class are both primary and secondary; the termination, once established, having been extended by the vulgar to all sorts of words. This freedom, or rather licence of formation, is very noticeable in Marathi, which in my opinion is far richer in nominal formations than any other language of the group, and it is therefore noteworthy as a specimen of the blindness and unscientific method of the ordinary grammarians, that they either dismiss the question of stem-building without notice at all, or dispose of it in a few cursory remarks. It is really one of the most intricate and important questions of the whole subject, and if fully worked out, would demand a volume to itself.

Undoubted primary formations in M. are the following:

- वट घसवट "the state of being much used or worked," "practice," "routine," verb घासणें "to rub," Skr. घर्षणें.
  - ,, नांद्वर "residing," "state of being settled in a place," verb नांद्र्णें , "to dwell," Skr. नंदनं
  - . भाजवट "act of burning the weeds before ploughing," verb भाजिएं, "to parch," Skr. अर्जनं.
- वाट ्विह्वर ) "conduct," "management," verb वाह्यां "to carry," Skr. वह्नं.
- श्रट कर्पट "burnt in cooking," verb कर्पणें "to be burnt," Skr. कर्पणें.1

<sup>1</sup> From Eq in its original sense of being spoiled.

- षर क्षर "troublesome," "vexatious," "vile," verb खचर्णे or खचर्णे "to sink," "fail," "miscarry," Skr. खचनं.
- ,, चावट "tough," "clammy," lit. "much chewed," verb चावर्षे "to chew," Skr. चर्बएं.
- ,, चेंगर्ट "throng," "crowd," "crowded state of a street," verb चेंगर्शे "to squeeze," Skr. ——.²
- ,, चेपट "compressed," "flat," verb चेपों "to press," Skr. (Vol. 1. p. 212).
- आट कचाट "dilemma," "scrape," "impediment," verb कचीं "to yield,"
  "fail" (orig. "to be impeded"), Skr. कंचन "binding," "restraining," "impeding."
  - , ব্ৰব্ৰতাৰ "rattling," "grating," verb ব্ৰব্ৰব্ৰটা "to rattle" (onomatopoetic).
  - .. गडबडाट "confusion," "bustle," "muddle," verb गडबड्रों "to be in a muddle" (Vol. I. p. 336).
  - ,, घणघणाट "a peal," "ringing," verb घणघणणे "to ring loudly" (onomatopoetic).
- त्राटा इाकाटा "bawling," "outcry," verb हाक्षेषं "to call" (origin uncertain).

From the above examples it will be seen that M. agrees pretty closely with H., but that in several instances the form is adjectival; this peculiarity probably arises from the looseness with which these words are employed; they were originally substantives, but have passed over into adjectives by degrees.

There are words in Oriya which end in wz, but I am not

- 1 In the primary sense of limping, jostling, and more generally going badly or irregularly.
- 2 I take this word to be a formative of a familiar character deriving its origin ultimately from the Skr. चि "to heap," substantive चय, perhaps with अंग "body" added, so that we should get a word चयाग for the more regular Skr. अंगचय "crowd of bodies." Inversions of this sort are common in the moderns, and not unknown even in Skr.
- <sup>3</sup> The ordinary meaning "to drive" arises from the Indian practice of driving a herd by frantic shouting and calling.

prepared to affiliate them to this stem. Of words in ahat, avat, or at, I do not remember to have heard any, nor are there any instances in the dictionaries. The formation seems foreign to the genius of the language, as also to Bengali, though neither of these languages have had their depths searched out sufficiently yet, to enable a decided opinion to be given.

(4). Hindi possesses a range of words ending in **va** and **va**, which, though for the most part secondary, are also in some instances primary, though comparatively rarely so. The other languages have occasional analogous forms:

चढना "to mount," H. चढेत, चढेता "one who mounts," "a rider," P. चढहेत, चढहेता "a mounted groom," "a trooper," M. चढाईत, चढारीत "horseman," "climber."

डाकना "to shout," H. डकैत "robber," B. O. डाकाइत.

The origin of this form will be discussed, together with the allied forms ऋति and ऋति, under the secondary formations to which it seems more specially to belong.

(5). A widely-spread group of stems is that in আবন, with variants আন, আন, and আন, which may possibly be connected with stems in ana, and differ from them only in the long vowel; while, on the other hand, the full form আবন seems to run into the pure secondary form un, and has often a long vowel prefixed. In Bengali this form occurs as unfo, which points to the fem. unfo of other languages. I place this form among those of uncertain origin, because of the long vowel and the labial; also because, like many of the preceding stems, it occurs both as a primary and secondary in some languages. All these peculiarities throw a haze of doubt over its origin and development. Examples are as follows:

मावन H. पहिरावन ) "a garment bestowed on guests at weddings or पहिरावनी feasts," verb पहिराना "to clothe."

क्रिपीवनी "cultivating land by stealth," verb क्रिपाना "to conceal,"

- आवन छावनी "thatching," "an encampment," verb छाना "to thatch."
  - "despatch of goods," verb चलाना "to cause to go."
  - "to increase." to increase."
- भीना खिलीना "toy" (i.e. खिलावना), verb खिलाना "to cause to play."
  - . बिक्रीना "bedding," verb विक्रामा "to spread."
  - " भरीना "a load of wood," verb भराना "to cause to load."
- भान छुटान "leisure," verb छुटना "to be released."

It would seem proper here also to insert the word खडांव or खडांक "a wooden slipper or patten," which should probably be written खडांन or खडांवन, from the participle खडा "standing." It possibly owes its present shape to some funcied connexion or jingling with a पांव "foot."

Panjabi has विद्वाउणा "bedding," खिलीणा "toy," हाउणी "encampment," पहिनाउणी "present of clothes," and in general the same words as Hindi. In Sindhi this form is secondary only. Gujarati uses a form आमण, as पेहरामणी "dress given at a wedding;" वधामणी "congratulation," and "congratulatory gifts," verb वधाववुं "to welcome;" and a contracted form in विद्वानुं or •णुं "bedding." Instances of the Bengali form above quoted are विद्वाना "carpet," verb विद्वादते "to spread;" विगडानी "spoiling," विगडादते "to spoil;" जडानि "interlacing," "wrapping," जडादते "to wrap," "wind;" खुसडानि "packing up," खुसडिते "to pack." Oriya being in the habit of giving a sound of o to short a, uses forms खिलाना, विद्वाना, मर्ना, for the H. खिलीना, etc. The few words of this kind in Marathi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From its supposed efficacy in *increasing* the amount of grain in the heap on which it is placed (see Elliot's Races of North-West Provinces, vol. i. p. 235, my edition).

do not seem to be the genuine property of the language, and are in many instances undistinguishable from stems in -ana; they need not delay us.

- § 17. Leaving now this somewhat obscure and unsatisfactory class of words, let us go on to the secondary stems. There is a vast mass of these, and several of the purely secondary suffixes have been capriciously extended to verbs, thus bringing the words formed by them, according to our classification, under the head of primary stems. It will, however, be more convenient to treat these all as secondary, merely pointing out as they occur the instances in which they have passed over into primaries. Being all derived from nouns by the class of suffixes called Taddhita, these secondary formations fall into two great divisions: the first, those which add to a substantive or adjective a suffix which converts it into an abstract noun, descriptive of some character, occupation or quality; and the second, those which by the same process create appellatives or attributives. In this section I include only the abstract nouns.
- (1). The first I shall take is the very common suffix पन, with its numerous variations. This arises from the Skr. suffix खं, which I have conjecturally connected with चातान् "self" (Vol. I. p. 330), and which passes into ल्वण (also च्चण, Var. iv. 22), and, by the process described in the passage above cited of Vol. I., becomes त्पण and प्पण. It has many different forms in all the languages, as—
  - H. पन, पना, पा.
  - P. पुषा, पुषा, प्रथा, पर्या.
  - s. पणु, पणी, पो, प, पाई, पी.
  - G. पण, पणं, पो.
  - M. पण, पणा.
  - B. पन, पाना.
  - O. पण, पणिष.

## Examples:

Hindi. खट्टा "acid," खटापन and oपना "acidity;" उचक्रा "sharper," उचक्रापना "sharper's tricks," "fraud;" क्टाटा "small," क्टापा "smallness;" क्टल "fop," क्टलपन "foppishness;" बालक "child," बालकपन "childhood" (Chand बालपन, i.e. बालपन); बडा "great," बडापा "greatness;" बूढा "old," बुढापन and oपा "old age;" लडका "boy," लडकपन "boyhood;" लुद्धा "dissolute," लुद्धापन "dissolute living;" रांड "widow," रांडापा "widowhood;" मूर्ख "ignorant," मूर्खपन "clownishness."

Panjabi. बुढापा, बुढिपा "old age;" लडकपुणा "boyhood;" उचक्कापुणा "fraud;" मूरखपुणा "clownishness;" लुचपुणा "debauchery;" बालप्पण •पणा, •पुणा, •पुणा "childhood;" रंडिपा "widowhood;" and, peculiar to itself, कुइर "boy," कुइरपुणा and कुकुहरपुणा "boyishness;" मूडह "stupid" (Skr. मूढ), मूडहपुणा "stupidity;" क्षोकरा "boy," क्षोकरपुणा "boyhood."

Sindhi. बुढापणु, ॰पणो, बुढापो, बुढिपो, बुढिपोई, बुढिपो, बुढपणु, "old age;" रन "widow," रनापणु "widowhood;" जाल "woman," जालपणु "womanhood;" पण्डितु "pandit," पण्डितपणी "panditship;" वहु "seizing," वहुप "assistance;" वटि "near," वटिप "partiality;" नंढो "small," नंढपाई, नंढपणु, नंढेपो "youth;" वाहो "watchman," वाहिपो, वाहिप "watchman's work;" कांधी "coffin-bearer," कांधिप, ॰पणु "duties of a kandhi;" माण्ड "man," माण्डिपो, माण्ड अपणो "humanity;" मिक् "wild beast," मिक्पणो "bestiality." These are all, except one, from Trumpp, Sindhi Gr. p. 61.

Gujarati. Taylor's Grammar (p. 144) gives several examples which are not found in the dictionaries; but as the author is a resident of the province, he has probably heard the words from the people round him. Edulji's Dictionary does not apparently contain more than a third of the words in the language; and Narmada Sankar's, though much fuller, does not give all the formatives, except incidentally as explanations of some other

word. The words I have found are: घरडी "aged," घरडपण, ॰पणुं "old age;" संघी "blind," संघापणुं, ॰पी "blindness;" सांघळी "dark." सांघळापणुं "darkness;" रांड "widow," रंडापी "widowhood;" बृढापी, ॰पणुं "old age;" सवळी "inverted," सवळापणुं "invertedness;" सुद्यापणुं "dissoluteness." The word बळापो "grief," "anxiety," "torment," seems to be derived rather from the verb बळवं "to burn" (ज्वल॰) than from बळ "strength," and may be set down as an isolated instance of the use of this suffix as a primary.

Marathi. भना "good," भनेपण, "पणा "honesty;" चांगला "good," चांगलेपण, चांगलपण "goodness;" लेंक क् "child," लेंकुरपण, "पणा "childishness;" बालंत "a woman in childbirth," बालंतपण "attendance on such a woman." In M. also is the softened form वण, वाण, and a form वा, वी, वें, corresponding to the पा and पो of the other languages; as from चीर "thief," चीरवण, "वाण, "वें, and चीरविं "thievishness," or the "conduct of thieves;" लहान "small," लहानवी "smallness;" थोर "great," थोरवी "greatness;" वाईट "bad," वाईटपण "badness," says the grammar, but वाईटवाणा, "णो, says the dictionary; शहाणा "wise," शहाणपण "wisdom;" म्हातारा "old," म्हातारपण "old age." The words formed with this suffix are not given in large numbers in the dictionary, as it would appear that they can be formed at will from any adjective in the language.

Bengali. बुडापा, बुडापन "old age;" गुण्पना, "पाना "talent," "virtue;" जुञ्चापना "debauchery;" but the form, though occasionally heard in conversation, is not very common, as Bengali has another and commoner form in आमि for words of this class.

Oriya. Words of this form, as মুখোন, पাৰ্থান, are sometimes heard, but the form does not seem to be indigenous in the language, being very rarely met with. A genuine instance is সাঁত্ত "a rogue," সাঁত্তपणिश्वा "roguery;" also সামুখাখিয়া "the duties or profession of a Brahman," such as studying the Shâstras, performing religious ceremonies,

and the like; निटिम्रापण "laziness;" विटपण for विटपपण "dissoluteness," from विटप "dissolute."

The two points to be observed in the treatment of this stem are the method of joining it to the root, and the terminations which it takes. As to the first, if regarded as a mere pratyaya or suffix, it should in the case of Tatsamas be affixed to the bare root, so that from मूर्ख we should get मूर्खेपन = मूर्खेल, and this is what does actually happen, and so far the usage is regular. But when affixed to Tadbhava adjectives which have taken the å or oxytone ending, this å would be retained, as in बढापन, in which case we must not consider the word as having been derived from the Skr. वृद्धलं, but rather thus, that the termination was regarded as a thing apart, as a sort of qualifying particle which could be appended to all adjectives at will. From the detached character acquired by this particle arises the peculiarity that it is in H. sometimes, in M. G. and S. very frequently, attached to the oblique form of the noun, because the nominative form is regarded as appropriate to that state of the noun only in which it is not subjected to any influence from without, but is either an agent or a mere indication. Directly the noun becomes subject to influences of any sort, it passes into the oblique, and the addition of the syllable पन, or any of its variants, was regarded as subjecting the noun to an influence somewhat similar to that exercised by the case particles, and therefore demanding the oblique form. When we see the suffix added to nouns in the direct form, it would show that in these cases the form came into existence when the suffix had not yet won its detached character; and the unsettled nature of the terminations of the root in all the languages points, in confirmation of this supposition, to a time when the languages themselves were in a transitional state, and had not attained to fixity of practice.

Equally undetermined is the termination of the suffix itself.

There are first the forms H. P. M. पन (पण, पण) and पना, corresponding to which respectively are the S. um and um. These two forms reproduce the barytone and oxytone forms of nouns, and if, with some writers, we derive the latter form from an addition of क, we should have to suppose a Skr. form लकं giving Pr. त्तामं or प्यामं; as however in words of the form सन्द in Skr. the accent is on the last syllable "sattwá," it may be fairly reasoned that it would remain on that syllable in Prakrit also; and as the suffix itself has been conjectured to be shortened from man, the form in uni would be the original one, shortened as time went on into un from forgetfulness of the accent. The uncertainty in the method of affixing this ending, which is sometimes added to the direct form of nouns, and sometimes to the oblique, and at times even to a shortened form which is neither oblique nor direct, as in Sindhi, proves ·that words of this type came into existence at very different periods; and in those which were created in later times the accent would naturally have been forgotten, and they would take the form पन.

Secondly, the forms **ut** and **ut** point to oxytones, and seem to be derived from some such form as **ut**, omitting the **ut**; such a form may well have existed in spoken Prakrit, although no traces of it survive in the written works. This form would come direct from the Sanskrit **at**, whereas that in **utu** must come from an older Skr. **at**.

Lastly, Sindhi has forms ut and ut, the final vowel of which seems to be quite inorganic, as we cannot trace it back to any corresponding peculiarity in the older forms, and is probably due to an unconscious imitation of the analogous forms and and, which have been or will be discussed in their proper place. The Skr. word unant "self," retains the n in all cases of the sing, but the nom., and in this, as in so many instances in Skr. and the cognate languages, the nominative stands apart, and the derivative forms are taken from the oblique. Thus Greek and Latin,

while faithfully retaining the original type of पद in ποδος, pedis, reject the d in the nominatives  $\pi o v_s$  and p e s; yet it is from ποδος and pedis, not from πους and pes, that the derivatives are formed, as well as the noun itself, in their modern descendants. So also οδοντος, dentis, reproduce दन्त more correctly than οδους or dens, and in derivatives and descendants the former, and not the latter, are the base. The reason of this is clear; the oblique form being used seven or eight times to once of the nominative, sticks in the popular mind, and is used in grammatical formations, while the classically modified nominative is forgotten. This was probably the case with the termination now under consideration; the vulgar would know little of an euphemistie high-flown nominative आता, while they would be familiar with some ten or twelve forms having for their base आतान; the Old-Hindi poets use राजन or राज, while the modern language does not begin to use the classical nominative TIN. till after Pandits had begun to resuscitate the accurate form. So also, while the Brahmin wrote सत्तं, the people may have said सत्त्वन, or even सतात्वन, and thus the form त्त्रण or प्या reveals itself as the older and more accurate. The त्रण type is still in existence; in Old-Hindi we have yaura "virility," from पुरुषत्त्व, Skr. पुरुषत्वं, and Sindhi has from मुखी "a headman," मुख्तिण, Pr. मुख्ताणं, where the S., rejecting one of the two consonants, does not, as H. would, lengthen the preceding vowel, but inserts instead its favourite short i. There may be other instances in the other languages, but I have not yet met with any.

Sindhi has a few rare examples of a form in miz which

¹ Dr. Trumpp will forgive me for pointing out a slight error in his English here; he translates this word "headsman," not perhaps remembering the difference between that and "headman." The former means "an executioner," i.e. "one who beheads criminals." Dr. Trumpp's English is so excellent, correct, and graceful throughout as to command admiration; it is in a friendly spirit that this little slip is noticed, as it might mislead an English student. The difference between "headsman" and "headman" is slight indeed in form, but very wide in meaning.

Trumpp refers to this suffix, as withtig "boyhood," "youth," from withti "a boy;" but it is open to question whether this is not the primary affix mentioned in § 16 (2), which has passed over into a secondary form in a few cases.

As a corollary to the connexion which I see between ea and श्रात्मन, I would here introduce the Bengali stems in श्राम, which I derive from Maz in such Skr. words as HIEIRE. Oriva uses HEIGH as a religious word, in the sense of "celebrating the greatness or merits of" a holy place or festival, thus we have गंगा महातम the "glories of Ganga," श्री खेतर महातम "a description of the religious merits acquired by a pilgrimage to the shrine of Jagannath," and others. Bengali has elided the त and softened the य to इ. Instances are पाग्न "mad," पागलामि "madness;" लुचा "debauched," लुचामि "loose living;" भांड "buffoon," भांडामि "buffoonery;" गादा "an ass," गादामि "stupidity;" ठेंटा "obstinate," ठंटामि "obstinacy;" क्षोंचा "greedy," क्षोंचामि "greediness;" क्षेंचडा "base," क्षेंचडामि "baseness;" אפ "profligate" (lit. "destroyed," compare our use of "dissipated," also the original meaning of "profligatus"), नष्टामि "profligacy." In familiar or colloquial words which end in a vowel other than a or  $\hat{a}$ , the initial vowel of the suffix is elided; thus क्रेने "a child," क्रेनिम "childishness;" पासन "an impudent boy" (French gamin), फसकेमि "impudence;" and in vulgar speech the favourite u of Bengali exercises an influence on the following vowel; thus from दृष्ट "wicked," दृष्ट्रीम "wickedness." By a curious caprice, also, a duplicate form is occasionally employed, as from नष्ट we get नष्टता "profligacy," and नष्टतामि. Oriya also uses this form, as बुढा "old," बुढामि "precocity in children;" ढिला "loose," "lazy," ढिलामि "laziness," "inattention," B. id. It will be observed that this suffix is almost universally employed in a bad sense, as expressive of stupidity, loose living, or the like. One instance, जुद्धामि, occurs occasionally in Hindi; but, with this exception, this form appears to be peculiar to the two easternmost members of the group.

(2). Of very wide use in Sanskrit is the suffix **\vec{q}**, generally neuter when forming abstract nouns, though of all genders in appellatives. This suffix generally changes to \vec{\vec{q}} in the modern languages, and in most cases the words become feminine, owing to the general use of \vec{\vec{q}} to express that gender. The typical word of this class is—

Skr. चौर्य "theft," Pr. चोरिञ्चं, H. चोरी, and so in all, but O, चोरि. So also

Skr. स्थायं "cheating" (स्थग: "a cheat"), B. उगित्रं, H. उगी (vulgo "thuggee"), and so in all.

This termination is extended, as usual, to words of uncertain origin, and notably to Persian words, inasmuch as that language also adopts the suffix i to denote abstracts; thus we have نیکی "goodness," بدی "badness," 'deficiency," "excess;" and even colloquially Arabic words take this ending, as منظوری seen," "approved." S. has also मंदी "badness," from मंदी "bad" (Skr. मन्द "slow"); भजी "goodness," from भजी "good" (Skr. मन्द "slow"); भजी "goodness," from भजी "good" (Skr. मन्द "low"), भजी "goodness," from भजी "good" (Skr. मन्द ). In many cases, however, the final य of the Sanskrit is elided alogether, as in

Skr. भाग्यं "fortune," H. भाग, and so in all. G. retains Tatsama भाग्य and S. भागु.

Skr. धान्य "rice," H. धान, and so in all, except S. धानु dhânyu or dhânu.

This latter word seems to have been originally an abstract meaning "possessing," "wealth," root UT, as stores of rice were, and still are in many parts of India, the principal source of wealth to all classes.

Where, in modern formations, the adjective had acquired, as adjectives almost universally did, the termination in long â, the i of this suffix does not supersede this vowel, but is attached to it, forming âi. Thus we have a very large range of words in all the languages. A few are—

भन्ना "good," H. भनाई "goodness," P. भन्निश्चाई, S. भनाई, G. M. O. id., B. भानाई.

निर्मला "pure," H. निर्मलाई, and so in all.

बड़ा "great," H. बड़ाई "greatness," P. वड़िम्राई, S. वड़ाई, G. B. O. id.; not in M.

জাৰা "high," H. জাৰাই "height," S. id. ("goodness"), P. उचाई, G. জাৰাই, O. ভৱাই, B. ভবাই.

This form is not very common in M., but is of almost universal application in the rest. P. anomalously inserts short i before the termination, but this is a dialectic peculiarity which does not prevail in all parts of the province. It has already been noticed that so many Skr. terminations fuse together into i in the modern languages as to render it difficult to distinguish them. The form now under consideration is restricted to abstracts, and has no connexion with any other.

(3). The Sanskrit suffix  $\overline{\mathbf{at}}$  exists in most of the languages in a large number of words, but occurs chiefly in Tatsamas and modern Tadbhavas; so that it would be more correct to suppose that it has been resuscitated, together with the word to which it is attached, than to speak of it as having come down uninterruptedly from early times.

Skr. दृढता "firmness," H. दृढता, P. द्रिडहता, S. डिडहता. In the rest दृढता, generally pronounced driphatd in H., and so written also in P., but in O. it sounds druphatd.

Sindhi has a few words not strictly Tatsamas, though only slightly altered, and it occasionally adds  $\xi$ , making  $\pi i \xi$ , as

घटि "deficient," घटिता, घटिताई, "deficiency." जोगु "fit," जोगिता "fitness."

In B. and M. words of this class are extremely numerous, but are all pure Tatsamas, and as such do not strictly come within the range of development of the modern forms of speech.

(4). Another common suffix is THO, which is used in Bengali

in its Tatsama form, but rather among scholars than in the mouths of the people, as also in M.

रक्त "red," B. M. रक्तिमा "redness," and so in all the others, but as a rare and pedantic word.

मुझ "white," B. M. मुझिमा "whiteness," and so in all the others, but as a rare and pedantic word.

Trumpp affiliates to this suffix a large class of words in S. in आणि and आइणि, supporting his theory by allusion to Vararuchi, v. 47. This passage merely directs that certain words, such as brahman, yuvan, adhwan, are to be declined like âtman; thus, vamhà makes vamhàno; juvà, juvàno, etc. It is not, however, stated that this rule applies to formations in iman; and even granting that it does, we get imano, the change of which into âni or âini is not supported by any proof, and seems somewhat harsh, and opposed to the genius of the language. Moreover, in the closely allied and interchangeable form ûî, sec (2), the  $\hat{a}$  belongs to the adjective, and is a solitary instance of the retention of the older, form of the oblique, which is still long in Gujarati, as सारी "good," obl. सारा, but has been shortened in S., as সভা "white," obl. সভ. In the case, therefore, of the words about to be quoted, I should say that the suffix was merely fur or sta, and regard it as the same as the primary suffix an mentioned in § 6. With this agrees the fact that the cognate languages have a form आए or आन, which is made only from adjectives in long  $\hat{a}$ , so that the long vowel belongs to the stem, and not to the suffix. In Sindhi अक्राण "whiteness," from was "white," I should divide achhâ-ni.

From  $\overline{u}\overline{q}$ , then, used as a modern suffix to adjectives which had already arrived at the stage in which they ended in long  $\hat{a}$ , the following examples may be taken to have arisen:

H. जंचा "high," जंचान "height," P. उद्या, उचाण, S. जची "superior," जचाणि, G. जंची, जंचाण.

H. चौडा "broad," चौडान "breadth," P. id.

- H. স্মী'ৱা "deep" (Old-H. ব্ৰৱা), স্মী'ৱাৰ, ব্ৰৱাৰ, "depth," G. কাৰী, কাৰাৰ
  - S. वेकिरो "broad," वेकिराणि "breadth."
  - S. बड़ी "great," वड़ाणि "greatness."
- (5). # is suffixed to a large class of adjectives in Hindi, to denote condition, and agrees with our termination "-ness." This form is connected with that of the desiderative verb in Sanskrit, and some of the words of this class cannot be regarded as formed from nouns by the addition of a suffix, but are to be derived whole as they stand from Skr. The substantive in # is accompanied by an adjective in सा, as पियास or प्यास "thirst," पियासा "thirsty," which are not to be regarded as recent formations from पी, the root of पीना "to drink," but as from Skr. fuurar and fuura respectively, these being the substantive and adjective from पिपास "to desire to drink," desiderative of root पा "to drink." Skr. पिपासा loses the long final â of the fem. and becomes H. पियास, while पिपास apparently takes a, and becomes furigat, whence would come a Pr. furigat, and the u vanishes, leaving H. पित्रासा. The modern words would be more accurately written पित्रास and पित्रासा, as the medial प has disappeared (Vol. I. p. 199). Accordingly these words in most cases bear the meaning of "desire to do a thing," even where it is impossible or difficult to trace the word to any definite Skr. desiderative like fuut. And in those words which we cannot refer to a Skr. desiderative, it must still be held that the form is in itself by nature desiderative, and having appropriated to itself this meaning, has been tacked on to modern nouns to form abstractions, so that in a number of instances it legitimately comes under the head we are now considering, namely, that of secondary abstract nouns. Examples are found of three classes: first, words derived direct from Sanskrit derivatives; second, those derived from modern verbs (primary stems); third, those derived from modern nouns (secondary stems).

I.

Skr. पिपासा "thirst," H. पिश्रास (पियास, प्यास), and so in all the languages.

### II.

- H. रोना "to weep," रोत्रास "desire to weep," "vexation," P. त्त्रास (rare).
  - H. स्तना "to urine," स्तास "desire to make water," P. id.
  - H. हगना "cacare," हगास "desire to stool," P. id.

#### TII.

- Skr. निद्रा "sleep" (H. नींद), H. नींदास, निन्दास, "drowsiness."
- H. जंघ "sleepiness," जंघास "nodding," "incipient sleep."
- H. द्वाप "violence," II. द्वापास "a violent burst of rain."
- H. मीठा "sweet," H. मिठास "sweetness."

Each of these words has its corresponding adjective, as पित्रासा, रोत्रासा, मुतासा, हगासा, निन्हासा, ऊंघासा, but not द्मपासा; but the stem itself is almost entirely confined to Hindi and Panjabi, only rarely occurring in the other languages, as M. खटास "sourness," from खटा "sour;" मिटास "sweetness," from सिठं "sweet;" and with the short vowel गोंडस "plump," from गोंडा "a swelling;" G. घोळास "whiteness," from घोळो "white;" मीठाश "sweetness," from मीठो "sweet;" कठणाश "difficulty," from azu "difficult," and a few more; and we may fairly assume that all the other words were modelled upon पित्रासा, where the long û belongs to the root पा. In रोत्रासा we cannot look back to the desiderative of Skr., which is atag, which could in no way produce roasa, but would result in some such form as ruîsâ. Skakespeare, in his Dictionary, absurdly derives these words by adding the Skr. All "hope," in which he is blindly followed by his faithful plagiarist Forbes. There are nouns with this termination which do not belong to this stem, as गंडासा "a pole-axe," the former part of which is probably from गांड (Skr. यन्य) "a knot," with some derivative

of the root no "to cut," just as utn "an axe." The gaurasa is a formidable weapon of bamboo with iron knots and clamps on it, and a small axe at the head. We might assume a form u-win as its origin. There is also a word jeth "a small turban," the former part of which is je "head," and the latter probably a derivative from at "to clothe," so that a form jetham may be assumed for its origin, unless, indeed, which is highly probable, the word itself grew up in modern times, and has no Sanskrit original at all.

The stems given above are the most common and widespread abstract forms. There may be in the various languages others; but they are rare and confined to special dialects, and do not require detailed notice in a work of this kind.

- § 18. The next class of secondary formations is that of adjectives denoting the possession of any article, or of any quality or tendency: the former are called possessives, the latter attributives, under which are included words denoting trades or professions.
- (1). The most important, and from its variety perhaps the most difficult to treat, of the stems of this class, is a group which has the following terminations:

Five Sanskrit stems lie beneath the threefold division which is shown by the above list:

- 1. द्नि, leaving द्न, nom. दू, as मालिन "gardener," nom. माली.
- 2. क् and क्ण्, leaving द्य, as पर्वत "mountain," पर्वतीय "mountainer."
- 3. उज् and उन्, leaving इक with guṇa, as वेट् "Veda," वेट्क "teacher of the Veda;" इक without guṇa, as घट "jar," घटिक "contained in a jar."
- 4. घ, leaving इय, as राष्ट्र "kingdom," राष्ट्रिय "belonging to a kingdom."
  - 5. Several suffixes leaving य, as जन "man," जन्य "popular."

The difficulty consists, first, in the mutual interchange and fusion of these several stems, and secondly, in the fact that we cannot in all instances trace the modern word up to any distinct Sanskrit word. Of course when we can so trace it, all difficulty ceases; but there are many modern words which we can only vaguely identify with some Skr. root, but cannot tell through which of the above formations it has descended to us. A partial clue is afforded by the meaning in some cases, as the Skr. forms denote sometimes possessives, at others attributives or appellatives. But the lapse of time, the changes of meaning, and the facility with which one word lends itself to reasoning which would lead it either to a possessive or attributive origin, obscure the matter very much. The may come from 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the Skr. stems; Tan and its fellows from 2, 3 and 4; Tan can only come from 3.

It will tend to clearness to give first those words which can be traced without doubt to one definite Skr. stem, and then to discuss, as far as our present lights permit, the question of the doubtful forms.

(a). Following the order of the Sanskrit forms, we first treat of  $\P$ , having for its nominative  $\P$ . The commonest words of this class will be found in Ch. II. § 32. Others are the following:

H. डांडी and ट्रांडी "rower," from Skr. ट्रांडी, one who uses a ट्रांड in the sense of "oar," (H. ट्रांड and डांड), P. id., M. ट्रंडी "one who carries a staff," B. O. डांडी (dānṛī) "rower."

Skr. पाठी "reader," "learned," H. id., O. पाढी, the name of a sept of Brahmans.

Skr. चिपाठी "one who has read the three Vedas," H. तेवाडी, O. तिहारी, the name of a sept of Brahmans.

Skr. केश्री "lion," H. केहरी, P. केहर, S. केहरि, G. केसरी.

Skr. चेमी "prosperous," H. केमी, and so in all.

Skr. कुष्ठी "leprous," H. कोढी, P. कुसती, S. कोइह्मी (which points rather to a form कुष्टित), G. कोडिग्री, M. कोढी, O. id. and कढी, B. कुढिया (कुष्टित).

Besides numerous Tatsamas in very common use in all the languages, as पापी "sinful" (पापिन), धर्म्मी "virtuous" (धर्मिन), रोगी "diseased" (रोगिन), and the like, S. has also दांही "complainant," from दाह "complaint," which does not seem to be an Aryan word, but is probably corrupted from Arabic "claimant," نعريل "claimant," "claim." In some cases of undoubtedly modern origin S. retains the final vowel of the original word, as मित "opinion," मतद "tenacious of one's opinion," "opinionated," where the final short i has been changed to a.

( $\beta$ ). The next form  $\mathbf{\xi}\mathbf{z}$  is used to form principally patronymics, or adjectives denoting nationality or caste, and results in  $\mathbf{\xi}$  and  $\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}$ .

Skr. सिंधीय "a Sindhian," H. सिंधी, and so in all. In the same way are formed in S. जाडी "a man of जाड or Lower Sindh," सिराई "a man of सिरो Upper Sindh;" हिन्दूई "that which belongs to a हिन्दू Hindû." But O. uses the form र्या, as जोडिया "an Oriya," from a Skr. form जोड़ोय, from जोड़, the old name of Orissa; also गोडिया "a Bengali," Skr. गोडीय, from गोड, the old name of Bengal. Common to all is मराठी or मरेडो "a Marâtha," from Skr. महाराष्ट्रीय. O. has

also दिखिणिया or **॰या** "worthy to be offered," Skr. दिखिणीय, which, however, rather means "worthy to be honoured with offerings." Further instances are:

Skr. पर्वतीय "mountaineer," H. पर्वती, पर्वतिया (chiefly used of the Nepalese), P. id. and पर्वतीचा, G. पार्वतीयो, M. B. O. पर्वतीय, O. also पर्वतिया

Skr. देशीय "belonging to a country," H. देसी "native," as distinguished from "foreign," P. id., S. उहाई (from डेड) and डेसी (from डेस्), G. देशी, M. देशी and देशीय, O. देशी and देशीय, B. id.

Skr. राष्ट्रीय "belonging to the kingdom," B. राढी, O. H. id. This word is not known in the other provinces, and denotes a class or caste coming from the राढ, i.e. राष्ट्रं, or kingdom of Bengal, that is, the settled and central parts of the country, as contrasted with the outlying and thinly peopled regions. Skr. has both forms राष्ट्रीय and राष्ट्रिय, but the former is commoner as a Tatsama in the moderns.

 $(\gamma)$ . We now come to the most important of these forms, that in  $\overline{\xi}$ . There are two classes of this stem in Skr., one which augments the vowel of the root, the other which does not. The distinction is maintained in Sindhi with tolerable accuracy, but not generally in the others. Words of this stem are generally used as names of trades or castes.

Skr. भुण्डा "spirituous liquor," भौण्डिक "a distiller," H. सूंडी (stinṛī), B. भूंडी, O. भूंडि.

Skr. तैसं "oil," तैसिक "oilman," H. तेसी, and so in all.

Skr. ताम्बूल "hetel-nut," ताम्बूलिक "a seller thereof," H. तांबोसी, P. तंबोसी, G. like H., M. तांबोस्की, B. O. तांबुसी.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Used chiefly in composition: when standing alone, it means an inhabitant of the *Desh*, an expression which implies the high table land of Maharash ra above the ghats or mountain range of the coast, and is opposed to Konkan, the low narrow strip of coast-line.

Skr. वेद "Veda," वेदिक "learned in the Vedas," H. बेदी.

Skr. कच "plant," कचिक "grower of plants," H. काक् "a caste of gardeners," M. id.

Formed upon the same melel are the names of three great septs of the Kanaujia Brahmans; thus द्वे is shortened from दूवेदी, and that is from Skr. देवेदिक "one who knows two Vedas;" चौबै is चौबेदी, from चातुर्वेदिक "one who knows the whole four Vedas." The fuller form is retained in तिर्वेदी "one who knows the three Vedas," वेवेदिक. It is, however, equally correct to derive these forms from number 5 of the Skr. stems above, as in Skr. there are forms वैदा, द्वेवेदा, चेवेदा, चातुर्वेदा. the case of काछी, the origin of the meaning is doubtful. Kâchhis are a widespread and very industrious caste of cultivators and market-gardeners, and their name may either be due to their growing vegetables, as one of the meanings of Sanskrit कच is "a plant," or perhaps, and on the whole more probably, from the kachh or tightly-girt and tucked-up cloth round the loins, which is the only garment that they wear. Here may, perhaps, also be classed the common word H. कुर्मी and कुंबी, M. कुणबी, the name for ordinary peasants in M., and in H. that of an extensive caste. I do not know to what Skr. word this name is to be affiliated. It is also spelt कुनबी and कुंभी, and the name probably originated in comparatively modern times, and may have no connexion with the older language.

Sindhi examples of this stem are:

Skr. বহু "camel," আছিল "camel-driver;" S. বহু "camel," মাঠী "camel-driver."

- S. 3 at "earthenware," 3 at "seller of pots."
- S. बक्द "vegetables," बाकिरी "seller of vegetables."

This last word is, I think, not Aryan, but comes from the Arabic فَقَالُ "potherbs," whence the word so common in the other languages, القَّلِّ bakkāl, "a vegetable-seller." In Hindu-

stani the phrase baniyâ bakkâl is colloquially used to indicate petty traders and shopkeepers of all sorts.

( $\delta$ ). The suffix  $\overline{\xi}$  is hardly to be distinguished from  $\overline{\xi}$ , except in words which can be trived up to the Sanskrit. The typical word is

Skr. च्चिय "a Kshattriya or man of the warrior caste," H. क्ची, खची, खेची, P. id., S. खिची, M. चची.

(e). Stems formed with य are very numerous, and, owing to the variations in meaning which modern words have undergone, are sometimes confounded with abstract nouns in यं, like चोरी "theft," from चीर्य, and, like them, frequently drop the य altogether. Of stems which retain  $\xi$ , the following may be cited:

Skr. ग्रास्य "belonging to a village," H. ग्रामी, and so in all, but H. frequently ग्रासिक and ग्रास्य, also गांवी and गंवी, from the Tadbhava गांव.

Skr. मूख्य "principal," H. मूखी, P. मुखी, मुखी आ, S. मुखि, G. मुखी, मुखिओ, M. मुखी, B. id., O. id. and मुखिआ.

A very large class of words exists in all the languages which, from the absence of any special Sanskrit form to which to refer them, or from the existence of more than one Sanskrit form, cannot be definitely referred to any of the above heads. Such, for instance, is धनी "master," "lord," also "wealthy," a word in use throughout. Skr. has धनिन, धनिक and धन्य. In Old B. we find the word धनि "lady," which may either be shortened from धनिनो, or from धन्या.

M. is peculiarly rich in words ending in  $a_1$ , which are perhaps generally to be affiliated to the stem in  $a_1$ , as mentioned in § 9 (2), but may also be attributed to  $a_1$  by supposing the usual addition of  $a_1$ , making  $a_1$ . Some of the more striking of these words are given with the Marathi primary stems to which they are allied:

चंदत "commission," चंद्रता "commission agent," "brcker." वच्च "smartness," "a shock," वच्चा "smart," "sharp," "active." कचार "scheme," कचारा "scheming," "treacherous."

कंडाळ "bullock-pack," कंडाळ्या "fit for carrying burdens," "a draught-horse or bullock."

कांबळा "a coarse blanket," वांबळ्या "one who wears a kûmbaļû," "a labouring man."

कावड "pole for carrying loads," कावड्या "porter."

कोढ "leprosy," कोढ्या "leprous."

खवळ "scab," खवळ्या "scabby."

घाट "ghat," घाट्या "Brahman who attends at a ghât."

घोडा "horse," घोडक्या "groom."

Here we have a curious preservation of an older form. घोडा is Skr. घोटक, Pr. घोडग्रो. The M. word points back to a form घोटकिक, घोटकिन or घोटका, in which the क has been preserved as a fulcrum for the termination.

Gujarati has also words of dubious origin in द्यो or द्यो. The double form arises from the unsettled nature of G. spelling, in which no distinction is made between long and short i. The two examples most frequently given, दुव्यो "grieved," सुवियो "happy," do not appear to come from any of the above stems, but from Skr. दु: वित and सुवित respectively. Other instances are:

निशाळ "school," निशाळियो "scholar" (perhaps Skr. नै:शालिक).

भूमि "earth," भूमियो "earthy," O. भूया (perhaps Skr. भूमय, or भूमिक).

कोड "leprosy," कोडियो "leprous" (Skr. is कुष्ठिन्), see (a).

Without spending more time in considering the subject, it may suffice to suggest, that the fusion of several cognate forms into one, which is so common a feature in these languages, has been at work here also, and that the Skr. terminations given above may have been by careless or ignorant speakers added often to nouns which did not take such terminations in Sanskrit itself, so that words of this group may be referred at will to any

of the five Sanskrit stems given, and in isolated instances to others also, as, for instance, H. पितिया "uncle," which is from none of the five stems, but from Skr. पितृब.

(2). Principally indicative of qualities or dispositions of the mind is the suffix  $x_1$ , which, although occasionally found as a primary, is far more extensively used as a secondary suffix. Vararuchi (iv. 25) treats this suffix in Pr. as a substitute for mat (and vat), suffixes denoting possession, overlooking the fact that  $x_1$  exists in Skr. already. In Pr., as also sometimes in Skr., the final u is dropped. This stem is found in different words in the seven languages; each language may therefore be illustrated separately.

Marathi, in which, whether from the greater perfectness of the dictionary, or because the language is really richer in these forms, a larger number of instances has been noted than in its sisters, uses the form  $\frac{1}{2}$  with the cerebral  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  it has also  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and occasionally even  $\frac{1}{2}$ , which latter points to the use of the universal  $\frac{1}{2}$  suffix, so that we should postulate a Skr.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Skr.  $\frac{1}{2}$  There is also found  $\frac{1}{2}$  which would indicate a former  $\frac{1}{2}$  with reference to the remarks in the concluding portion of the last section. Thus we have:

Skr. क्रपा "pity," M. क्रपाळू, H. क्रपालु.

Skr. বৃष্ট "trouble," M. বৃষ্টাকু "laborious," "painstaking."

Skr. केश "hair," M. केसाळ and केसाळ "hairy."

Skr. गुडक "ball," M. गोंडा "knob," "tuft," गोंडाळ "tufted grass."

Skr. [uncertain], M. भोंडा "stone," धोंडाळ "stony."

Skr. तुंद "snout," "beak," M. तोंद्रा "mouth," तोंद्राळ "foul-mouthed," "scurrilous."

These three are combined in a proverb descriptive of the peasant's three greatest troubles—

## गवत गोंडाळ भेत धोंडाळ बायको तोंडाळ

"Pasturage coarse and knotty, fields full of stones, and a scolding wife."

Skr. हस्त "hand," M. हात, id., हाताळ "thievish," "with itching palm." Another proverb runs—

# हाताळ पुरवतो परंतु तींडाळ पुरवत नाहीं

"The itching palm may be satiated, but never the scolding tongue."

Skr. ঘতিকা "a measure of time, about half an hour," M. ঘত্তী id., ঘত্তাক্ত "an justrument for measuring time," "a gong," H. ঘত্তিয়াৰ, P. id., and so in all.

Skr. घात "wound," M. घाय id., घायाळ "wounded."

Skr. चिक्क्रण "greasy," M. चीक "sap," "juice," चिकाळ, चिकाळा and चिकाळ्या "viscid," "gummy."

Skr. [uncertain], M. स्रोप and द्योंप "sleep," स्रोपाळू "sleepy."

As to this last word, the root at in Skr. means "to move," among other things, and the root at, which is in effect an old causal of at in its meanings of "sowing (grain)," "weaving" and "sewing," shows that the movement implied by the parent root is an oscillating movement backwards and forwards, like that of a weaver's shuttle, or a sower's hand; so that we may fairly suppose it to have obtained the secondary meaning of "nodding," as one does when drowsy. In confirmation of this, M. has also air or are "a swing;" so that we may assume a form at a q, which would give are, the anuswara, or rather anunasika, being inorganic. (See Vol. I. pp. 177, 327, for the anunasika, being inorganic.

Skr. पिधान "covering," M. द्यांप "a mat," द्यांपाळ "matted or bushy" (a tree); see Vol. I. p. 177. Hence is formed a verb द्यांपाळीं "to be bushy and close of foliage."

Skr. ममता "mineness," "selfishness," M. id., ममताळू "selfish," "self-conscious."

Skr. दुग्ध "milk," M. दूध id., दुधाळ "full of milk," "a milch cow." There are also in M. instances of this suffix taking the form

M. कीस "a tile," कीसार "tiled" (as a building).

ârû, as in Sindhi, thus-

We now pass on to Gujarati, which, like M., principally uses the Æ, though words of this stem are also found with W, but perhaps only through a mistake of the writers. Authorities disagree very widely as to the spelling of Gujarati, which is not to be wondered at in the case of a language with a scanty literature, no fixed standard of pronunciation, and numerous dialects.

- G. जाज "shame," जाळ "shamefast," "bashful."
- G. जंघ "sleep," जंघाकु "drowsy."
- G. वाचा "word," वाचाळ "loquacious," also in a good sense "eloquent."
- G. रेती "sand," रेताळ, रेताळ, and by some रेताल "sandy."
- G. पथरो "stone," पथराळ "stony."
- G. बोही "blood," बोही ऋळ "bloody."
- G. दाढी "beard," दाढी ऋकु "bearded."
- G. घडी "a ghari," घडी त्राळो "gong."

With words ending in this language affixes the termination, without modifying the stem word. In the case of nouns in a, it adds the suffix to the oblique form in a; the fem. in i is the same in the oblique as in the nominative; so that we may say that in all cases the suffix is added to the oblique form. An exception is the other languages. The language of course uses, like all its sisters, Tatsama words, at the discretion of the writer, and words like array are almost Tatsamas, the cerebral being merely a vulgar pronunciation of a. This suffix is found appended even to Persian words by the indiscriminating vulgar; thus from shame," is formed uther or uther bashful."

Sindhi more frequently changes 

▼ (Vol. I. p. 247). Thus

- S. मेंडि "buffalo," मेहाद "buffalo-herd."
- S. ঘুলু "herd of cattle," ঘুলাৰ "herdsman."
- , S. उठ "camel," श्रोठार "camel-herd."
  - S. জত "barley," অবাৰ "(wheat) mixed with barley."

. S. घडी "hour," घड्यामु, •सो "gong."

S. सुदु "clouds" (collective), सुदानी "cloudiness."

The final vowel, whether it be the u of the masc., or the i of the feminine, disappears before the suffix; but long i is retained, merely hardened into  $\mathbf{u}$ , as in  $\mathbf{M}$ . Quasi-Tatsamas also occur, which are simply due to the fact of the words being honestly written as the people pronounce them, and not as Pandits would have them; such are  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{u}$   $\mathbf{u}$ 

The word दिशानु "candlestick," from दिशो "a light," is quoted by Trumpp in this place; but it would appear to be questionable whether we have not here a compound from दीप + शान्य. I shall show presently that compounds of this sort are not unfrequent, and often render it difficult to determine whether any word belongs to this class or not. In this and other languages compounds whose last member is नान "time," or शाना "hall," or शान्य "abode," as will be exhibited hereafter, come under this category, and the confusion is increased in M. and G. by the substitution of the cerebral & for न in these words, as well as in the suffix शानु.

Panjabi has not any special fondness for the sound, nor does it particularly affect stems of this formation. Instances are, उनाठा "woollen," from उन्न or उन्ना "wool;" कसाना "distressed," for कसताना, from कसत "trouble" (Skr. कष्ट). In this case the possessive signification is sometimes lost, and the word used merely as an intensive substantive. कंडिआठा "thorny," from कंडा "thorn" (Skr. कण्डक), where the short i represents the e of the oblique form कंड. कण्डाठा "a large earthen pot," from कुण्ड "a pot," here again intensive. चंटान (ironical) "a vain, pompous man," that is, one who has a gong beaten before him as he walks, from चंटा "a bell," "a gong." There is also, as in the above-quoted languages, the common word चंडिआन "gong," from चंडी; but the language prefers the cognate forms of this stem in il, ul, and ail, as we shall see presently.

Bengali and Oriya, being languages originally poor, and

having, therefore, a smaller stock of Tadbhavas than the others, are not fertile fields for secondary formations. In them such stems are mostly Tatsamas, like क्रपान; and it will not be productive of any large results to adduce instances from them. The words which the student may meet with will be readily recognizable as belonging to this stem; such as, सगडान "quarrelsome," already cited; O. सिंघाळ "burglarious," from सिंघ "a burglar's hole," sometimes written सिंधुआळ for सिंघान; महाळ "middling," from महि (Skr. मध्य) "middle;" विन्याळ "piereing," from विंध "a hole."

With regard to Hindi, usually so rich in primary and secondary forms, it is somewhat strange to find so few illustrations of this widespread stem. Apart from Tatsamas, it has, however, forms in  $\hat{a}/u$ , and, owing to its habitual neglect of final short vowels, also  $\hat{a}/l$ , but displays, like Panjabi, a marked preference for the forms in il, ail, el, and ul. It also in many instances changes l to r (see Vol. I. p. 247), thus producing  $\hat{a}r$ , with variants  $\hat{a}r\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{a}r\hat{a}$ , where the final u is preserved by being lengthened. The following list will suffice to illustrate all these forms.

H. क्रांह "shade," क्रांहारा "umbrageous" (not from क्रांव + हारा, as Forbes puts it; the ह belongs to the root).

- H. घडी "hour," घडियान "gong."
- H. दूध," milk," दुधार "milch," and दुधाल, B. id., O. दोहल.
- H. गोल "ball," गोलारा "globular."
- H. चिन्ह "mark," चिन्हार "recognition."
- Skr. प्रेमन् "love," पियारा "beloved" (as though प्रिप्तालु for प्रे॰).
- H. रेत "sand," रेताचा "sandy" (more generally रेतचा, see further on).

Many of these words, as in the other languages, have lost the possessive or qualitative sense, and have become simple attributes.

- (3). Closely allied to the last, and like it used to express possession of a quality, is the Skr. रूज, which in Pr. often doubles the ज, making रूज. This suffix in the moderns takes a lengthened form रूजा or रूज, and combines with a preceding a or â into एज, एजा, and एज. As remarked above, it is a favourite in H. and P., though less frequent in the other languages. These two languages have forms in elû, where the û probably arises from confusion with âlu, unless we here admit a change of â into e, noted as not unfrequent in Vol. I. p. 136.
  - H. गांठ "knot," गठीला "knotty," P. id.
  - H. गढा "pit," गढेला "large pit" (intensive).
  - H. कंकर "gravel," कंकरीला "gravelly."
  - H. घर "house," घरेला "domesticated," " tame."
  - H. घास "grass," घसीला "grassy."
  - H. चोट "wound," चुटीना "braised."
  - H. क्रब "beauty," क्रबीला "beautiful." As in Bihari Lal's fanciful verses:

## नांक चठे सीवी करें जिते छवीली छैल॥ फिर फिर भूलि उहै गहैं पिय कंकरोली गैल॥

Satsai, 234.

- H. छाई "shade," छायेला "shady."
- H. इंक "sting," इंकीला "armed with a sting" (said of insects, as यह कीडा उंकीला है "this insect has a sting").
- H. ढक्का "shove," ढकेलू "in the habit of butting" (said of horned animals), P. घकेलू, fem. घकेलो.
  - H. दब "form," दबीला "well-shaped," "comely."
  - H. तोंद "belly," तोंदेख "pot-bellied."
  - H. बन "forest," बनेसा "wild," "jungly."
  - H. रेत "sand," रेतीला "sandy," "gritty."

- H. बोद्य "load," बुद्देस "load-bearing," subst. "a beast of burden."
- H. सीत "rival wife" (Skr. सपत्नी), सीतेला "belonging to a rival wife," as in the phrase सीतेला आई that is, "a brother, son of the same father, but by a different mother," "a half-brother."
- H. चींक "start" (Skr. चमत्कार), चींकेल "a horse that is given to shying or starting."

There seems to be no rule for the use of one of these forms instead of another, though in practice we find that one word always uses elâ, and another îlâ, while a third has only the form ailâ. With respect to the use of the final â, it may perhaps be laid down that such words as have a distinctly adjective sense always take the final â, but such nouns as are used substantively reject it. Thus, if we should say, "he is my half-brother," we must use elâ, as सीतें जा भाई; but if we would say, "this man and woman are my brother and sister by the father's side, but by different mothers," we might say, "they are my sautels," हमारे सीतें हैं. So also derisively, "Ho, pot-belly!" would be, चड़े तांदें हैं.

Those words in which Panjabi agrees with H. have been noted above; other instances peculiar to that language are these which follow. अटक in the sense of halting, gives अटकेंगे (f.) "a woman that walks mincingly, or affectedly," sometimes, but incorrectly, written अटबेंगे, possibly from some idea of its being in some way connected with खेल "play." From अद् "stoppage," अढेंग "a horse that jibs," G. अडियेंग.

- P. क्स "strength," "drawing-power," करीला "astringent" (said of medicines).
- Ar. غُصَّه "suffocation," (in India) "rage," घुसेला "angry," घुसेल "a bad-tempered person."
- P. ঘ্ৰান্ "petticoat," ঘ্ৰান্ত "a woman who wears a petticoat," i.e. a virtuous woman; prostitutes do not wear the petticoat in some parts, nor the drawers, but only the loin-cloth, sarki.

- P. द्रांब " storm," द्रांबेस subst. " quarrel," " affray," " uproar."
- P. चङ्का "weary," चकेला "easily fatigued."
- P. दृ "milk," दुधेल "a milch cow." H. has also this word.
- P. पत्यर "stone," पथरीला, पथरेला "stony."
- P. लुक्ज " shame," लजीला " shamefast."
- P. माद्या "the middle" (the name applied to the central part of the Panjab between the Râvi and Biâs rivers), मंद्रील "a man from the Mânjha."

P. makes the feminines of words of the form  $cl\hat{u}$  in o, see Ch. II. § 33 (3). As between ail and el, it may be decided that the latter is a softening of the former, which is again shortened from ail आर्स, where the a belongs to the root. This applies to II. also; thus कायेस "shady," is for कायेसा, which is काया + रसा, while क्वीसा is क्वि + रसा. The difficulty lies in this, that often where we have  $el\hat{a}$ , we cannot find the termination in long a in the root; thus there is no reason to believe that a= "forest," was ever a=1, yet we require a=1 to give us a=1, i.e. a=1+x=1. The rule will not therefore apply in all instances.

Sindhi has tell and vel, but also, in conformity with its usual custom, tell and vel. The long vowel, as in H. and P., seems to have arisen from rejection of one of the two ls of Pr. tell.

हैं से हु "obstinacy," हठीं "obstinate," G. id.
देरी खांध "patience," खांधीरी "patient."
एको घर "the desert," घरें से "a man from the Thar."
, चमु "leather," चमें से "leathern."
, चांव "shade," चांवेसी "shady."
एरो id. चांवेरी id.
, उमु "a kind of grass," डामेरी "made of dabhu grass."

With Sindhi on the one hand, and Hindi on the other, is connected in respect of this suffix Gujarati, having in some instances the same words as the former, as in हडीको, and in

others the same as the latter, as दुधाळ = H. दुधार, also दुधेळ = H. and P. दुधेल. Its usual forms are है जो and एरो. As

```
नातुं "relationship," नातीचो "related, by blood or marriage."
घणु "much," घणेरो "abundant" (only used in poetry).
मादुं "bad, defective," मादेरो "scanty."
घाम "sweat," घमेळो "sweaty."
```

The language does not seem to be rich in this class of forms. Marathi has numerous formations in two, two, and two, also in two and two. Care must be taken to distinguish from words of this class those words which present long i from the tendency of this language to lengthen vowels in a final syllable (Vol. I. p. 155), such as at perverse," "malicious," which is merely a vulgar pronunciation of Skr. at "crooked." Further are to be eliminated a small class of compound words in which and forms the last member, as talk "temple," = talk also familiar words such as at a temple, and attalk "a wife," from the seem to be a sort of diminutives.

As genuine possessives may be cited-

```
कड "side," "direction," कडील "relating to a quarter or direction."
                        खडकील "rocky."
खड़क "rock,"
खास (adv.) "below,"
                        खालील "belonging to the lower part."
                       गोडीक "sweetish."
गोड "sweetness,"
                       कांटेरा "thorny," also कांटेरें "a thorny shrub."
कांटा "thorn,"
                        कांटील "a thorny creeper."
  id.
(Skr. खरंड) खर्म "a )
                        खीरें "divided into squares" (a cloth).
     division,"
(Skr. खिन) खण "an
                       खणील "digging up plants" (subst.).
    excavation,"
गोंखा "tuft,"
                       गोंदेरा "tufted."
                       घाणेरा "stinking," also घाणेसा.
चाण "stench,"
```

घाम "sweat," घामेला "sweaty,"

जुना "old," जुनेरे "old clothes," "rags" (subst.).

ब्रुट "encumbrance," ब्रुटेस "troublesome."

वास " smell," वासे ना वासे ना " fetid," " rancid."

Here, as in the other languages, the meaning of the possessive has in several cases passed over into that of a substantive.

'handsome," from efa. I have not found any others, except Tatsamas. The dictionary (Sutton's), however, is a very meagre one, and I have not heard the form in speaking. The same may be said of Bengali, in which language secondary formations of all kinds are comparatively rare, or, if they exist in rural districts, are not fully recognized as other than mere local corruptions. There is a vast field for research in this direction.

(4). The combination of the suffix in **w** with a preceding u is rare, though not altogether non-existent in Prakrit. Vararuchi gives only one instance, viârullo, for Skr. विकारवर "changed." The suffix ऊच with the long vowel is found in a few cases in Skr., as वात्र्च (also वात्र्च) "mad," "gouty" (i.e. affected by wind), from वात "wind." In the modern languages it is also rare. For Sindhi Trumpp gives no examples, and does not even introduce the suffix in his very full and well-arranged list of secondary stems. There are, however, a few words which may be referred to this suffix in all the languages. Thus

Skr. वातुल "a stroke of wind," S. वाउल "blight from wind," वाउर "winnowing grain." H. बाउरा "mad" (from the meaning of Skr. वातूल given above), also written बाजला, बावला and बावरा. P. writes the word बाउरा, बाउरा, and बाउला. G. वाउ "foolish." M. वातूळ "flatulent," also a corrupt form वावटूळ "whirlwind." The word बाजळ, meaning "a kind of soil on the banks of rivers," seems as if it belonged to this stem; but the connexion is difficult to trace, unless it be "driven by the

wind," such as are the sand-drifts which the violent winds in the dry season heap up in the beds of rivers all over India. B. ৰাভৱা and ৰাভৱ "mad," ৰাভৱা "whirlwind," ৰাভাৱা "winnowing." O. ৰাভাৱা "mad."

From M. I have picked out the following, which should probably come under this head.

कुरूळ "curly" (Skr. कुर्ल), खाणोरा "gluttonous," from खार्री "to eat," a primary formation, गाभूळ "bloated," "pulpy" (like a preguant female), from गाभ fœtus.

Of more extended use are—

Skr. घाम "heat," "sweat," M. घामोळी, घामोळं "prickly heat," "an eruption caused by excessive heat of the weather," H. B. घमोरी, but O. घमिर.

Skr. मध्य "middle," II. मद्दोखा "middle-sized," "intermediate," P. मद्दोळा and मद्दळा, S. however मंद्दारी, B. and O. मद्दाखा. It is said in O. of the second of three brothers, thus बढ भाई "eldest," मद्दाखा "second," होट "youngest."

From Skr. und in the sense of "defect," "failure," comes P. under "lazy," "careless," not found in the other languages; also under "quarrel," from under "snare," "deceit;" under," from under," from under "fondling," and some few more. II. उठीस "jocose," from उठा "jest." The formation is not, however, a very common one in any of the modern languages, any more than it is in Skr. or Pr.

(5). All the various forms of this group of  $\overline{\bullet}$  stems still further soften down to an obscure al, generally but not always preceded by y, thus giving  $\overline{\bullet}$ . The typical word is

Skr. घात "wound," H. घाव, M. G. id., S. P. घाउ, B. O. घा, whence comes Old-H. घाइल, H. घायल, P. G. id., P. also घाइल, S. घायलु, M. घायाळ, B. घालि, inverted from घाइल, O. id.

Here only M. has the long  $\hat{a}$ , and is probably from  $\hat{a}lu$ , while the rest are from ila, so that they might be referred to a Skr.

form unfam, where the a has gone out as usual, and the intermediate form was probably unfum. Panjabi has a great preference for this shortened form, owing perhaps to its fondness for short vowels and habitual neglect of the principle of compensatory lengthening. Even where a word is written with a long vowel, the Panjabi peasant will often slur it over so that it sounds short. Thus

```
गहुल "knotty," from गहु "knot."
गंधळा "muddy," "turbid," ,, गंध "filth."
धरल "cavity," "hollow," ,, धर "house."
```

दुसज्ञू for दुसर्लू "belonging to the other side," दुस्सर् "the other side." They say गोली दुसज्ञू निक्कळ गई "the bullet went right through, and came out at the other side."

```
रेतला "sandy," , देत "sand."
फोकला "insipid," , फोक "dregs."
साडळा "dear," , लाउ "fondling," H. लाउली.
```

As an instance of this form may be cited

H. স্বাৰা "foremost," "in the front," P. id., S. স্থাই "superior," G. স্থাবাৰ (prep.) "before," M. স্বাক্তা "superior," B. O. স্থাবাৰ, to which corresponds H. ি বিক্ৰা "hindmost," with a similar series in the rest, as P. বিক্ৰা, etc.

Sindhi has a set of stems in lu preceded by a short vowel, which, however, do not seem to be connected with this suffix, but rather with the Skr. diminutives in  $\blacksquare$  and  $\blacksquare$ , and may therefore be relegated to the section which treats of those developments.

This form is usual in Oriya, as मांसळ "fleshy," from मांस "flesh;" भण्डळ "deceitful," from भण्ड "a cheat."

One form fuses into another, or into several others, so constantly in these languages, that the inquirer is at every step bewildered by their similarity, and as the meanings, which are after all the safest guides, have also commonly been lost or

shaded off by metaphors, or by local circumstances, into other classes, there is often little help to be found from them. It is probable, however, that in all except Sindhi, the group of forms in l preceded by short a has really been derived from alu, ila, or one of the cognate forms in Sanskrit; and it must be perpetually remembered that the modern languages often add a termination, which has for them acquired some special meaning, to roots and primary stems in which it does not exist in Sanskrit, or, if it existed, has not been handed down to us, so that the fact of the form itself not being found in Sanskrit is no argument against its being a genuine one for the modern vernaculars. When also the moderns tack on these expressive endings to Tadbhavas whose origin is uncertain, the inference is that they fully recognized the effect produced by such endings, and used them at will, thus constructing numerous quite recent words of their own.

H. ढाख "shield," H. ढलेत "shield-bearer," P. ढलेंत, M. ढाखाईत, B. O. ढाखाइत.

H. श्राक्ष "strut," H. श्राक्षेत "a strutting, swaggering fellow," P.

More regularly, however, from words ending in â, as—

```
H. कडबा "war-song," "pæan," कडबेत "a warrior-bard," P. id.
H. चर्चा "report," "news," चर्चेत "a gossiping person."
H. दंगा "wrangling," "affray," दंगेत "a brawler," P. id.
H. बर्का "spear," चर्चेत "spearman," P. id.
H. नाता "relationship," नतेत "a relation."
```

In Sindhi this suffix is found in two forms, eto and aito. The e of the former arises from the fact that this suffix is added to the oblique form of the noun, thus yz "son," oblique yz putra, whence we get putra+ito=putreto, पुरेतो "having a son." In feminines in a the oblique also ends in a; thus [13] "daughter," धिएतो "having a daughter," from dhia+ito. Some words in u masc. do not change in the oblique, and in this case the u is elided; but a consciousness of its having been there prevents the i of the suffix from amalgamating with the final vowel of the root, thus भाउ "brother," भाइतो "having a brother," for bha(u)+ito. Irregular is जीएती "having a wife," from जोइ "wife," where we should expect sital for joi+ito; it has probably been constructed on the model of putreto, without reflecting on the origin of the form. Another irregular word is वाटाइतो "having a road," from वाट "road," which should be vâteto like dhieto.

With adjectives the suffix ito is added to long  $\hat{a}$ , as

```
वाराइतो "timely," from वारो "time."
सञ्चाइतो "opportune," " सञ्च "opportunity."
घुटाइतो "sultry," " घुट "sultriness."
निंड़ाइतो "sleepy," " निंड़ "sleep."
नेमाइतो "regular," " नेमु "rule."
```

' This last word is also used as an adverb "regularly," "methodically," and this is the case with several other words of this stem, in Sindhi; as

```
चर्चाइतो "madly," from चर्ची "mad."

पत्थाइतो "respectably," , पति "honour."

बिलाइतो "safely," , बिलो "charge," "care" (बिले
"in charge of").
```

Working round in our usual circle, we come next to Gujarati, which has forms in *iyo* produced by the rejection of the  $\overline{a}$  of  $\overline{a}$ . These were mentioned in § 18 (1),  $\epsilon$ . The form in ait is not given in any of the grammars or dictionaries, and does not appear to exist, though it is strange that words like  $\overline{a}$  sector, which breathe the spirit of the old Rajput heroes, should not have survived among the descendants of the war-like Chalukyas. Of  $\overline{a}$  there are a few examples, as

```
श्राकीख "recognition," श्राकीखितो "an acquaintance."
मान "honour," मानितो "respected," "a man of rank."
जाण "knowledge," जाणितो "skilled," "a connoisseur."
```

These are also written with long  $\xi$ , as similar, an approach to Marathi pronunciation. In the latter language the formation in  $\xi a$  is common as an attributive principally: thus

कडकड "a crackling sound," कडकडीत "that which crackles," also metaphorically "prompt," "smart."

खसखस "sound of rustling of dry grass," खसखसीत "rough," "blunt," "plain-spoken."

```
दण्रहण् "bang! bang!" दण्रहणीत "noisy" (said of a festivity).
दबदब "squash!" दबदबीत "soft," "pulpy," "squashy."
```

So fond of expressive formations is Marathi, that a very long list of words of this class might be adduced; they are mostly reduplicative. Of the form with, one or two instances have been given already, as weith, similar; another is yeith "one that takes the lead," from yei "front." M. and O. have from with "sword," word, "wordsman," and with M. It would not be unreasonable to suppose that the Marathas

borrowed this word from the Oriyas; the Khandaits of Orissa formed once a very powerful and numerous body of soldiers. Under the Hindu Rajas they were the militia or landwehr of the province, and are still found as village watchmen in the South, though in northern Orissa they have beaten their swords into ploughshares, and are industrious cultivators. The khanda, or short double-edged sword, was the sign manual of the kings of Orissa, and is found rudely engraved on all their copperplate grants, thus. The Marathas may have borrowed the word during their long period of sway in that country, as it is strange that it is only found in these two languages, and has a political significance only in Orissa. O. has also

गोह्याद्त "one who collects firewood, straw, etc., for sale," from गोह्या

सेवाइत "a worshipper," "one who attends regularly on an idol," from सेवा "worship."

And with a further suffix aka—

पळातक "a fugitive," from पळायन "flight," for पळाइतक.

टिकाइत "heir apparent to a throne," from टिका "the tilak or mark of sovereignty," H. टीकेत.

कटाइत "chopper," from कटान "cutting."

गन्तार्त "watchman," "guard for travellers," from गन्ता "traveller."

The gantait was a man who escorted the pilgrims to the shrine of Jagannath over the dangerous and difficult roads of former days. His occupation is gone in these peaceful times, but the title remains to his descendants, who are now ordinary peasants.

Bengali inverts the suffix into âtî, as in Hain "worshipper," for Haira, but does not use many words of this stem.

Tatsamas of this form are common in all the languages, and inasmuch as ita would in Prakrit drop the  $\overline{\epsilon}$  and become iya, and thence i, the early Tadbhavas formed by this suffix add

another element of uncertainty to the numerous words in long i in all the seven languages. This has been mentioned above under that stem.

§ 20. Most frequent in Sanskrit as a suffix indicating possession is मतुष. This forms adjectives having for nominative मान् (m.), मती (f.), मत् (n.), also वान्, वती, वत्. In Prakrit the form becomes वन्तो, वन्तो, वन्तो. The Prakrit form is preserved with some modification in early Tadbhavas; but all the languages have a certain number of instances of late Tadbhavas and Tatsamas with the Skr. formation, such as धनवान् "wealthy," which is in use in all. Sindhi, however, must have a vowel-ending, come what may, so it writes द्यावानु "compassionate," and with very slight corruption सीखवान "virtuous," for Skr. शीखवान; विज्ञावानु "learned," for Skr. विद्यावान . Of early Tadbhavas with the Pr. form वन्तो, the following are examples: G. द्यावंत "pitiful," धनवंत "wealthy," चखनीवंत "prosperous" (Skr. चळ्यावंत), जळ्यायवंत "bashful" (Skr. चळ्यावत), but these are not very common.

Far more general is the contracted form  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$ . With the change of form has come a very extensive change of meaning, so that it is not easy in all cases to see by what mental process the modern signification can be traced back to the original idea of possession.

In Hindi the form in जीत is almost identical in meaning with that in ऐत, and indicates only rarely possession, as उत्तीत, the name of a troublesome class of vagrant beggars, who in the Panjab lay claim to miraculous powers of warding off evil. They are so called from the उत्त, a hideous little instrument like a drum, with a bullet at the end of a string attached to it, with which they keep up a ceaseless rattle; so that the word would mean "possessing a dak," P. उत्तीत and उत्तीत, the latter for उत्तात. Less directly possessive is H. जेडीत "son of a जेडा" (Skr. जेडि), or "husband's elder brother," P. जिड्डत,

which, however, may be a compound from  $\frac{1}{2}$ 8 +  $\frac{1}{2}$ 8. Hindi has a string of feminines in  $\frac{1}{2}$ 17, indicating generally action, in some of which we can get the idea of possession, by treating them as adjectives compounded with a fem. substantive.

Thus avid "patrimony," "paternal inheritance," is explainable as बपावती संपत्ति "wealth possessed by, or belonging to, a father," where, however, the idea of possession is rendered passive, as we could not translate it "possessing a father," though this would be more in accordance with the original meaning. We must not be surprised at inversions of this sort in a popular and unreasoning language. Thus, for instance, the Latin suffix -bilis, which originally meant "able to do," is now generally used in the passive sense of "able to be done," amabilis, aimable, amiable, do not now mean "able to love," but "able to be loved," "that which may be loved;" and in the numerous hybrid words which we have formed by adding -able to Teutonic roots, the same rule prevails: thus we say, for instance, eatable, drinkable, meaning "that which may be eaten or drunk," not "that which can eat and drink." The monstrous modern word reliable, which is creeping into our language in spite of protest, can have no meaning at all. A reliable statement means literally "a statement that can be relied," which is nonsense; we say "a statement that can be relied on," so that the word, if allowed to exist at all, should be "relionable"!

The majority of these feminines cannot well, by any inversion or supplying additional meanings, be invested with a possessive sense. They refer mostly to accounts and business, and we may supply a substantive arm or are. Thus

समझोती "act of explaining," from समझाना "to explain," generally नुस्रोती "settlement of accounts," ,, नुस्राना "to explain," ) used together, P. id.

मनौती "giving security for one," " मनाना "to cause to trust," P. id., G. मनोती.

चुकौती "closing an account," from चुकाना "to settle," "wind-up," P. id.

भरौती "payment in full," " भर "full," "complete."

Others, again, are general in meaning, and have no reference to the primitive idea of possession, as

हरीती "the beginning of the ploughing season," from हल "plough" (also हरसीत).

चुनीती "act of encouraging troops," from चुनना "to array."

हिनीती "supplication" (probably only a vulgar corruption of Skr. विनयवती प्रार्थना "modest prayer").

सगौती "flesh," "meat" (derivation uncertain), P. M. id., G. साग. कुडीती "ransom," from कुडाना "to ransom."

Panjabi has also a fair number of words of this kind, mostly identical with Hindi. G. uses principally श्रोतो and उती, as in मनोतो, quoted above, समज्तो "explanation." M. writes वती, as चुक्तती, corresponding to H. चुक्तोती, or जत, as समज्ञत, H. समझोतो. In B. and O. the practice of pronouncing a as o has led to the confusion of words of this form with those formed by the suffix ti. In Hindi also it is a fair presumption that many of the words just quoted may be ascribed to the suffix ति, the इ of which is lengthened, as in the cases quoted in § 12. Thus words which have तो added to the root direct, are from the simple root, while those in which the तो is preceded by शो, are from the causal root, whose old form ended in tu; thus बुद्दोतो would be for बुद्दाउ + ति, from बुद्दाउना, the older form of the causal.

Here comes in more confusion. In H. and P., and occasionally in the other languages also, are found words which we are tempted to affiliate to this suffix, but which are written with z. It is probable that these words should have  $\overline{a}$ , and the use of z is due partly to ignorance, and partly to their having

been confounded with words of the form waz, already discussed in § 16 (3). Some are found in P. with both  $\pi$  and z, as in the following list:

- · H. सच "true," सचौटी "truthfulness."
  - H. हाथ "hand," हथीटी "dexterity," P. id.
  - H. हर्ना "to grasp," हरौटी "staff."
- H. सींग "horn," सिगीटी "a burnisher made of horn," P. id., "a small horn."
  - P. सिख्या "teaching" (Skr. श्विचा) सिखीती and सिखीटी "instruction."
  - P. सिञ्ज "upright," सिञ्जीट "uprightness" (also सिधीती).

Under this head, and to be distinctly referred to the suffix वत by elision of the व, and occasional lengthening of the preceding vowel, are to be classed the following Sindhi words:

भरी "load," भर्यतु "a porter." पोद्यों "labour," (Skr. पौद्धं) पोर्द्यतु "labourer." डिग्रणी "debt," डिग्रणातु "debtor."

With characteristic change of  $\pi$  to z, occur several words which may with great probability be ascribed to this suffix, though Trumpp would refer them, judging apparently chiefly by their sense, to the stem in uu through the Pr. form  $\pi u$ . Such are

## क्रोकर् "boy," क्रोकिराटु "boyhood" (quasi Skr. शावकरवत् कालं).

This is the only instance he gives among secondary forms. When treating of primary forms, he deduces this stem from the Skr. affix चत्, as noted in § 16 (2). From my own notes I take the following:

कंधु "shoulder," कंधारु "a bullock's collar" (quasi Skr. स्कंधवद् यन्त्रं "machine for the shoulder").

§ 21. Closely allied to the preceding is the Sanskrit suffix a, which is divided into two, as being added to nouns of

place to indicate attributives, as **दिशाल** "belonging to the South," and **खप** added to indeclinables to form words implying production, as **तरल** "produced there."

The use of these two forms has been very widely extended in the modern languages under the form  $\overline{\mathbf{q}}$ , which arises naturally from  $\overline{\mathbf{q}}$  (see Vol. I. p. 327). I shall show reasons in a future chapter for believing this suffix to be the origin of the Marathi genitive in  $\overline{\mathbf{q}}$ ,  $\overline{\dot{\mathbf{q}}}$ . At present I confine myself to the stems which it forms, merely observing that these stems are more frequent in, if not entirely confined to, Sindhi and Marathi,—a circumstance which adds confirmation to the theory of the genitive, by showing that the use of this suffix was familiar to the natives of those two provinces.

In Sindhi the suffix is preceded by i or e; the former is used where the stem is a feminine in short i, in which the long i of Sanskrit has been shortened in the primary stem, because it stands at the end of the word, but preserves its full length when the suffix is added. Thus

Skr. वेष्टी "inclosure," S. वेदुहि "thicket," "jungle," वेदृहीचो "belonging to the jungle."

Added to masculines in o or u it takes e, the old oblique form, as

```
S. गोदु "village," गोदिचो "belonging to the same village."
```

S. पारि "opposite," पारेचो "from the other side."

Marathi does not insert any junction vowel, as

M. घर "house," घरचा "domestic."
M. वर "above," वरचा "superior."
M. ऋंत "within," ऋंतचा "inner."
M. पुढा "front," पुढचा "anterior."
M. सागें "behind," सागचा "posterior."

Substantives are also formed in this way, as situit "salted food," "pickle," from state "salt." I can hardly venture so far as to say that this stem is not found in the other languages at all; for I think I have seen isolated instances in P. and G.: but I may safely say that it does not in any, except Marathi and Sindhi, attain to anything like general use. In those two languages it vindicates its claim to be considered as a descendant of stems in stem both from the phonetic consideration, and from the fidelity with which it retains the meaning of possession, combined most frequently with that of place. I cannot accept Dr. Trumpp's theory, which would connect this stem with the Skr. iku through a change of k to ch.

Ika is one of the great k group, of which so much was said under the primary stems, and which must be again introduced here, because it is extensively worked to form secondary stems. We have already seen what ika becomes as a primary among the rest, and shall not be led to suspect it of changing to ch. In Vol. I. p. 269, it was shown that this organic change, though there are traces of it in Skr. and Pr., is not by any means a characteristic feature of the modern languages, and the few instances in which it does occur are those of initials.

To come to the group in ka, which need not, however, detain us long, as in the discussion of its use in primary stems, the method of its application was explained. Of aka as a distinctly secondary form little trace, if any, exists,—that is to say, we cannot point to a class of words being either abstract nouns, possessives, or appellatives, which are evidently formed from other nouns. Of ika, in Marathi, forming nouns in  $\blacksquare$ , and of its connected forms in the other languages, notice was taken in § 18 (1),  $\gamma$ , to which I have only to add some cases in which the  $\blacksquare$  is retained, as in Sindhi

```
वापारिको "mercantile," from वापार "trade."
वाणिको "commercial," ,, वाणो "shopkeeper."
हारिको "rustic," ,, हारी "peasant."
```

In the other languages this form is rare, and generally found in Tatsamas or very recent Tadbhavas, such as सामाजिक in use in all, "relating to an assembly," from समाज "an assembly."

उन is used in Sindhi to make adjectives implying habitual actions or states, thus also inhabitants of any country.

```
हाजू "injurious," from हाजि "injury."
वैक् "revengeful," , वैक् "revenge."
छापिक "mountaineer" , छपक् "hill."
बाखिक "a man of Bakhar," , बखक् "Bakhar."
```

Such secondary words of this type as exist in the other languages, as, for instance, II. The "a glutton," from The, have been sufficiently exhibited under primaries, and need not be again referred to.

There is only one other member of the k-group which remains. Sindhi adds oko to nouns and adverbs to signify adjectives of time, as

In all these cases the final vowel of the stem is rejected, and the suffix joined to the bare root.

§ 22. As we draw near to the end of this long series of stems, the illustrations become more and more confined to one or two languages, and we seem to have exhausted the powers of those members of the group which are least prolific. Marathi and Sindhi keep up the game to the last, and in this, as in all other respects, show themselves more fertile in varied developments than their sister tongues. But this fertility is not for them a legitimate cause for boasting; they are, on the contrary, suffering from an objectionable plethora of forms. Among all the vast range of secondary forms there is very little variety of meaning; the English -y, -ness, -ship, and -hood, do between them as much

work as thirty or forty different suffixes in Sindhi, and it is not pretended that each of these suffixes has in itself some subtly restricted shade of meaning which separates it from the others, and renders it in a special manner appropriate to the individual word with which it is bound up. Bengali gets on very well with one or two, and Hindi with eight or ten suffixes; but Marathi and Sindhi cannot be contented without exhibiting some suffix for each of the innumerable Sanskrit pratyayas, both kridanta and taddhita. Under these circumstances it seems needless to wear out the reader's patience by going minutely into each one of them, more especially as some of them are of such rare occurrence as only to have a word or two apiece. I shall therefore wind up this portion of the subject by briefly enumerating, with one or two examples to each, such remaining forms as Phave met with; and if the reader should be acquainted with any other forms than those I have set down-as readers acquainted with the spoken vernaculars probably will be-he will have no difficulty in determining where to place it in the general system.

The Skr. suffix मय produces a stem in आँश्रों in Sindhi, as हैंड "turmeric," हैंड में श्रें "coloured with turmeric."

I am unable to find any traces of this form in the other languages, except in Tatsamas. The य is dropped, giving a Pr. मची, and the म softens into anunasika, with lengthening of its vowel, which is then brought forward in order that the anunasika may be utilized in filling the hiatus. The process as a whole seems unique, though resting on phonetic changes for which there is abundant analogy.

Sindhi has also stems in iru, from the Skr. suffix  $\mathbf{T}$ , inwhich it stands alone, as in Skr.  $\mathbf{T}$  forms diminutives, in which practice it is followed by the other languages. Sindhi, however, makes appellatives and attributives, as

संधिद "housebreaker," from संधि "a hole made by burglars."

The same language has also a series, peculiar to itself, of stems in आणो, दणो, जणो, which are in the main possessives. Thus

Connected with which are stems in ani, as patronymics, thus

And in îno, as

सङीणो "affianced," from सङ्ग "connexion by marriage."

To these may be added âtho, perhaps from Skr. স্মাত:

And aso, from Skr. II, as

As to the origin of all these forms there is much doubt. Standing so much alone as they do, and unsupported by corresponding forms in the other languages, it is difficult to know whence to trace them. Trumpp deduces the first from the Skr. suffix द्व, which, however, does not seem sufficient for the vowel-changes in âno and ûno. The second is probably from Skr. आयिन, and the third from द्व.

There are several other forms given by Trumpp, but most of those omitted from this list are to be accounted for under the verb, and may therefore be passed over at present. The same holds good of several stems in Marathi, such as those in at, and the like, and in Gujarati.

§ 23. Some of the languages are not content with one suffix, but will take two in the same word; in this case it is generally *ika* in one or other of its forms which does duty as a second

suffix. Thus in Sindhi stems  $\hat{a}no$ ,  $\hat{u}no$ , we find subsidiary forms  $\hat{a}niko$ ,  $\hat{u}niko$ , as

These double forms do not differ in meaning from the single forms, and it is probably to this tendency to use ika as a meaningless expletive, which recalls to us the similar habit in Prakrit of attaching a of to all and every Sanskrit noun at will, that we should refer a numerous class of obscure words in all the languages, in which, by the side of the regular stem, we have an augmented stem in iâ or uâ. Thus O. uses ब्राह्मणपणिश्रा for "the business of a Brahman," where we have the suffix u augmented by इत्रा= इक. Rustic Hindi has दृहालित्रा "milch (cow)," which is दूध + आलू + इत. In the Bhojpuri dialect of Hindi the terminations  $i\hat{a}$  and  $u\hat{a}$  are added by the vulgar to all nouns, whether primary or secondary, without altering the meaning. Other cases, where there are apparently two suffixes, would be more accurately described as compounds, where the latter member, being a verbal root, has become so common as to look almost like a suffix.

§ 24. Diminutives, as well as a nondescript class of words either contemptuous or jocose or familiar, besides jingling and fanciful formations, are very common in all these vernacular languages, and in many cases the terminations in themselves are absolutely meaningless, and incapable of being solemnly and scientifically traced back to the ancient languages. In such a maze of playful or vulgar developments it would be but waste of time to attempt an elaborate arrangement; the human mind makes a tool of the tongue, and strikes out for itself sounds which satisfy its needs without regard to grammar or etymology. It will be more useful and more interesting therefore to state what forms the languages employ, than to try and find out why they employ them.

The relative size and quality of material objects are elegantly shown in all the languages by change of gender. In this respect they agree with the old Teutonic family, and other members of the great Indo-Germanic class. Masculine nouns express objects which are large, strong, or coarse; feminine those which are small, weak, or fine; and where the neuter exists, it expresses things dull, inanimate, or sexless. This will be more fully drawn out in the next Chapter.

The commonest type of the diminutive is that which has for its characteristic the letter 3; the words of this class occasionally end in this letter simply, but more frequently take the long vowel-ending, as si, si, si. This s appears to have arisen from the Sanskrit suffix T, which in that language also forms diminutives, and is retained under the forms 77, 17, etc., in many of the seven languages. It also occasionally modulates into ज, as might be expected, and in a few rather exceptional cases, chiefly in Marathi, appears as 3. In point of meaning, this termination has a wide range, from words which are pure diminutives to those in which the sense of smallness is only to be made out by researches into the original meaning; then to those in which the idea is that of contempt or familiarity, fondness or trifling; till at last we come to words which have lost all sense of smallness, and are simple appellatives. In this last class it is often impossible, or, in the present state of the subject, very hazardous, to suggest a primitive word, from which that in actual use may have been formed. The words of this class are the pure offspring of the popular mind, and consequently, though the principle involved in their formation is the same in the whole seven, yet the speakers of each language have formed their own words separately, so that, except in closely allied languages, as Hindi and Panjabi, it is rare to find an instance running through two or more. It will, therefore, be advisable here, as it was in many of the primary and secondary stems, to take each language separately.

Sindhi is clearer in respect of this form than its sisters, having a greater number of the words of this type in use as pure diminutives, and not so many as contemptuous, familiar, or appellative terms. Trumpp gives the following:

In the case of words ending in u masc., the suffix is either added to the oblique form in a, or the final u is changed to i,—in the latter case probably from the influence of the i of the fem. termination; but where the u is the feminine ending, it remains unchanged.

Similarly, nouns in o change that letter to a or i; of the former an instance is हिँचडो "sweetheart," from हिँचो "heart;" of the latter भोडिडो "a small monkey," from भोडो "monkey."

Feminines in a and i retain those vowels unaltered; but i and i are changed to ia and ia respectively, or in other words the suffix is added to the oblique form, as

```
मंधी "churning staff" (Skr. मन्थ), मंधिचडो "a small churning staff."
भटू "scorpion," भटुचडी "a small scorpion."
```

There is also a suffix ro, joined only to adjectives in the oblique form (nouns in o make their oblique in e), to signify somewhat of the quality indicated by the primary, and corresponding to the English termination "-ish," as

This latter often takes a double suffix, as witted "very small."

Marathi, with its customary fullness of forms, has a wide

range in diminutives, and sometimes uses two to one word. Thus:

गांठ "knot," गांठोड "a bundle," गांठोडी "a small bundle," also गांठोळें, गांठोळी

बांड "piece," बांडोडें "a small bit," also बांडोरें, खांडोळें.

বিষ "rag" (বিষ্টা), বিষ্ট্তী "a poor rag" (contemptuously).

चाम "leather," चामहें "skin," "hide," चामही "the human skin." Here the sense of diminutiveness is lost.

गांव " village," गांवेंढं " a small village."

Skr. মাৰ "young of an animal," হ্ৰাৰ্ডা "darling," "little pet" (said to a child).

Marathi has for adjectives also the termination **T**, corresponding to Sindhi **T**, and, like it, with the union vowel e after oxytone nouns, as

गोरा "fair," "light-coloured," गोरेला "fairish."

But it uses the form in st also for this sense, as states, as stat

The termination 3, 3, is extremely frequent in Gujarati, so much so indeed that words of this type have in many cases lost the sense of smallness, and stand alone for the primary idea, having pushed the old primary word quite out of the language; such are:

दाहाडो, दाडो "day," from दिवस, through दिहा, दहा-मोहोडुं "face," ,, मुख ,, मुक्

That this latter word is strictly a diminutive is shown by the existence of the intermediate form मूख्दुं; the original word मुख meaning "the whole face," मूखदुं is restricted to the sense of "mouth," and this brings it under the definition of a diminutive, because that class of words not only describes a smaller article of the same kind as the primary, but also an analogous or allied article of a pettier, narrower, or partial kind.

With the pure sense of diminution are found the following words in ordinary use:

```
गाम ("hamlet," from गाम "village."
घांट ही "small bell," ,, घांट "bell."
जिवडी "little darling," ,, जीव "life," P. जिउडी.
भुखडी "somewhat hungry," ,, भूखी "hungry."
पसंग्डी "a small bedstead," ,, पसंग "bedstead" (Skr. पर्यंक).
वैरागडी "a rascally sham hermit," ,, वैरागी "an ascetic."
महादेवडी "a trumpery old idol," ,, महादेव "an idol of Siva."
```

These last two are contemptuous, a very common use of the diminutive in all languages. Familiar and slightly contemptuous, but at times with somewhat of a kindly meaning, are:

```
वायडी "a wife," from बाई "lady."
भाइडो "husband," " भाई "brother" (used as a respectful
term to males).
```

These two words correspond to the vulgar English "the master," and "the missis" (mistress), or to the rustic terms "the good man," "the good wife," as in the Marathi बाईब.

```
राबोडी "ashes," "rubbish," from राख "ashes."
दोरडी "a string," , दोर "a rope."
शेरडो "a footpath," , शेरी "street."
```

As in Marathi, so also here, the termination जो (Sindhi रो) is used as a diminutive, thus:

चांद्ली "a small crescent used as an ornament," from चांद् "moon" (Greek μηνίσκος, Latin lunula).

```
पाटलो "a small writing-board," from पाटी "plank."
```

Hindi and Panjabi go together; and Gujarati, in virtue of its position as an isolated dialect of Old-Hindi, exhibits often the same words. In the first-named language the tendency, already mentioned, to express smallness by changing a masculine word into a feminine, operates to reduce the number of

diminutives of the type  $\overline{\bullet}$ , and a large proportion of the words of this type are now simple appellatives with no special sense of smallness. Common to nearly all the languages are the following words of this class, whose meaning is in most cases somewhat obscured.

H. द्यांपडी "hut," "hovel," P. द्यांपडी, G. द्युपडुं, M. द्यांपडी, द्यांपडे. O. द्युपडी, B. द्युपडी. The primary word द्यांप is not, as far as I know, in use, but it is probably connected with द्यांप "covering."

H. चमडा "leather," literally, "a small piece of skin" (see Vol. I. p. 345), found in all.

H. इशिकड़ा "boy," Skr. भावत "young of an animal," perhaps already a diminutive from भ्व "body" (see Vol. I. p. 261, for the numerous modern forms of this word).

H. टिकडी and टिकली "a wafer," "a small round cake," probably a diminutive of टोका "the round sectarial mark which Hindus paint on their foreheads;" this word is derived from Skr. নিজক (see Vol. I. p. 226), which again seems to be a diminutive from নিজ "a grain of til seed." In P. and G. टेकिडो, टेकिडो, means "a small round hillock;" and in the various meanings given to the cognate words in the other languages we may detect as a general thread of meaning running through them all that of any small round object, as "a mound," "lump," "cake," "wafer," "piece of broken pottery," and the like.

H. डोमडा "a vagabond Dom,"

```
H. दिखाड "lazy," , दीसा "slack,"
H. गठरी "bundle," , गाउ "knot."
H. शंकडी "fishhook"(small hook), , शंक "hook."
H. शंकडी "glance of the eye," , श्रांख "eye."
H. शटारी "small upper room," , श्रांख "upper room."
H. शंडड़ा "small or bad egg," , श्रांडा "egg."
H. खाडा "skin," "hide"(contemptuous) , खाड़ा "skin."
```

from डीस "an impure caste."

H. दुक्दा, ॰डी "small piece," " दुक् "piece" (Skr. स्तीक).

In some of these, in Hindi fashion, ₹ and ₹ are interchange-

able, and additional emphasis is given to the idea of smallness by the use of the feminine termination  $\xi$ .

Hindi has also a few words in at, with joining vowels e and o, as मुरेला "a young peacock," from मीर "a peacock;" सपीला "young snake," from **uju** "snake;" or without the joining vowel, as बांसजी "a bamboo flute or pipe," from बांस "bamboo" (Skr. वंग्र), also written वांसरी and वांसरी. There is also a form which is interesting as exhibiting the retention of the Sanskrit affix क in a diminutive sense; तलेया "a small pond," from ताल "a lake;" महैया "a small hut," from मण्डप "a shed." The first of these words is derived from Skr. तुडाग, Pr. तुडागो, through a fem. तडागिका, of which the Pr. form would be तबादया; the last is from मंडपिका. The masculine form of this suffix is seen in ढोलन "a small drum," from ढोल "a drum," and perhaps in the rare form वा, G. वो; aka in Pr. becomes ao, and the a is inserted to soften the hiatus, as in H. बक्र्वा, from बक्रा "calf" (Skr. वत्स); G. क्रोड्वो "small sprout," from कोड "sprout;" झाडवो "small bush," from झाड "bush." In some feminines from originals with barytone or mute a terminations, the diminutive is **इया**, as

> चंबिया "small mango," from चंब, आस "mango." चंगिया "boddice." " चंग "body."

In B. and O. the forms of the diminutive stare generally the same as in Hindi, and, as in that language, have to a great extent lost their special meaning. In O. especially, and to a great extent in the others, the addition of star may be made to almost any noun at the will of the speaker, and would in most places be perfectly understood as indicating contempt.

There is to be found more or less frequently throughout the group another diminutive form in Z, generally with joining vowel e or o. If we consider this Z as allied to the W of the abovenamed form, we should have to put it first as an older form, which has been subsequently softened into W; but there are

difficulties in the way of this process. The junction vowel of the forms  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  is either o or e, which two vowels represent, in my opinion, the nom. masc. and oblique endings respectively of the noun, whereas the junction vowel of the  $\mathbf{W}$  form is generally a, only occasionally in  $\mathbf{M}$ . We find o. From this it would result that  $\mathbf{W}$  is used with words whose ending is a mute, or a consonant  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  with oxytones in o or a, oblique e; and inasmuch as these vowels in a large number of cases indicate the presence of the suffix a in Prakrit, words formed with them would be early Tadbhavas, as  $\mathbf{H}$ . Here and the like, while the words in  $\mathbf{W}$  would be to a great extent late Tadbhavas. Instances of the  $\mathbf{Z}$  type are—

Trumpp, from whom I take these instances, points out that the diminutive in Pashtu is regularly formed by uṭai. Bellew (Pukhto Gr. p. 108) restricts it to nouns ending in s, and gives as instances:

sarai, "man," سروټي saroṭai, "a small man" (which may be written सडोटइ).

The existence of this form in Pashtu is a confirmation of its antiquity, and justifies my attributing it—as I did above from independent reasons—to the early Tadbhava period. It is not so common in the other languages, though instances are not entirely wanting.

In M. विधूर and विधोरी "a wretched rag," from विधी "a rag;" but more frequently without the junction vowel merely, as ट. खांबर "a small post," from खांब "post;" उंच "high," उंचर "highish;" खार "salt," खारर "saltish," "brackish;" जळका "scorched," जळकर

"slightly scorched;" चैप "pressing," चेपट "flattish;" चिंव "wet," चेंबट "moist."

H. चमोटी "a small strip of leather," P. G. id., M. चामोटा, °टी, from चाम "leather;" हिर्णोटा "a fawn," from हिर्ण "a deer;" G. सर्ख "a writing," स्वोटी "a note," "a small letter."

§ 25. In the formation of compound words the modern languages, while lacking the exuberance of the Sanskrit, are not altogether wanting in strength. It will be useless to discuss those words which have been formed in Sanskrit, and borrowed whole from that language in modern times. The modern grammarians needlessly bewilder their pupils by leading them through all the mazes of Tatpurusha, Bahuvrîhi, and all the other methods of making compound words, which are in use in Sanskrit. In the present section it is proposed merely to note the instances that have been found of compounds made in modern times principally from Tadbhava or Desaja materials, and to endeavour to ascertain the law that underlies their structure.

That simplest of all methods of forming compound words, which the Sanskrit grammarians call Tatpurusha, is still in force in our seven languages, as it is in most modern languages of the Indo-Germanic family, being a special characteristic of that family, and surviving through all the changes brought about by time. The seven languages therefore have formed compounds of this sort from their own stores, from Tadbhava and Desaja words. Under this class are included those compounds formed from two nouns one of which governs the other; familiar instances in our own language, which is rich in these words, are shipowner, horseman, housekeeper, and the like. In these words we have an inversion, the governed or dependent word being placed first, whereas in the separate construction they would stand last, as owner of a ship, man on (or with) a horse, keeper of a house. Further, it is not only in the genitive

relation that the dependent word stands; according to the grammatical rules of each language, it might stand in many of the other cases or relations. In some of the languages, consequently, the dependent word, when put first, takes the oblique form, which is common to all cases of the singular, and by itself denotes simply the state of dependence, the particular kind of dependence being indicated by case-particles, which are omitted in the compound. Thus:

- S. घरधणी "housemaster," from घर जो धणी "master of the house;" S. उतरवाउ "north wind," " उतर जो वाउ "wind of the north;"
- —where घर जो, उतर जो, are the genitives respectively of घड् "house," and उत्तइ "the north," which ending in the nom. in u, form their oblique in a. Similarly, in
  - S. मथेखाज "head-eater," from मथे जो खाज "eater of the head" (fig. tormentor);
  - S. करनिदुद्रो "hand-broken," " करनि जो दुद्रो "broken of hands" (fig. lazy);

we have in the first the oblique singular in e of the noun المعنى, and in the last the oblique plural in ani of the noun real. Those languages which use the Persian character obscure the real nature of such compounds as these by writing the two words separate, or rather—inasmuch as some Persian letters cannot be joined to the following letters, and native scribes seldom leave any space between their words—it is impossible to say whether the word is written as one or two. Thus in the Persian character منافع المعنى في المعنى be meant for one word or two, for all that we can tell from the writing. It is only in the case of those letters which have a separate final form that we can tell: thus منافع المعنى بنافي but if written منافع بنافي , it is one word. This is, however, merely a detail of writing; in speech, these words would be regarded as one.

In Hindi the rule of placing the dependent word in the oblique form is disregarded: only one class of nouns, that in a, has an oblique form, and this is not cared for; thus we have from **visi** "horse," oblique **vis**, the following compounds:

```
घुडचढा "horseman," from घोडे का चढा "rider on a horse."
घुडदीड "horse-race," , घोडे का दीड "running of a horse."
घुडसाल "horse-stable,", घोडों का साल (शाला) "house for horses."
```

In all these cases no sign of the oblique form remains; but the Hindi, being sensitive about quantity, shortens the vowel of the first member: thus  $\hat{a}$  becomes a,  $\hat{i}$  becomes i,  $\hat{u}$  becomes u, and the diphthongal vowels o and e turn respectively into u and i.

Words ending with the long vowels û, î, û, also generally shorten those vowels, in which case the so shortened vowels drop out altogether: thus, as we have seen, घोडा becomes in composition घुड; so also पानी becomes पन, and जोड़ becomes सुह.

The following examples will illustrate the above remarks:

```
पनसाला ("a shed for sell-) from पानी "water," and श्राला "hall."
पनघट "a quay," , पानी "water," and घाट "a ghất or passage."
```

पनवाडी "a betel-garden," " पान "betel," and बाडी "garden," also written पंवाडी.

फुलझडी "a kind of firework," ,, फूल "flower," and झडी "cascade." बतबढ़ाव "a prolix talker," ,, बात "word," and बढ़ाव "prolongation."

बटमार "a highwayman," , बाट "road," and मार "striking." जुहहण्डा (जुहंडा) "iron pot,", जोहा "iron," and हांडा "pot." जुहचूर "iron filings," , जोहा "iron," and चूर "dust." •

There is also a class of compounds of the Tatpurusha kind in

which the first letter of the second or governing member is elided, as—

H. पुलेस "an oil scented with flowers," from पूज "flower," and तेस "oil."
H. सडांघ "a smell of rotting substances," ,, सडा "rotten," and गंध
"smell."

This class is very numerous in Marathi and in Panjabi, less so in the other languages. Instances in Panjabi are—

कपिडिश्रांध "smell of burnt cloth," from कप्पड़ा "cloth," and गंध "smell." किरश्रांध "smell of a camel," , कर्हा "camel," , id. किश्रांध "smell of raw meat," , क्या "raw," , id. खिटश्रांध "sour smell," , खुड़ा "sour," , id.

—in all of which the final long  $\hat{a}$  of the first element in the compound is shortened to i.

One familiar set of words, however, runs through all the seven, namely that formed by att "maker." These have been partially given in Vol. I., but may be again detailed here—

Skr. मुवर्णकार "goldsmith," Pr. सोणारो, H. सोनार, P. मुनित्रार, S. सोनारो, M. सोनार, B. सोणार, O. मुखारी.

Skr. कुश्रकार "potter," Pr. कुम्हत्रारो, H. कुम्हार, P. id., M. G. कुंभार, S. कुंभार, B. कुमार, mlgo कुमोर, O. कुश्रार, कुह्यार.

Skr. चर्मकार "leather-worker," Pr. कम्मश्रारो, H. चमार, P. G. id., M. चाम्हार, S. चमार, B. चामार, O. चमार.

The Chingana retains the older form in  $\overline{ant}$ , but in the plural with softening of k to g, as—

machengoro, "fishmonger," from macho, "fish."
maseskoro, "butcher,", mas, "flesh."

The former word is constructed with the plural because it implies "one who sells many fish;" macheskoro would mean "a man who only sold one fish."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paspati, Tching. p. 53.

Similar is the treatment of ur "holder," in

Skr. सूचधार "carpenter," Pr. सुत्तहारो (?), H. सुतार, G. id., B. O. क्तार (सुतार), M. सुतार, with dim. सुतारडा, S. सूटहडु;

Skr. स्तंधधार "palanqueen-bearer," Pr. तंधहारी (?) or तंहहारी, H. तहार, M. id.;

- —where the aspirated letter has been changed to **\(\varepsilon\)** and ultimately elided. Further illustrations are—
  - H. खलेल "scented oil," from खल "sediment of an oil mill," and तल "oil."
  - II. राजत "name of a caste," " राजा "king," and दूत " messenger," or राजा "king," and पुत for पुत्र "son."
  - M. धूपेल "a resinous oil," " धूप "smoke," and तेल "oil."
  - M. त्रांबटाण ("a smell of sourness,") " त्रांबट "sour," and घाण "smell."
  - M. कर्पटाण ("a smell of singed food,") " कर्पट "burning," and घाण "smell."

Marathi has numerous compounds indicating various kinds of prepared, scented, or medicinal waters, the last element of which is पाणी "water," changed into वणी: thus—

श्रंबवणी "mango-water," from श्रंबा "mango," पाणी "water." सुक्ठवणी "water heated in the sun," ,, सुक्क "sunlight," id.

Of compounds which would be classed as Karmadhâraya by Sanskrit grammarians, many have been preserved from Sanskrit, but the modern languages have created some out of their own stock. Trumpp gives the following from Sindhi:

वडाखां "a glutton," वडा "great," खां ज "enter." घणा द्वा "much," घ्र (s.f.) "wish."

But others which he adduces, as महाजणु "a merchant," irom महा + जन = "a great man," and प्रत्नेकु "the other world," are Sanskrit, and are in use in all the languages.

The following instances of modern Karmadharayas are from Hindi:

```
वडपेटा "big-bellied," from बडा "big," and पेट "belly."
भवागुस "polite," " भवा "good," " मानुस "man."
समतंगा "long-legged," " सावा "long," " तंगा "leg."
अधकपाली "hemiplegia," " आधा "half," " कपाल "skull."
अधकपा "half-ripe," " आधा "half," " कपाल "raw."
समकता "ahare" (lit. long-eared) " लावा "long," " कान "ear."
```

The adjective in Hindi typically ending in  $\hat{a}$ , an adjectival termination has been added to the nouns which form the last member of these compounds, in all cases in which the compound itself is used adjectivally; and the first member of the compound, if it contains a long vowel, has that vowel euphonically shortened.

Marathi is very rich in compounds, almost more so than any other language of the group. A few instances of Karmadhâ-rayas are here given:

```
नाळमांजर "a pole-cat," from नाळा "black," मांजर "cat."
तांबडमाती "red carth," ,, तांबडा "red," माती "earth."
सांबतांग्या "long-legged," ,, सांब "long," तांग "leg."
नाळतींद्या "luckless," ,, नाळा "black," तोंड "face."
बरीगत "prosperity," ,, बरा "good," गत" condition" (गति).
```

In Gujarati, as far as I can judge from the works available for consultation, the practice of making compounds out of modern elements is not carried to so great an extent as in some of the other languages. Instances are:

```
भलमनसाइ "politeness," from भला "good," मानुस "man."
मोटुमाण्स "a grandee," " मोटो "great," माण्स "man."
```

Dvigu compounds, namely those in which the first element is a numeral, are exceedingly common in Hindi, and almost equally so in the other languages; thus with दो "two," as the initial element, sometimes shortened to द:

- H. दोभाषिया "an interpreter," from भाषा "speech."
- H. दोपट्टा "a cloth of two breadths," , पट्टा "strip of cloth."
- H. दोलडा "a necklace of two threads," ,, लुड "thread."
- H. दोजीया "pregnant" (with two lives), ,, जी "life."
- H. दुसेरी "a weight of two sers," , सेर् "a weight of 2 lbs. Avoirdupois."
- H. दुश्रही "a two-anna piece," ,, श्राना "an anna."

With तीन "three," shortened to ति:

- H. तिचडा "a necklace of three threads."
- H. तिपौलिया "a building with three doors," from पौल "a door."

With चार "four," which becomes in composition ची, i.e. चड from चतुर्, with dropping of त्, according to rule, and elision of final र:

- H. चौग्रती "a four-anna piece."
- H. चीकर "a framework for a door," from कार "wood."
- H. चीकडी "earring," , कडी "ring."
- H. चीघरा "a box with four partitions," ,, घर "house."
- H. चीमास "the four months of the rainy season," ,, मास "month."

All the compound numerals are Dvigus, as will be noticed presently. It is not necessary to give illustrations from the other languages, as they are formed in precisely the same way as in Hindi.

Dwandwas compounds, strictly speaking, do not exist. The Dwandwas of Sanskrit consisted of two nouns or more compounded together into one word, the latter of which took the terminations of the dual noun of the a-stem, or that of the plural, according to the number of things expressed in the

compound. But as the modern languages no longer possess the inflexional terminations of the old synthetical languages, it is clear that no Dwandwas in the Sanskrit sense can exist. There are abundant alliterations where two or more words are put close together, and only the last word takes the case-affixes: thus one may say, हाथी घोडों पर "on elephants and horses;" but these are hardly compounds. The same remark applies to the very numerous cases where a second word is added to the first so as to jingle with it, such second word being for the most part quite meaningless, as in S. बन भन "talk," where भन has no meaning; such are, आस पास H. "near," where आस is meaningless; उनटा पुनटा "upside down," and many others.

Bahuvrîhis are easily formed in all the languages by giving an adjectival termination to any of the foregoing classes of compounds, and in fact several of the examples given above are Bahuvrîhis. Further illustrations are unnecessary.

§ 26. Numerals.—The cardinal numbers up to ten inclusive are simple adjectives, some of which are declinable, others not; from eleven up to ninety-nine they are, as was stated in the last section, compounds to a certain extent of the Dvigu character. Each numeral, though a strong family likeness runs through them all, stands on its own basis, and is derived directly from Prakrit, through the operation of the phonetic laws of its own language, and it will therefore be necessary to examine each one separately and in detail.

ONE.—Skr. एक, Pr. एक, H. एक, P. इक, S. हिन्न, हेक, एक, हिकिडो, हेकिडो (Sindhi is never contented with one form); G. एक, usually written चेक; M. एक, येक, O. B. एक; Gipsy yek. It is indeclinable in H. and P., but may take case-affixes when standing alone; the two Sindhi forms in हो are diminutives, and that language has put on an ह in front, the reason for which is not apparent. B. pronounces it very short and harsh,

something like yack, rhyming with the English words pack, stack. The **U** is long in all the other languages except P. and S., and the double **a** of Prakrit has therefore been reduced to a single. Old-H. has constantly **Ta** and **Ta**.

Two.—Skr. base **g** and **g**, Pr. **दो**, H. **दो**, P. **दो**, M. **दोन**, O. B. **दुइ**, Gipsy dui; but S. **ब**, G. **बे**. Here S. and G. stand apart from the others, they having, as pointed out in Vol. I. p. 331, assimilated the **द** to the **ब**, and thus made **g**, out of which S. gets its peculiar sound **ब**; S. appears to have taken the base **g**, and G. the base **g**. H. and P. have probably also taken the base **g**, and resolved the semivowel into its vowel, thus getting **g**, whence **दो**; the forms **दोय**, **दोई**, **दूइ**, and **g** are, however, found in the earlier poets. M. **दोन** contains the type of the neuter plural; this is unknown in Skr., and has probably been extended to this numeral from M. तीन "three."

Three.—Skr. base चि, nom. pl. neut. चीणि, whence Pr. तिसि, and Gipsy trin, II. तीन, P. तिन, G. तस, M. तीन, O. तिनि, B. तिन. All these are neuter plurals by origin, and from this, as observed above, M. has apparently imitated its दोन "two." P. has also a commonly used form चै, which agrees with S. 3, as far as the subjoined \(\tau\) and vowel are concerned; but S. has changed a into z, under the influence of the following 😽 both these forms lead back to the Skr. masc. च्य:. If it be asked why two languages out of the group should adopt the masculine, while the others take the neuter, it might be answered, that S. and P. (especially S.) are often more archaic in their forms than the other languages, and that H. has probably not deliberately adopted the neuter plural; but as three is the first numeral that has a distinctly plural meaning-one and two being respectively singular and dual in the parent speech-H. has indicated the idea of plurality by adding the sign which indicates plurality in the noun, where, as it will be seen hereafter, the termination of the neuter plural has usurped the place of all other plural forms. Kashmiri, a very archaic dialect, uses चिह, and we may therefore place it, together with the Gipsy, P. and S., on a higher level of antiquity than the others; Gipsy by its additional न (unless this is to be considered merely as anunâsika) standing halfway between the three western languages and H., and its followers O. B. and G. It is characteristic of G. to be careless about short vowels; तण for तिण is a parallel to तम "ye," for तम.

FOUR.—Skr. base चतुर्, neut. pl. चलारि, whence Pr. चत्तारि. B. and O. चारि, S. चारि, H. P. G. M. चार, Gipsy star, shtar, ishtar, probably by inversion from tsar,  $\exists$  having in M., and possibly formerly in S. also, sometimes the sound of ts, also in Kashmiri tsor = चोर, a sound due to non-Aryan influences.

The entire loss of the nexus  $\overline{a}$ , which had become  $\overline{a}$  in Prakrit, is unusual, but possible in the case of so-much-used a word as this, and it need not surprise us when we think of  $\overline{a}$   $\overline{a$ 

Five.—Skr. base पंचन, but the final n drops in the nominative, and in fact throughout the declension. Pr. पञ्च, H. पांच, and so in M. G. B. and O., but S. and P. have पंज, with the tenuis softened to its media. Gipsy and Kashmiri have pântsh, which is written in the latter uiz, and Marthough it writes uiu, pronounces pânts.

Six.—Skr. base un, but nom. uz, which is also the declensional base. Pr. &, whence H. &, and dialectically &, P. &, S. & and &, G. &, O. & (pronounced chhôh), B. &u; but M. uş, where the us regular Marathi for &, see Vol. I. p. 218, and the akin to S. &. How us came into & it does not concern us to inquire, as the process took place in earlier times than we are dealing with; Kashmiri has still u, as us, with which may be compared Gipsy (u), una sho, show.

Seven.—Skr. सप्त, the  $\mathbf{\eta}$  being dropped as in  $\mathbf{u}$  and, Pr. स्त, whence H. सात (see Vol. I. p. 287), where this numeral is treated of as illustrating the strong nexus). The Gipsies use efta, which shows traces of Greek influence,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\dot{\alpha}$  becoming  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\tau\dot{\alpha}$ ; as Paspati (p. 77) points out, there is a frequently occurring change of  $\pi$  to  $\phi$  in the transition of words from Greek to Chingana.

Eight.—Skr. **શર્** or **શર**, Prakrit **શ**રૂ, H. **શા**રુ, P. **શ**રૂ, S. **શ**ર, M. G. O. **શા**ર, B. **શા**ર. All these are regular phonetic changes. Kashmiri has also **શા**ર, but Gipsy again approaches the Greek with *ochto*, which Paspati says is modern Greek ὀχτώ for ὀκτώ.

NINE.—Skr. नव, Pr. एम्ब, H. नी, which is also written नव, P. नी or नछ, S. नँव, G. M. नव, O. नम्ब (noh), B. नय, Kashmiri नी and नी, Gipsy again Greek enca=èvveá. Had the Gipsies only learnt to count as far as six when they left India? With the exception of ten and twenty, they have few distinctly Indian numbers above six.

TEN.—Skr. दश, Prakrit दस, whence H. दस, B. and O. दश in literature, thanks to the Pandits; but people when they talk or write to one another use दस; G. दस, P. दस and दह, M. दहा, which is strange, as M. does not usually change स to ह. S., as might be expected, has दह, Kashmiri दह, Gipsy desh. This numeral resents no special features.

There is a regular ascending scale in the numerals. The cardinals up to ten are simple derivatives from Sanskrit; from ten to nineteen they are compound derivatives from the same, but inherit the system of composition handed down from the earlier language. From nineteen onwards they form their numerals in a way of their own, which agrees at the same time in principle with that of Sanskrit, but differs from it so far that the materials of which the compounds are composed are of modern origin in many respects.

It will be more convenient to exhibit each group in a tabular form. Here follows the group of "tens":—

	te					4			-
19	कर्नावंशति	जनवीसइ	<b>उ</b> नीस	उनीह,	उसीह	म्रोगधीस	एकुणीस	उनाद्या	कनिश्
18	मधाद्ग	20	भटारह	भ्रदारां	म् इंड	{ अहार } { अराड }	मुद्रस	भ्रदर	आदार
17	सप्तद्श	समरह	सत्ह	सतारां	H. Jin.	सतर	सम	सतर	सतेर
91	षोड्य	सोलह	सोलह	सोळां	सीरहं	मीळ	सोका	सोहक	<u>ष</u> ोल
15	पंचद्श	पक्षरह	10X 10X 10B	पंदरी	(पंद्रहं (पंघां	पंहर	पंधरा	पंधर	पोनेर
14	चतुद्ध	म उह्न इ	मीर्ह	चौद्	मोडहं	म	चीदा	4	4 P
13	नयोद्य	(ic	(IC No	नेरां	ती हिं	<del>(</del> E	नेरा	मेर	मु
51	दाद्य	वारह	बारह	बारां	बारह	<u> </u>	बारा	गर	बारो
11	एकाद्भ	ए आर्ह	(इगारह स्यारह	मित्रारां	(इकारहै) यारहे	आशिआर	अकर्	एगार	एगार
	Skr.	<u>ڄ</u>	H.	ч.	σά	ċ	M.	0.	Э.

These all follow Vararuchi's well-known rule ii. 14, by which the  $\xi$  of  $\xi \eta$  is changed to  $\zeta$ , and ii. 44, by which  $\eta$ 

is changed to . This type to is throughout retained by H., which even in "sixteen" has dialectically सोरह as well as the more classical सोजह; the final ह, however, not being a favourite or common ending in any of the languages, is mostly dropped, and its place supplied by long  $\hat{a}$ , produced by combination of the two short vowels; thus are becomes are by elision of z, and then M. att. The final long o, which is exhibited above in B., is quite inorganic, and arises from the habit Bengalis have of pronouncing was o; this short o is lengthened in counting, and we thus find and, but it would be quite as correct, or rather more so, to write att, pronouncing baro. P. and S. add anunasika throughout, and S. retains the 3, but it can hardly be said that the nasalization of the final syllable has any organic foundation: it is, I believe, merely another instance of the nasal way these people speak. One often hears a distinctly nasal twang given by natives to words where no nasal is written; and until some better and more rational standard of spelling shall be introduced, it is merely fighting with the air to reason about the origin of these anunasikas, many of which are nothing more than local accent, and have no foundation in etymology.

As to "eleven," it is noticeable that the  $\overline{a}$ , which was dropped in scenic Prakrit, still holds its place, though sometimes softened to  $\overline{a}$ , or even  $\overline{a}$ , in the moderns; the  $\overline{a}$  or  $\overline{a}$  which appears in H.  $\overline{a}$ , P. and G., is probably by inversion from  $\overline{a}$ , and the prosthetic  $\overline{a}$  of G. is inorganic. In "twelve" is seen the rejection of the initial  $\overline{a}$  already noticed. "Thirteen" seems to have been wrongly worked out by Cowell; following Vararuchi (p. 121, note), he would make trayodaśa first into treyodaśa, which is not, I think, what Vararuchi means, as in i. 5 he couples teraha with sunderam = saundaryam, and similar words. He probably supposes a transposed form taryodaśa, which by his rule becomes terodaśa, by the palatal vowel leaping over into the proceding syllable (Vol. I. p. 135): the steps are trayo, taryo, tario, tario, tario, tero. Then the syn-

chronous change of daśa to raha produces teroraha, easily contracted to teraha in Pr., because o is habitually short, and teroraha, ter'raha, is a natural contraction.

In the case of "fourteen," Prakrit does not change  $\xi$  to  $\zeta$ , probably because the  $\zeta$  of  $\exists \zeta$  had been assimilated to the following  $\xi$ , making  $\xi$ ; this is followed by all the languages except. S., which sticks to its favourite  $\xi$ .

More irregular than the foregoing is "fifteen." Here the anuswâra operates, and by Var. iii. 44, the nexus  $\Xi$  is changed to  $\Xi$  (it would in Pali have been  $\Xi$ ). This double n has become anuswâra, and  $\Xi$  inserted, or in some cases  $\Xi$ , owing to the influence perhaps of the following  $\Xi$ . Bengali has preserved the Prakrit type, merely lengthening the vowels by its broad rustic pronunciation. Here also the  $\Xi$  is an eccentricity for  $\Xi$ , and one might accurately write  $\Xi$ .

Skr. has in "sixteen" changed the  $\overline{\xi}$  of  $\overline{\xi}$   $\overline{\eta}$  to  $\overline{\overline{\xi}}$ , under the influence of the final  $\overline{\xi}$  of  $\overline{\eta}\overline{\xi}$ , and this cerebral sound is expressed in the moderns by  $\overline{\overline{\xi}}$  in those languages which possess that sound, and by  $\overline{\overline{\xi}}$  or  $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$  in those which do not. I am not aware of any authority for a Pr. form  $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$  and give it as mere conjecture, following Trumpp; though perhaps  $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$  would be more correct for  $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$  =  $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$   $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$  and  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  =  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  $\overline{\overline{\eta}}$ .

Of the remaining two there is only this to remark, that P. lengthens the second syllable of "seventeen" in imitation of "eighteen," while M. reverses the process, shortening "eighteen" in imitation of "seventeen."

The form for "nineteen" means "twenty less one," and was probably originally एकोनविंग्रति, from which we get the G. बोगणीस, by inversion for बगोणीस, and Old-H. बगुनीस and गुनीस; M. preserves an accurate form in एक्सीस. The same system prevails in "twenty-nine," "thirty-nine," and the rest. Prakrit has एक्सण and एग्सण (Weber, Bhag. p. 426).

The series "twenty-two," "thirty-two," "forty-two," etc., has been exhibited at Vol. I. p. 331, and the series "twenty-seven,"

"thirty-seven," and the rest, at p. 289, where also is shown the series "seventy-one," "seventy-two," etc., so that it is unnecessary to give these in detail again; the forms of "seventy" are included in the following table for completeness' sake, and because there are some inaccuracies in the forms given in Vol. I. p. 288:

·.	म्	सत, सद, सञ्च	में भ	स, मौ	में	重	शें, शंभर	NU	र्म
XC.	नवति	नउए	्री इं	ीण च	ग	, जो,	100 100 100	म	168 168 168
LXXX.	अश्रीति	असीह <sup>।</sup>	असी	अस्मो	त्रमी	एंसी	<b>List</b>	अग्रो	आर्गो
LXX.	सप्तति	मन्ति ।	सन्तर	सन्र	सतिर	मिनेर	सत्तर.	मनोरि	सन्तर
LX.	षष्टि	म् उद्	साउ	भ	सिंड	साउ	साउ	साडिए	साएड
I.	पंचाश्रत	पत्तासा	पचास	पंजाह	पंजाह	पचास	पन्नास	पचाभ्र	पंचास
XI.	चलारिश्रत	चत्तालीसा	<b>चाली</b> स	माळी	चाली ह	चाळीस	<b>बाळी</b> स	चालिश्	चिक्सि
XXX.	निश्चत	तीसा	<u>न</u> ीस	A TO	inc.	चीस	तीस	निया	निश्
xx.	विश्वति	नीसद्	बी	<u>a</u>	वा	वीस	बीस		विश
********	Skr.	F.	H	<u>a</u> ;	vó	త	M.	· · ·	m;•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Weber, Bhagavatî, p. 426.

Oriya does not use any derivative of fina, but instead set, i.e. "score." H. also uses and for the same. The languages are quite regular in "twenty" and "thirty," in the latter occasionally retaining the nexus tr, but more usually dropping the r. In "forty" the Prakrit of the Bhagavati has changed  $\mathsf{T}$  to  $\mathsf{T}$ , and the nexus tt is dropped throughout. More irregular is "fifty," in which, as in "fifteen," Vararuchi's Prakrit substitutes  $\mathsf{T}$  for  $\mathsf{T}$ ; in this it is followed only by Marathi; the other languages refer back to the Sanskrit form, softening the  $\mathsf{T}$  into  $\mathsf{T}$  in S. and P. only. "Sixty" is also quite regular, as are also "eighty" and "ninety," except that M. and G. make the initial into e and ai respectively, under the influence of the i in the next syllable.

It is when these numerals come to be compounded that they exhibit such wide variations of form, as to render it necessary for the student to learn each number up to "one hundred" separately, and even when the phonetic and structural mechanism is explained, there remains a large residuum of eccentricities and peculiarities. Following the example of Skr., the modern languages do not merely, as do most other families of speech, add one number to another to express the numbers intermediate to each decade, but compound the two elements: thus H. does not say do aur bis, for "two-and-twenty," but makes a single word bâis; so do all the other languages. I shall first show the changes which the units undergo, and then those of the tens, as in every case the lesser numeral precedes, and they say "twotwenty," "threetwenty," and the like.

"Two." In by far the greater number of instances the form is  $\overline{a}$ , as in  $\overline{a}$  quoted above, and in the examples given in I. 331. In "thirty-two," however, the long  $\hat{a}$  is shortened to a before  $\overline{a}$ , making  $\overline{a}$   $\overline{a}$  In "forty-two," the  $\overline{a}$  of the  $\overline{a}$  of the is elided in Pr., when it becomes the second member of a compound, so that we get  $\overline{a}$   $\overline{a}$   $\overline{a}$   $\overline{a}$  in Bhag., the  $\overline{a}$  being inserted to fill the hiatus. This  $\overline{a}$  in the moderns either

softens to ए, as in H. बेग्राबीस "forty-two," or is added to the letter preceding, as O. ब्याबीस. P. here has बत्त॰, in imitation of "thirty-two;" G. is irregular in बोहोतर "seventy-two," and B. and O. insert an inorganic र in विरासी "eighty-two," बिरानवे "ninety-two." With the exception of these few irregularities, the general type बा॰ is regularly kept throughout.

"Three." The regular type is a, shortened in some places to fa, and occasionally with an euphonic  $\tau$  added, making fa $\tau$ : thus—

- H. तेर्स "twenty-three," तेतीस or तेतीस "thirty-three," तेत्रासीस, also तेत॰ and तेंत॰ "forty-three," तिर्पन "fifty-three," तिर्सठ, ते॰ "sixty-three," तिहत्तर् "seventy-three," तिरासी "eighty-three," तिरानवे "ninety-three."
- P. तेर् "twenty-three," तेती "thirty-three," तेताची, तिर् and तंत॰ "forty-three," तिवंजा, तिर्॰ "fifty-three," तेहठ "sixty-three," तिहत्तर "seventy-three," तिरासी "eighty-three," तिरासवे "ninety-three."
- S. ट्रेवीह "twenty-three," ट्रेट्रीह "thirty-three," ट्रेतालीह "forty-three," ट्रेवंजाह "fifty-three," ट्रेइटि "sixty-three," ट्रेइतरि "seventy-three," ट्रिश्वासी "eighty-three," ट्रिश्वानवे "ninety-three."
- G. तेवीस "twenty-three," तेतरीस "thirty-three," तेताखीस, तेहें॰ वें॰ "forty-three," तेहेपन "fifty-three," तेहेसठ "sixty-three," तोहोतर "seventy-three," तेत्रासी, तासी "eighty-three," तांगू "ninety-three."
- M. तेवीस "twenty-three," तेतीस "thirty-three," वेचाळीस "forty-three," वेपन "fifty-three," चेसष्ट "sixty-three," चेहत्तर "seventy-three," चांग्रशी "eighty-three," चान्नव "ninety-three."
- 0. तेर्ज्ञ "twenty-three," तेचीज्ञ "thirty-three," तेयासीज्ञ "forty-three," तेपन "fifty-three," तेषिठ "sixty-three," तेस्तुरि "seventy-three," तेयाज्ञी "eighty-three," तियाज्ञी "ninety-three."
- B. तेर्ज्ञ "twenty-three," तेचीज्ञ "thirty-three," तेताज्ञीज्ञ "forty-three," तिपाञ्च "fifty-three," तेषष्टि "sixty-three," तेहात्तर "seventy-three," तिराज्ञी "eighty-three," तिराज्ञह "ninety-three."

"Four," takes the compound from throughout, with variant spellings ची, चव, and चड; the numbers may be made up after the example of the threes, an euphonic T being inserted before a vowel, like तिर. "Five" is in composition पंच, पञ्च, पै, and P. and S. पंज, M. has पस in पस्तीस "thirty-five," and G. पिस in पिस्ताळीस "forty-five." "Six" is always छ, छ्य, or छे, except in M., which is unlike the rest, changing to into T and स in its usual fashion: thus while "thirty-six" is इतीस, and "fifty-six" क्रप्पन, it uses सन्नीस "twenty-six," ग्रेचालीस "fortysix," सासष्ट "sixty-six," शाहत्तर, शहत्तर "seventy-six," शांवशी "eighty-six," and शासव "ninety-six." "Seven" and "eight" exhibit no irregularities, and "nine" is not used as a prefix, the periphrasis with जन "less than" the next higher number being used in its stead. H. however has नवासी "eighty-nine," and निनानवे "ninety-nine," in which last it agrees with P. नडीनवे and the other dialects. S. has two singular forms, नधानवे and वधानवे, apparently from नव + अधि + नवे "nine upon ninety," or some such expression; in one case the q, in the other the a of a has suffered elision. Chand uses this method of compounding by means of will in the lines-

## सी संवत नव सत ऋड॥ बरस तीस कह ऋग्ग॥

"That (was) the year nine hundred (and) over Years thirty and six before."—i. 221.

meaning to indicate Samvat 936, A.D. 879. The reduplication of the \(\mathbf{u}\) is metri grati\(\hat{a}\).

With regard to the latter part of the compound, बोस becomes दूस in H., दूश in O. and B., दू in P., but वीस in G. and M., वोह in S.

तीस remains unchanged. चालीस loses its initial, and sometimes takes त, as in II. तेतालीस.

"Fifty" undergoes very wide changes. In H. it changes from util into at in uan + at "fifty-one," atat "fifty-two,"

चौवन "fifty-four," सतावन "fifty-seven," खडावन "fifty-eight;" and into पन in तिर्पन "fifty-three," इस्पन "fifty-six," पचपन "fifty-three;" both these are probably the first half of an older form पंचास, the anuswâra of which has been hardened to न, the initial u is softened to a after vowels, unless it be thought preferable to see in these forms a relic of the Pr. पसासा. In P. पंजाइ changes to वंजा, except in चौरंजा "fifty-four" and हिएंजा "fifty-six." The same occurs in Sindhi, whose पंजाइ is regularly changed to वंजाइ, as एकवंजाइ "fifty-one." G. follows II., as do also O. and B., with very trifling changes; for "fifty-five," however, they use G. पचावन, O. पंचावन, B. पंचाइ, in opposition to H. पचपन.

With "sixty" S. and P. have recourse to their characteristic change of **\( \mathbf{H}** to \( \mathbf{E} \) throughout; thus

P. इकाहट, S. एकहिंड "sixty-one."
,, बाहट, ,, बाहिंड "sixty-two," etc. etc.

This course is followed by all the languages except O. in the analogous case of unt, but only by P. and S. in the case of "sixty." (For the series "seventy-one," "seventy-two," etc., see Vol. I. p. 288.) O. combines the uniform with the following n, one of which it rejects, and inserts a labial vowel, thus producing unt, as unut, etc.

"Eighty" presents no noteworthy features; but in M. नवर्
"ninety" rejects the final द, which is perhaps a relic of the
त of नवति in composition, and doubles the न, at the same time
restoring the cerebral type of Prakrit, which was inadmissible
in the single word, because the न was there initial; thus it gets
स्व, as in एक्शस्त्र "ninety-one." G. follows an analogous
process, changing नेउं or नेवं into स preceded by anunasika, as in
चोरांस "ninety-four," पचांस "ninety-five," इंग्स "ninety-six."
The other languages are regular.

In the junction of the two elements of these compounds it is to be observed that a long & is often inserted, but I am unable to lay down any rule for its insertion or omission, unless it be that where the initial letter of the second member is weak, å is inserted; where it is strong, omitted. Compare H. बाईस "twenty-two" for ब + वीस with बनीस "thirty-three" for ब + तीस; but even this rule hardly carries us through all the series. The Prakrit had originated these compound forms long before the modern languages came into existence, and the secret of the composition must therefore be looked for in that stage of speech; and the data for Prakrit numerals are unfortunately so defective, that as yet I have been unable to formulate any rules on the subject. Marathi introduces a joining vowel e in the series with "forty," and या in the "seventy," "eighty," and "ninety" series; but the reason of this is not easy to find.

Above "one hundred" composition ceases, and the words are written separately, except in M. G. and S., which, from "one-hundred-and-one" to "one-hundred-and-nine" can combine the lesser numeral with the Skr. उत्तर "above," thus:

## "One-hundred-and-one," M. एकोत्तर भ्रं, S. एकोतर सी, G. एकोत्तर सी.

§ 27. The ordinals of all the languages are formed each on its own basis for the first four numerals, but after that follow a regular system of genuine Sanskrit origin.

"First" has the following forms: H. पहिंचा, P. id., M. id., S. पहर्ची, पिहिरों, पिहिरों, G. पहेंचो. O. and B. generally use the Skr. प्रथम, but the H. पहिंचा is gaining ground, and is heard among the lower orders constantly. If we derive these words from प्रथम, we are met by the difficulty of admitting the change of म into च or ₹, and I therefore suppose that they come from an unrecorded comparative प्रथ∓, which would stand in the same relation to the superlative प्रथम as Latin prior does to primus. Bopp (§ 293) has already established the fact that प्रथम is the superlative of ¬, and similarly (§ 321) he shows that all the cognate languages use the superlative form, as primus, πρῶτος for πρότατος, and eristo = erst, from er = eher.

So also our own "first," in Old-English fyrst, is the superlative of "fore." There must also, one would imagine, have been a comparative, and this could be nothing else than मचर.

"Second" is H. दूसरा, P. दूजा, दूजा, S. ब्रिजो, बीजो, G. बीजो, M. दुसरा, O. दुसा, but O. and B. often use दितीय. It is probable that the rude uncivilized Oriyas and Bengalis did not possess the idea of an ordinal till late times, when they naturally had recourse to Sanskrit to supply the want. Old-H. has also दूजा, which, together with the Panjabi form, are from Skr. दितीय, Pr. दूर्जं, also दोस, but the modern दूजा refers to the form दुर्जं, formed by hardening the द्य into ज्ज, and then dropping one ज (Vol. I. p. 249). The S. and G. come from another Pr. form वितिज्ञ, reduced by dropping the द; this is further shortened into वीज. For the II. and M. दू॰ and दुसरा, see under the next paragraph.

"Third." H. तीसरा, P. तीजा, तीजा, S. ट्रिजो, ट्रीजो, G. तीजो, M. तिसरा, O. and B. तिस्रा is in use, but तृतीय is common also. This ordinal is parallel to the last, and is derived from the Skr. तृतीय by the same process as the words for "second." The form तीसरा with its analogous दूसरा seems to be a recent compound of the numeral themes दू, तो, with some word meaning progression, and probably connected with the root सृ "to go," but there are no certain facts on which to found an opinion.

"Fourth?" is regularly derived from the Skr. चतुर्घ, see Vol. I. p. 144.

From "fifth" onwards the ordinals are regularly formed by adding H. at, Old-H. at, P. at, S. at, G. at, M. at. B. and O., having no ordinals of their own, use the Sanskrit terms when necessary. All these terminations come regularly from the Skr. तम, the termination of the ordinals. Hindi uses for "sixth" at, the regular Prakrit form of Skr. as, and with this agrees G. at, but all the rest are regular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See for further discussion of this question Chap. III. § 54.

§ 28. Besides the cardinal and ordinal series, the languages have other sets of numerals formed in ways peculiar to themselves. Such are the fractional numbers, in which all the seven languages are rich.

"A quarter" is H. पाव or पाउ, P. id., S. पाउ, G. पाञ्च, M. पाव, O. पाए, पा, B. पोया (पञ्चा); the origin of all these is Skr. पादिक, P. पाइञ्च "a quarter," the compound सपादिक="with a quarter," becomes in Pr. सवाइञ्च, and in H. सवा, P. सवा, सवाइ, सवाइञ्चा, S. सवाई, G. सवा, M. सञ्चा, O. सउयाइ, B. सउया (sau'â). Thus H. सवा तीन "three and a quarter," and so in all the rest. On the other hand, the deduction of a quarter is expressed by derivatives of Skr. पादोन, i.e. पाद+जन "a quarter less." H. पीन, P. पउण, पउणे, S. पौणो, G. पोणा, M. पाजण (nearer to the Skr. than any), O. पीन, B. id. Thus पौने तीन "two and three quarters," or "a quarter less than three." In H. P. O. and B. we appear to have the locative form, in the others a nominative.

Two other numbers also are peculiar to this group: "one and a half," H. इंड from Skr. हाई, concerning which see Vol. I. p. 238, and "two and a half," H. ऋहाई, P. ढाई, S. ऋडोई, G. ऋडो, M. ऋडोच, O. ऋडोई, B. ऋडाइ, the origin of which seems to be Skr. ऋधे + इयं, to which M. adds the conjunction च.

For "three and a half," "four and a half," and the rest, the languages add to the complete numeral the word H. P. साँड, S. सांड, G. सांडा, M. सांड, O. सांड, B. सांड, from Skr. स + कर्ष = "with a half;" thus "three and a half" is H. साँड तींन, "four and a half" साँड चार, and so on.

The other species of numerals, such as "once," "twice," and the rest, will more appropriately be described under the head of Adverbs.

## CHAPTER II.

## GENDER.

CONTENTS.—§ 29. Natural and Grammatical Gender.—§ 30. Use of Gender in the Seven Languages.—§ 31. Typical Terminations of the Adjective.—§ 32. Terminations of the Masculine Gender.—§ 33. Terminations of the Feminine.—§ 34. Terminations of the Neuter.—§ 35. Formation of Feminines from Masculines.—§ 36. Gender of Words ending in Consonants.—§ 37. Decay of Gender—its Cause in Bengali and Oriya.

§ 29. Gender is of two kinds, natural and grammatical. Natural gender is that which refers to living beings, and is threefold: there being one form for males, a second for females, and a third for mankind or animals regarded merely as such without reference to sex. The human mind has, however, not rested content with this simple and natural use of gender, but has, by an effort of imagination, extended the distinctions of sex to inanimate objects, abstract ideas, and, in short, to all nouns of every kind. All languages are not alike in this respect: some retain all three genders, others only two, and some have had the good fortune to emancipate themselves entirely in the noun, and to a great extent in the pronoun, from these awkward and cumbersome swaddling-clothes of speech. This is happily the case with our own beautiful and practical language, and is an advantage for which we ought to be deeply thankful to our Norman ancestors, whose keen common sense led them to reject much that was useless and unwieldy in the speech of our English forefathers.

The older languages of the Indo-European family have all

146 GENDER.

three genders; those of the Semitic family got on very well from the beginning with only two, having never thought of developing the neuter. In the middle and modern Indo-Germanic languages the German still retains all three genders, while most of the others have only two, and some none at all.

Natural gender exists in all languages, grammatical gender only in a certain number. In those which do not observe grammatical gender, natural gender is frequently distinguished by having two separate words for the two sexes; in those which acknowledge both kinds of gender, the distinction is affected by a variation in the form of the word, most frequently in the terminating syllable or vowel. In consequence of this habit, it has come to pass that the form of the word has created the gender; thus, in deciding what gender should be ascribed to a word which indicates an object from its nature incapable of classification by sex, the form of the word is the only guide; and if it happen to be of a form similar to that which is appropriated to the male sex in living beings, it will be classed as masculine; if to the female sex, as feminine; and if to neither, as neuter. Both classes of gender exist in the languages we are considering, but in a very different degree. Gender is in all of them indicated to a great extent by variations of form, especially of termination; but it must be observed that whereas substantives have, as has been shown in the last chapter, a very great range of terminations, adjectives and the participial forms of verbs have very few. It is principally by observing which form of an adjective or participle is used with any given substantive, that we can tell what its gender is. For instance, H. sarak, "a road," is the name of a thing in itself incapable of natural gender; it is only by noting such phrases as bart sarak, "a big road," sarak banti, "the road is being made," that we discover it to be feminine. Hence it follows that in those languages which use very few participial forms, or whose adjectives have no distinctive forms for gender, we are unable to trace the

GENDER. 147

gender of substantives very clearly; such languages have only natural, not grammatical gender, and even the natural gender only in a limited number of instances finds expression in the form of the word used.

§ 30. Sanskrit has all three genders, so have the Prakrits. In the modern languages, only Gujarati and Marathi have all Sindhi, Panjabi, and Hindi have only masculine and feminine; Bengali and Oriva have no gender at all, except in the pure Sanskrit Tatsamas, now so largely introduced, which retain the form of the Sanskrit gender, but even this only in the higher style. In B. they say कोट बालक "a little boy," क्रोट बालिका "a little girl," and क्रोट वस्तु "a little thing." In the highflown literary style they would write सुन्दर नर "a handsome man," सन्दरी स्त्री "a beautiful woman;" but in the common style, which is, after all, the true language, one would hear सुन्दर मेरी for "a beautiful woman," without regard to gender. The same holds good in Oriya, and in both, even in the high style, there is no distinction between masculine and neuter. this Chapter, therefore, we may dispense with these two languages altogether.

In Hindi, Panjabi, and Sindhi, the reduction of the three genders to two has been effected by turning both the masculines and neuters of Sanskrit into masculines; and as the common people in the two first-named countries are very careless about the use of the feminine, it may almost be said that grammatical gender scarcely exists out of books. The use of gender is still further reduced, even in literature, by the fact that a great number of the adjectives in those two languages end in consonants, and do not possess separate forms for masculine and feminine, so that they afford no clue to the gender of the substantive, which is only perceptible from the numerous participial forms of the verb.

In Sindhi some of the neuter nouns of Sanskrit have become

feminine, but the most part are masculine. As before mentioned, all nouns in Sindhi end in a vowel, and the distinction of gender is therefore more clearly recognizable than in the other languages wherein consonantal terminations abound. There are, however, some adjectives, mostly of Arabic or Persian origin (though some are pure Sindhi), which, although ending in a vowel, do not change either for gender, number, or case; as att "plentiful," Skr. att; at "mixed," Skr. att; which are Sanskritic; and "whole," "good," "distressed," which are Arabic and Persian.

GENDER.

Marathi still retains in full and every-day use the whole three genders, and the same is true of Gujarati. Not only have the substantives three genders, but the adjectives also, and the greater part of the tenses of the verb, being participial in form, have also three genders, so that to a foreigner the difference in this respect between these two stilted languages and the simple, easy, but in no whit less expressive Bengali, is at every turn apparent.

In all the five languages which have gender expressed, the masculine is used to denote large, strong, heavy and coarse objects; the feminine small, weak, light and fine ones; and the neuter, where it exists, represents dull, inert, and often contemptible things. So far is this carried, that in cases where the original word was only masculine or neuter, a feminine form has been invented to express a smaller or finer article of the same kind; and, conversely, where only a feminine form existed, a masculine to express a larger or coarser object has been struck out. Instances are:

Skr. भाष्डं "pot" (n.), H. हांडा "a large cooking pot" (m.), हांडी "a small cauldron," or "earthen pot" (f.).

Skr. गुड and गोल "ball" (m.), H. गोला "cannon-ball" (m.), जोली "bullet," "pill" (f.).

Skr. 气缸 "rope" (m.), H. 飞栅 "cable" (m.), 飞栅 "string," "cord" (f.).

Here the final i of raçmi having been lengthened, and i being universally regarded as a feminine termination, a masculine in  $\hat{a}$  has been created. This distinction runs through all the languages, and is even found in B. and O., which, although careless in the matter of gender, still recognize the difference between the termination in  $\hat{a}$ , as denoting larger objects, and that in i, as denoting smaller. This will be more fully treated under the heads of the various terminations.

In M., with its three genders, the different shades of a common meaning, as indicated by gender, are illustrated by the following examples:

चिरटा (m.), "a large piece torn from a plantain leaf, used to wrap up goods in."

चिर्टी (f.), "a small piece of the above."

चिर्णा (m.), the same as चिर्टा.

चिर्णी (f.), the same as चिर्टी, also "a small chisel."

चिर्णे (n.), "a small chisel," "the act of tearing."

गाडा (m.), "a large cart, or waggon, for conveying loads."

गाडी (f.), "a small cart or carriage for conveying persons."

गाउँ (n.), "a clumsy or ricketty old car or dray."

दोर (m.), " a cable."

दोरी (f.), "a thread."

होरा (m.), "a string," "thread," "streamlet," "track."

धोंडा (m.), "a stone."

মারি (f.), "a large mass of rock." (Here the fem. expresses the larger object, which is unusual.)

In the case of animals, the masculine and feminine indicate the respective sexes, and the neuter either the young or the whole species collectively; as

घोडा (m.), "horse." घोडी (f.), "mare." ঘার (n.), "the horse," i.e. the genus Equus generally.

बॅक्र (m.), "a he-goat."

**बकरी** (f.), "a she-goat."

बकर and बकं (n.), "a kid," also the goat species in general.

The same practice exists in Gujarati; as

घोडो (m.), "horse."

घोडी (f.), "mare."

घोडुं (n.), "a wretched screw of a horse," also horses in general or collectively.

पाडी (m.), "a buffalo-bull."

पाडी (f.), "a buffalo-cow."

पाडुं (n.), "a buffalo-calf."

These peculiarities of gender and its terminations will come out clearly as we work through this Chapter, and need not be further illustrated here.

§ 31. The adjective in all the languages exhibits the general type of the terminations for gender, and is so fixed and regular as to afford a standard for each language. The general type of the adjective is as follows:

	sı	NGUL	AR.	PLURAL.			
	M.	F.	N.	M.	F.	N.	
Hindi	â	ź		e	$i\underline{n}$ and $i\hat{a}\underline{n}$		
Panjabi	â	î	adversity.	e	tân.		
Sindhi	0	î		â	iyu <u>n</u> .		
Gujarati	0	ź	un-	â	ź	åņ	
Marathi	â	ź	en	e	уâ	in.	

It is not of course meant that these are the only terminations of the adjective or participle, but that among the numerous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Narmadâs'ankar's example is: "When the Gaekwar came to Bombay, he brought a great number of horses (ghanun ghorun) with him."

terminations that are found these are the chief, and, so to speak, radical ones; the others may be regarded as exceptions and deviations, to be explained in their place.

In the participial forms which so largely enter into the construction of all verbs, these terminations are unvaryingly used for the respective genders, except in some instances in Marathi, in which the incorporation of the substantive verb into the participle has, by the operation of euphonic laws, wrought a change in the vowel.

Taking then the terminations of the adjective as the central type, it will now be necessary to go through the range of substantive terminations in order, so as to get at a clear comparison of them all, and to ascertain which are regularly derived from the central type, and which are exceptions, to be accounted for in other ways.

§ 32 (1). The masculine termination  $\dot{a}$  in  $\Pi$ . P. M., and occasionally B. and O., corresponds to the termination o in S. and G. in a large majority of instances: as

This termination is in S. masculine, without any exceptions; in G., however, some words in o are feminine, in which the o is not the pratyaya, or formative syllable derived from the Sanskrit -as, Pr. -o, as in the above-mentioned class, but arises from some phonetic corruption of the root-syllable. Such are the feminine nouns.

The number of these words is not large, nor are they in themselves important.

The termination in & exists throughout the whole seven languages, including G. and S., in certain words: these are—

- (a). Words derived from Skr. nouns in an, like राजन, the nominative of which ends in Skr. in â. Such are राजा "king" (m.), in all; आता "soul" (m.), in all. Nouns neuter in Skr. of this form end in the short vowel, as नामन "name," H. नाम, m. in all, except M. and G., where it is neuter. महिमा "greatness," however, is used as a fem. in H. P. and S., and as both m. and f. in M. G. has preserved the real gender of the Skr., in which language महिमन is masc.
- (β). Words derived from Skr. nouns in বু, making their nominative in বা. Of this form are a great number of Tatsamas denoting the agent, as করা, হাবা, etc.
- $(\gamma)$ . A few words of foreign origin, running through all the languages, and chiefly relating to males, so that they are masculine by natural gender. These are mostly Arabic, Persian, or Turkish, but have been in use in the Indian languages from very early times, and have even undergone some corruptions, as will be seen in the following list:
  - H. बाबा "master," "sir," ॥, P. बाबा, बाह्मा, S. बाबा, G. id.
  - H. बाबा "child," بابا, P. id., S. बाबो, G. id., M. बाबा.
- H. दिरिया "river," دریا , P. दिरित्राउ, S. दिरियाउ, दिरित्राऊ, G. दिरियाव, दिरियो, M. दिथी
- H. उमरा "nobles" (pl., also singular), गूर्व and उमराउ, P. उमराउ singular, S. उमराउ, °इ sing., G. उमराव, °रो sing., M. उमराव sing.
- H. खुदा "God," اخُد, P. id., S. id. and खुदाउ, G. खुदा, खोदा, M. खुदा.
- H. दारोगा "overseer," داروغه, P. दरोगा, S. दरोगो, G. id., M. दरोगा

I'he word उमरा, though really a plural, is constantly used as a singular in modern speech. It will have been noticed that

there is a tendency to add a u or v on to many of these words, and so to account, as it were, for their being masculine, by giving them the old masculine termination. G. also effects the same by boldly changing the final a into o.

(2). The termination t is the typical termination of the feminine in Tadbhavas and Desajas; that is to say, in nouns of the mediæval and modern kinds, in which, as we have frequently before noticed, a principle of development prevails of a character essentially different from that which distinguishes the classical . Sanskrit or Prakrits. In opposition, however, to this generally feminine character of i, a number of nouns are found in all the languages, which, though ending in i, are masculine. class seems to have given some trouble to our grammar-makers, and their difficulty has been increased by the laxity of practice in some of the languages. Especially is this the case in Hindi, where, owing to the leading authors having been men of strong poetic instinct, but of little learning, a tendency has grown up to give to each word the gender indicated by its typical termination; and words which, from their origin, ought to be masculine, are sometimes found coupled with feminine adjectives or participles. This source of error is, however, confined to words which have only grammatical gender; those which are masculine by natural gender, as describing male beings or their occupations, are exempted by their nature from the possibility of being mistaken for feminines.

Masculines in i may be divided into the following classes:

(a). Those derived from Sanskrit agents in  $\mathbf{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{t}$ , which in that language form their nominative in  $\mathbf{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{t}$ ; as the modern languages ignore the grammatical fiction of a base, as distinct from the actual nominative, it is from the latter only that they take their forms. Instances of this class are the following (see also the list in § 18):

Skr. इसी "elephant," base इस्तिन् (m.), H. G. S. हाथी, P. इत्यी, M. इत्ती, B. O. हाती.

154 GENDER.

Skr. खामी "lord," base खामिन (m.). In all साई, except though in the commoner word गोस्तामी, which becomes in the modern languages गोसाई, M. has गोसावी.

Skr. मानी "gardener," base मानिन् (m.). In all मानी, but G. and M. माळी.

Skr. पची "bird," base पचिन्, H. पंक्री, M. G. P. id., S. पखी, B. पाखी, and in East-B. पाडी.

Skr. साची "witness," base साचिन, H. साखी, and so in all.

There are, moreover, in this class many words of uncertain origin, as well as others whose origin is not at all uncertain, though, owing to phonetic changes, it is not so apparent at first sight. In some of these words we may attribute the form to a feeling that long t as a masculine was appropriate to names of trades or professions, so that it was added to words for which there existed no prototype in Sanskrit. Such are—

- H. धोबी "washerman," and so in all. B. and O. have धोबा and धोपा
  - H. परवारी "village accountant," so in all, but rare in M.
  - H. परोसी "neighbour," in all, but more correctly with ड.
  - H. मोदी "shopkeeper," in all, but S. मोदा.
  - H. पाही "a non-resident cultivator," so in P. B. O.

The exact form from which wiel is derived is uncertain. It should be Skr. wilder, from wie "to wash," and the vulgar language probably used the word in this sense, though in classical Sanskrit the word seems to be used exclusively in the other sense of the root, namely, as "a runner." util is from util, and that again from util, from util in the sense of a "side;" a pâht cultivator being one who lives, not on the spot, but in another village, and is thus, as we should put it in colloquial English, an "outsider." uzait is a somewhat abnormal compound of the mediæval period; the first part uz is from util

"a letter" or "writing," and the second seems to postulate a form वारिन "doer," perhaps from the root वृ.' परोसी, more accurately पडोसी, is प्रतिवासिन. मोदी has been by some derived from the Skr. मोदन "sweetmeat;" but I believe it really comes from the Arabic "provisions," "stores;" the ordinary Indian modi is not a sweetmeat-seller, but deals in grain, and eatables of all sorts.

In a subsequent section (§ 35) it will be shown that the majority of these words testify to the existence of a Sanskrit original in द्न, by forming their feminines in द्नी, or in forms derived therefrom.

 $(\beta)$ . Nouns derived from Sanskrit substantives in  $\overline{g}$ , with the sense of a male agent. Here the gender is natural, not merely grammatical. Common instances are:

Skr. भातृ "brother," H. भाई, so in all, but P. also भाउ, S. भाउ, M. भाज.

Skr. नप्नु "grandson," H. नाती, so in B. and O., but M. नातू.

The number of words of this class is not large, nor do they run through all the languages; in fact, as has already been mentioned (Ch. I. § 14), the tendency of  $\mathbf{R}$  is rather towards  $\dot{u}$  in this class.

 $(\gamma)$ . Words derived from Sanskrit masculines and neuters in  $\mathbf{T}$ , by lengthening the final vowel; as

Skr. द्ध "curds" (n.), H. दही (m.), P. दहीं (m.), M. and G. id. but n., S. उहीं (f.).

Skr. पति "lord" (m.), H. पती (m.), but oftener पत.

Skr. भगिनीपति "sister's husband" (m.), H. बहुनोई (m.), P. भन्वाई, 0. भिनोई.

Skr. वर्धिक "carpenter" (m.), H. बढर्र, O. B. M. id., but G. S. वाडो. which is an independent formation, from the modern verb "to cut."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The origin of the termination vari will be discussed under the Verb, to which it properly belongs.

( $\delta$ ). Derivatives from Sanskrit masculines and neuters in  $\mathbf{\xi}$  and  $\mathbf{\xi}$  and  $\mathbf{\xi}$ . Concerning the former of these, see examples in Ch. I. § 18, ( $\beta$ ), ( $\gamma$ ). Of the two latter examples are:

Skr. पानीयं "water" (n.), H. पानी (m.), and so in all, but generally पाता. In G. and M. it is n.

Skr. प्रिय "beloved" (m.), H. पी (m.), "lover," "husband."

Skr. श्रोविय "a class of Brahmans," H. सोती.1

Skr. चचिय "a Kshattriya," H. खबी, छ्त्री, छेत्री, P. id., S. खित्री, 0. छेत्री.

Under this head probably comes the list of obscurely derived words in Sindhi given by Trumpp at p. 96, though, with some three or four exceptions, the origin of these words is not at present traceable.

( $\epsilon$ ). Words derived from Sanskrit masculine and neutronouns containing  $\tau$  or  $\tau$  in the penultimate syllable, but in which, the last syllable having fallen away through phonetic changes, the  $\tau$  or  $\tau$  has become final, the former being lengthened to  $\tau$ . Thus:

Skr. घूतं "clarified butter" (n.), H. घी (m.), M. घी (n.) but rare, P. G. id. (n.).

Skr. जीव "life" (m. and n.), H. जी (m.), and so in all.

So completely, except in the case of professions and trades, has the idea of the feminine character of taken possession of the popular mind among the modern Aryans, that many words, which ought to be regarded as masculine, are treated as feminine, simply because they now terminate in t. Thus in H. नवजी "butter" is found with feminine adjectives, though it is properly masculine, being derived from Skr. नवजीत (n.), and the corresponding word in M., जोगी, is neuter. So, also, H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Sotis are one of the highest clans or gotras among the Maithil Brahmans. The Rajas of Darbhanga belong to this gotra.

बिका "sale" is treated as feminine, though derived from Skr. बिकाय (m.). In M. several words which are neuter, of this termination, are written with anuswâra as र, because the anuswâra, being derived from the final म of Sanskrit, seems to the people to be more appropriate to that gender. Thus they write पाणी "water," मोती "pearl," and others. It is by the operation of a similar tendency that we in England now treat such words as riches, alms, as plurals, though they are really singulars corrupted from richesse, almesse; l so also our old singular pease, from pisum, has been changed into a plural peas, and a singular pea formed from it, though the s has nothing to do with the plural sign, but is a genuine radical part of the word.

(3). The termination  $\hat{u}$  is masculine, as in the cases of the other long vowels, in words derived from Skr. masculines. rule is a good guide, in spite of a certain number of exceptions, in all terminations, namely, that the gender of the Sanskrit original is fairly kept in all modern words, and affords a clue to the many apparent irregularities; as, for instance, in tho case of words like घी, पानी, etc. Inasmuch, therefore, as the termination on in Sanskrit is of all three genders, we cannot say that it is either regular or irregular for a word in any of the modern languages to be masculine, feminine, or neuter. We must in each case trace the word back to its origin; and we shall, in a large majority of cases, find the modern word retaining the gender of its parent. In Gujarati no distinction is practically made between long and short u; and even in Marathi' some confusion exists. In Marathi, however, and Sindhi, the long  $\hat{u}$  is generally masculine; in H. and P. words of this termination are about equally divided between the two genders. No examples of the masculine nouns of this termination need be given. The rules for the formation of the stem contained in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The translators of our English Bible knew better when they wrote "an alms" (Acts iii. 3), though they use "riches" as a plural, "Your riches are corrupted."

158 GENDER.

the preceding Chapter will serve to guide towards the Sanskrit word from which the modern word is derived, and the gender can thus be easily ascertained.

'(4). The short vowels are generally clided at the end of a word in Hindi, as well as in P. and G., and to a very great extent also in common Marathi. It will therefore be more convenient to reserve the consideration of such words till we come to the section on consonantal endings. When the short vowel is not elided, it is frequently lengthened, to enable it to retain its position, and the words in which it still remains as a short vowel are all Tatsamas, like na, विधि, ऋत, भुन, the gender of which is identical with that of the Sanskrit.

It is only in Sindhi that short vowel terminations are of any account, and our discussion of them is confined to this language.

Short u = i is the typical ending of masculines.

Short a is the typical ending of feminines.

Short  $i \in \mathbb{R}$  is chiefly feminine.

Masculines in  $\Im$  are derived from the Prakrit termination o, and represent the class I have called barytones in the last Chapter, thus corresponding to the masculine consonantal endings of other languages.

Under this head come also nouns derived from Sanskrit masculines and neuters in 3, thus—

There are also a few words in which the final u is derived from the  $\bar{q}$  of Sanskrit, as quoted in Ch. I. § 14,  $\bar{q}$  =  $\bar{q}$  etc.

There appear to be no instances of masculines in a आ, and very few in इ. Of the latter, instances are पह, from Skr. पति "lord;" विरसपति, from Skr. वृहस्पति "the planet Jupiter;" हरि

¹ This is Trumpp's derivation (Sindhi Gr. p. 33). I am disposed to derive the word regularly from Skr. सद, Pr. सदो.

- "Vishnu;" and as shortenings of  $\xi$  from इन, as noted above in (2, a), बिहार from बेग्रिन "lion;" सहाद for सहाद, from सहाय "helper," are familiar examples.
- § 33. As in the masculine, so also in the feminine terminations, there exists a double system. The termination  $\hat{a}$ , which is typical of the feminine in Sanskrit, is in the modern languages a resultant from a, and typical of the masculine; yet it is found as the termination of many fem. words, just as  $\hat{a}$ , the modern fem. type, occurs in many masculine words.
- (1). Final  $\hat{a}$  is feminine in the seven languages in the following classes of nouns.
- (a). In Tatsamas which are feminine in Sanskrit, such as पूजा "worship," चिंता "thought," रसना "tongue," कथा "story," and many more very common words.
- $(\beta)$ . In a considerable number of modern Tadbhavas, which, though changed from the pure Sanskrit form, still retain the distinctive termination, and with it the gender: such as
- Skr. चमा "patience" (f.), H. क्सा, क्सा, P. S. खिमा, G. खमा, all f.

Skr. याचा "pilgrimage" (f.), H. जाचा, P. जातरा, S. जाटरा, G. id.,
- also जातरा, M. जचा, all f.

Skr. নুধা "hunger" (f.), H. লুধা, P. লুৱিস্মা.

Skr. **आज्ञा** "order" (*f.*), H. आग्या, P. आगिआ, S. आग्या, G. आग्न्या

Skr. हला " murder" (f.), S. हचा.

- $(\gamma)$ . In some words of uncertain origin, but purely local in type, as—
- H. **(sequence of the entire o** 
  - H. चिडिया (f.), "a bird," in P. and M. without the final syllable and f.
  - H. बुढिया (f.), "an old woman."

160 GENDER.

In these three very common words the final **या** is probably a recent addition, and the words were originally, as they are still in the cognate languages, दिवी, चिद्धी, and **बुढी**, the *i* having been shortened, as is usual in Hindi, in consequence of the additional syllable. Or we may suppose them to come under § 9 (2), and to have been feminines of the form **मिचना**, where the suffix *ikâ* has been softened into *iyâ*, though it would be useless to look for a Sanskrit original for the two first words. The third may, however, well come from a Skr. form **बुद्धिना**.

This remark leads to a consideration of somewhat extended application. It may be asked why  $\hat{a}$ , which is in Sanskrit a fem. ending, should in the moderns be so typically and universally a mase, ending, and similarly why i, which in Skr. is quite as much a masc. as a fem. ending, should have in the moderns so almost exclusively attached itself to the fem.? The answer would appear to be found in the extreme prevalence in Pr. of the practice of adding the suffix aka to nouns of all classes. We have to begin with the oxytone nouns in Skr., which become nouns in o and  $\hat{a}$  in the moderns, and to them we must also add the Pr. formatives in aka=ao, of the type ghotaka= ghorao = ghora; the union of these two sets of words results in a preponderance of mascs. in a-o. Now, as the feminine of aka is  $ik\hat{a}$ , and  $ik\hat{a}$  becomes  $iy\hat{a}$ , and more frequently still i, if we suppose that to every one of the words to which Pr. tacked on aka for the masc., it also tacked on ika for the fem., the preponderance of i as a fem. ending in the moderns is explained, the more so that we can add to the î from ikû a large class in which already in Sanskrit the masc. in -as forms its fem. in i; such as सुन्दरः (m.), सुन्दरी (f.). Moreover, the typical ending of the adjectives being a-o for the masc., and i for the fem., it was natural to use an adjective ending in i, with a substantive of a similar termination; and so it comes to pass that all grammarwriters treat masculines in & and feminines in & as exceptions, though few of them attempt to account for their existence.

(2). Final i is feminine then in all cases except those noted in the last section, and this holds good for all the seven languages. Final i is feminine in words derived from Sanskrit feminines, as 其 "earth," 有其 "daughter-in-law," H. 再表, P. 司表, S. 可表, etc.

In Sindhi, as noted above, the feminine terminations are a and i, and the majority of these words are identical with the feminines in mute a of the other languages, which have been shortened from Skr: feminines in  $\hat{a}$ .

(3). In some classes of adjectives, mostly very common and vulgar, a feminine in o is found. This is very common in Panjabi, and frequently with the suffixes  $\overline{s}$ ,  $\overline{v}$ , or  $\overline{v}$ , where the o may be regarded as a lengthening of the u of the masculine. Such are—

धकेलो "(a cow) that thrusts or butts," masc. धकेलू.

चुड्डो "whore," from चुड्ड "cunnus," also written झुड्डो, झुट्टो, and चुत्तो.

अञ्चो "adulteress," from जञ्जला "to copulate," masc. झञ्ज.

हांदी "a woman with projecting teeth," from दंद "tooth," masc. हांदू.

नखरेलो "a coquette," origin uncertain.

नाजी "an immodest woman" (probably from नाज, for लाज = सञ्जा).

Most of the words of this form are words of abuse used by women to one another, the fair sex in India being possessed of a remarkably fertile invention in the matter of vituperation. In Marathi also आ is often a feminine ending, as in बायको "woman," where the o is probably a lengthening of the masculine आ. In Oriya, when addressing women, they always use जो.

§ 34. The neuter has less variety of termination than the other genders, and exists only in Marathi and Gujarati. The type of the neuter is M. U, G. J, but M. also has a neuter in J,

162 GENDER.

which, however, is not frequent, and occurs principally in words denoting the young of animals, which have also masc. and fem. forms for the two sexes of the animal; as ant (m.) "he-goat," ant (f.) "she-goat," ant (n.) "a kid of both sexes."

Both the typical terminations point back to the  $rac{1}{3}$  of the Skr. neuter, that of G. in  $rac{1}{3}$ , by virtue of the process so often observable in the case of final  $rac{1}{3}$ , by which that letter resolves itself into its two elements, the labial and nasal, the former of which passes through  $rac{1}{3}$  into  $rac{1}{3}$ , and the latter into anuswâra, and then into anunâsika or a simple nasal breathing. The  $rac{1}{3}$  of Marathi would seem to be derived from a weakening of the  $rac{1}{3}$  of  $rac{1}{3}$  into  $rac{1}{3}$ .

Though the other languages have no neuter for the noun, yet the infinitive, which is a verbal noun, is derived from a Skr. neuter, and in most of the languages retains a neuter form. Hoernle (Journ. As. Soc. Bengal, vol. xlii. p. 66) combats the theory that the neuter form observable in Old-Hindi, and in several dialects of Modern-Hindi, as well as in G. and M., and he might have added in Sindhi also, is derived from the Skr. neuter in ग्रं. Thus he will not take Old-H. करनीं, dialectic करनों, करनां, करनुं, from Skr. कर्णां, as he says the process is opposed to certain glottic laws which he appears to have formulated for himself. He would apparently derive the Marathi infinitive कर्णे from a Prakrit form करिण आं; and the other infinitives with a labial type, as atal, from a further Prakrit form करणजं. The phonetic changes thus indicated are indeed possible, and quite regular; if we once concede Prakrit forms करिएकां and करणकां, there is no difficulty in deducing from them modern forms कर्गें and करनीं respectively; but we want more evidence as to the said Prakrit forms, and their meaning hardly corresponds with sufficient accuracy. But leaving this question of the infinitive for its proper place, we may follow Hoernle in his process of applying the principle

to nouns in general. No such general ending in Trai or Trai is of course to be found in Prakrit for neuter nouns of all kinds: but the author would have us believe that such an ending did exist in a great many cases, and that its origin is to be found in the favourite suffix 4, so that we are to postulate in the case of every Marathi neuter in एं a Prakrit form in इस, derived from इक, and in the case of every neuter in उं or जं, a similar उद्य from उकं: why Marathi should always select दुकं, and Gujarati उक्, is not explained, and seems in fact unexplainable. It is, however, highly probable that we have in this theory an indication of the direction in which we should search for the explanation of such forms if dissatisfied with their derivation from the simple Sanskrit neuter; and it must be admitted that the author's illustrations from the forms of the oblique cases of stems in in Marathi are strongly confirmatory of his theory.

§ 35. A large majority of feminines in all the seven languages are formed from the corresponding masculine nouns, though there are of course many which have an independent origin; as, for instance, words which possess natural gender, in which the female animal has a distinct name from the male one. But in names of trades, castes, and the like, the female is generally derived from the male.

Masculines in  $\delta$  G. S., or  $\delta$  H. and the rest, form their feminines in  $\delta$ ; as

```
H. क्षेत्रडा "boy," क्षेत्रडी "girl."
P. क्षेत्ररा , क्षेत्ररी ,
S. क्षेत्ररो , क्षेत्ररी ,
S. also shortens final i, as क्षेत्ररि ,
```

This is an extremely common process, based on the typical endings of the two genders, and need not be further illustrated. It is extended also to the case of masculines ending in short u

in S., and with mute a, or in other words, with a consonantal ending, in the other languages. Instances are:

S. पुर्द " washerman,"	पर्टि " washerwoman."
S. गदुइ "he-ass,"	गडुहि "she-ass."
S. धीद "firmus,"	धीरि "firma."
P. कुमाद "sugar-cane,"	कुमादी $id$ .
P. कुल्य " vetch,"	कुलघी id.
P. कीड "a cowry,"	कीडी "a small cowry."
H. बन्द्र "monkey,"	बन्दरी "she-monkey."
H. बन "forest,"	बनी "copse."
H. <b>बांस</b> "bamboo,"	बांसी "flute," "reed."

Although, however, instances are to be found, such as those given above, of a feminine in  $\xi$  being derived from consonantally ending masculines, yet the practice is not very common, the feminines in i being more generally derived from masculines in i and i; especially is this the case in masculines derived from Skr. by means of the common suffix  $x_i$ , which, as has been shown, produces  $x_i$ , and then  $x_i$  and  $x_i$ , while its feminine is regularly  $x_i$ , yielding  $x_i$ , and then  $x_i$ , as in  $x_i$ ,  $x_i$ 

Extremely common, and spread throughout the seven languages, is the feminine ending whose varied forms are given below, and which is confined to the expression of nationality, caste, occupation, bodily and mental qualities, and other attributes of living, and chiefly human, beings.

H. has, in the first place, feminines in दून, दूनी or नी, derived from Sanskrit द्नी, the feminine of masculines in दू (रून); thus

Skr. हस्ती "elephant," H. हाथी, Skr fem. हस्तिनी, H. हाथिन, हाथिनी, or हथनी. This form it also uses in feminines derived from a masculine in  $\{ \}$ , which  $\{ \}$  is thereby shown to represent the Skr.  $\circ \{ \}$  ; as

भावी "washerman," धीविन "washerwoman;" माजी "gardener," माजिन and माजिनी "gardener's wife;"

but the termination is by no means confined to those words which have in Skr. the form  $\xi = (m.)$ ,  $\xi = (f.)$ , but, like all terminations which have once become typical, is added to words derived from all sorts of formations; thus

सोनार "goldsmith" (Skr. सुवर्णकार), सुनारिन "goldsmith's wife." चमार "leather worker" (Skr. चर्मकार), चमारिन his wife. कुम्हार "potter" (Skr. कुसकार), कुम्हारिन his wife. बाघ "tiger" (Skr. बाघ), बाघन, बाघनी "tigress." कुंबी "a caste of cultivators," कुंबिन the female of that

Through the habitual carelessness of this language in respect of unaccented short vowels, this termination is often sounded merely as **অ**7.

कुंजडा "a seller of vegetables," कुंजडन and कुंजडिन "a female seller." स्वासा "cowherd" (Skr. गोपास्त), स्वासन and स्वासिन "cowherd's wife."

ठग "cheat" (Skr. ख्रा), ठगन and ठगिन "female cheat," or "a thag's wife."

पापी "sinner," पापन, पापिन, पापिनी "peccatrix." बरेडा "washerman," बरेडन his wife.

In this latter word there has been contraction from बढर्न.

Regular derivatives from the Sanskrit are the words indicative of conditions peculiar to females:

Skr. गर्भिणी "pregnant," H. गाभिन and गाभन.

So also a feminine in  $\xi \bar{\eta}$ ,  $\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}$ , or  $\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}$ , may be made out of all sorts of masculines, to indicate the wife of a man of any class or

166 GENDER.

trade, rather than a woman who practises the trade herself. Thus one often hears familiarly चोरनो "a thief's wife," from चोर "a thief." This does not necessarily imply that the woman is herself a thief, but that her husband is. The same remark applies to the following:

पंडित "a Pandit," पंडिताणी his wife.
गुरू "a teacher," गर्वाइन his wife.
ठाकुर "a chief," ठाकुरानि and ठाकुराइन "a chief's wife."
चौधरी "a headman," चौधरानि and चौधराइन "a headman's wife."

These forms **आ**नि and **आ**इन are from Skr. **आनी**, the latter by a common inversion. They are even added to words of Persian origin; as

"a sweeper," महतरानि "a female sweeper."
महतरानि "a Mughal,"
मगनानि "a she-Mughal."
चाकरानि "a female servant."

Even in words ending in आ we have the feminine ending आइन; as

बनियाइन "a trader's wife."

The Muhammadan government conferred the title of Khân which on Hindus in some parts of Bengal, and from this the common people have formed a scarcely pronounceable feminine. Khân throughout India is pronounced with the final n nasalized, as though written et, and the feminine is therefore either, vulgo either or either, which the reader may pronounce at his leisure.

Panjabi has also this method of forming the feminine, and chiefly neglects the  $\tau$  and uses the termination  $\tau$  or  $\tau$ , the former used after a cerebral, the latter after other letters, a practice in which Panjabi reverses the Skr. usage. The application of this feminine ending has grown to be irrespective

of the termination of the masculine, as in H. This will be seen from the following group of examples:—

```
भोबी or भोबा "washerman," भोबण his wife.
भोही or भोहा "a cheat," भोहण id. (f.)
कुड़ डी "leprous man" (Skr. कुष्ठी), कुड़ र न "leprous woman."
कुसाथी "bad companion" (m.), कुसाथण id. (f.)
करोधी "angry" (Skr. क्रोधी), करोधण id. (f.)
भांदळया "trickster," भांदळन "trickstress."
```

The intelligent and progressive caste of Kayasths, which is so leading a class in Bengal, is very scantily represented in the Panjab, and the name is somewhat corrupted.

. काइथ or कांड्थ (m.), काइथगी, काइथगागी, काइथित्रागी, and कां(f.).

```
णी is used also alternatively with अहण, as
चौधरी "headman," चौधरणी, चौधराइण his wife.
करसानणी id. (f.)
गुत्रार "villager" (Skr. ग्रामवारी, ii गांवार).
कमीन "menial," कमीनणी id. (f.)
उगणी his wife.
```

From the feminine again, by some forgetfulness of its origin, has been formed a masculine **ZAUT**; so deeply scated is the feeling that a feminine in **£** corresponds to and postulates a masculine in **£**. Precisely in the same way in Latin the feminine marita, literally "manned," from mas, maris, has given rise to a masculine maritus.

Persian and Arabic words also undergo the prevailing Indian conversion into feminines. Hence spring the common but curious hybrids—

168

करजहाइ "debtor," Ar. and P. قرض دار fem. करजहाइण करजाई id., corrupt Arabic قرضاى fem. करजाइण

Masculines in आई shorten the ई and add ग for the fem., as धडवाई "weighman," धडवाइंग his wife.

When a word of this form ends in anunâsika, that is rejected, as गुसाई "devotee," गुसाईण his wife.

So also with words ending in  $\overline{x}$ , as  $\overline{a}$  und "merchant," fem.  $\overline{a}$  und  $\overline{x}$  und "a merchant's wife." In P. as well as in H. this feminine termination implies rather the wife of a man who is described by the masc. word, and not a woman who herself does the thing implied, as in the H. instance of  $\overline{a}$ , which does not contain any imputation on the honesty of the lady so styled, but on that of her husband.

Sindhi affixes this group of terminations to masculines of all sorts; thus it is added in the forms  $\{\overline{\mathbf{u}}\}$  and  $\{\overline{\mathbf{u}}\}$  to masculines in short u; as

जदु "a Jat," जटिणि and जटिणी, also जट्याणी, "a Jat's wife." बरोचु "a Beluch," बरोचाणि his wife. सींड "lion," सींहणि "lioness."

To masculines in o, but less frequently than the fem. in इ: as संघी "a drummer," संघिण, संघिणी, संघाणी, and संघारणी, his wife.

Trumpp teaches that in the case of masculines in short u, as  $\mathbf{u}_{\xi}$ , the final u is changed to i, before adding the fem. termination; but if this were the case, we should have a double feminine. In the cognate languages, where the masculine ends in a consonant, we have feminines in  $\mathbf{t}_{\eta}$ , and this leads to the conclusion that the  $\mathbf{t}$  is part of the termination, and  $\mathbf{u}_{\xi}$  should be divided thus:  $\mathbf{u}_{\xi} + \mathbf{t}_{\xi}$ , not  $\mathbf{u}_{\xi} + \mathbf{t}_{\xi}$ . In the original Skr. of this form we have the masc. base  $\mathbf{u}_{\xi}$  and though the final  $\mathbf{u}$  has been dropped in the nom., yet all the other cases retain it, and it must therefore be regarded as the

true ancient form, and the feminine sign would be only इ; but the modern languages having got hold of इनी, and regarded the whole of it as the feminine termination, have tacked it on to all sorts of masculines. The only real instance of a double feminine is in such words as जदेशाणी, which is doubtless जटि + आणी. A similar confusion of forms gives जंधाइणि, where there is जंधी, the original or regular fem. of जंधी, with the इ changed to a semivowel before the fem. ending आणी, which again has been regarded as in some way connected with इनी, and has thus come to be pronounced as आइणि, or perhaps आणी having become by inversion आइण, as in the other languages, Sindhi has clapped on final इ from a feeling that the word is feminine.

In the case of masculines ending in  $\hat{u}$ , this vowel is shortened to u or elided altogether, and the phrase, "a Hindu female," may thus be expressed in six different ways; thus

## हिन्दिणो, हिन्दिणि; हिन्दुणी, हिन्दुणि; हिन्दुत्राणी, हिन्दुत्राद्गि.

Gujarati has the terminations in considerable variety, as ऋण, एण, आणी, णी and नी, of which एण is peculiar to this language. Examples are:

गोला "servant," गोलण, गोलेण "female servant." धोली "washerman," धोलण, धोलेण "washerwoman." कोळी "a certain caste," कोळण a woman of that caste.

Taylor (p. 28) gives also feminine forms धोवख, कोळख; but these are not noticed by Narmadâ Shankar. They might arise from the final  $\xi$  of द्नी being shortened to the semivowel; and the form एए is from आनी, Pr. आएी, through the H. inversion आदन. Gujarati retains the Prakrit form of the nasal ए.

बाणियों "trader," बाणिश्रण, बाणिश्राण, बाणिश्राण, बाणिश्राण, बाणिश्राण, बाणिश्राण, बाणिश्राण, बाणिश्राण, बाचिण, बाचिण, बाचिण, बाचिण, धर्मीश्राणी.

It appears that in cases where there are two forms of the feminine for the same word, one form is in use in one part of the country, and the other in a different part; but it is not stated which form is used in any specified part.

Marathi in particular affects a long vowel in the final syllable of words ending with a consonant; and in the class of words now under consideration it accordingly takes regularly to the feminine nominative, but shortens this to to a in the oblique cases, because this syllable is no longer final in those cases. Thus we have—

सुगर "skilful man," सुगरी ॥ "skilful woman," "a good housewife."
भावी "devotee," भावी ॥ "female devotee."
वाघ "tiger," वाघी ॥ "tigress."
कुणवी "peasant," कुणवी ॥ his wife.

Sanskrit feminines in **द्रनी**, whether they have any corresponding masculine in Marathi or not, are treated by it in the same manner as the above:

With regard to O. and B., as they have no means of indicating gender, so also they do not possess any regular method of forming feminines. In modern times a large number of Sanskrit feminine words have been dug up out of dictionaries, and are now used in their Tatsama form; and there are old Tadbhavas, like the word for "sister," which have not been formed from masculines in any modern language, but have come down ready made from ancient times. Of these it is unnecessary to say anything.

Nor need we here introduce those words which are names of female animals, the male of which has a different name; like H. बेल "ox," सांड "bull," ने "cow." Each of these words stands on its own basis, and descends from some Skr. word which has no connexion with the masculine.

§ 36. With regard to the gender of that large class of words which, in all but Sindhi, end in mute a, or in other words in a consonant, I am convinced that it is quite impossible to lay down any law. Each word must be traced back to its Sanskrit or other origin, and the reason for the gender will then be apparent. A large number of these words have come to their present shape from rejecting a final  $\hat{a}$  or other feminine ending in Skr. (see the remarks in § 11), and it is therefore mere waste of time to attempt to find out any rules founded on the consonant which has thus accidentally become final. Stevenson, in his Marathi Grammar, occupies several pages with lists of feminines ending in a consonant, which it would be impossible for the student really to carry in his memory; practice alone in speaking the language can teach him the gender of these words. It is of little consequence to know the gender in II. or P. the forms of Hindustani spoken all over India, gender is habitually neglected by all classes, and it is only in the area in which Hindi is the mother-speech that much attention is paid to it, and even there only by purists or accurate speakers. In a great portion of Bihar one hears such phrases as तुसा मा श्राता है "your mother is coming;" and in the Parbatia or Nepali dialect, gender is not preserved at all. It exists, however, in literature, and its existence cannot therefore be ignored in Hindi even; and correspondents in the Marathi country and Gujarat inform me that the threefold gender of those languages is always correctly used, even by the lowest and most ignorant peasantry—an assertion which I should hesitate to receive in its entirety until confirmed by actual observation.

In Marathi gender is distinguished by native grammarians in three ways, and the rules which they lay down are useful as far as they go, though necessarily incomplete, and leaving much that is unclassified. They distinguish gender—(1) by signification, (2) by form, (3) by both combined. Of these three, the second, or that by form, applies only to the termination, and

172 GENDER.

breaks down as soon as it gets from words ending in a vowel to those ending in consonants. It is a good guide for vowel-endings, and consequently embraces the whole of Sindhi. In Marathi it helps us to some few rules for consonantal endings. Thus nouns in two are of course feminine, as shown in the last section; so also are those in war and tall it is also asserted that nouns in nt, that is a preceded by anunasika, are masculine; but there are so many exceptions to this rule as to destroy its value for practical purposes. Similarly untrustworthy is the rule that nouns in t, a, and t, when forming the last member of a nexus, are neuter; for thereupon follows a long string of such words all masculine.

In all the languages the large class of stems in  $\overline{\mathbf{q}}$ , mentioned in § 9 ( $\beta$ ), is chiefly feminine, but contains enough masculines to render it unsafe for a foreigner to treat all such words as feminines in speaking the language.

Reduplicated nouns are nearly everywhere feminine; but as many of these have vowel-endings, they come under head (3), which is a very perplexing method indeed, and leads to more confusion than either of the others. The only really trustworthy rule under this head is that which prescribes the gender of abstract nouns; those in **u** or **u** being neuter in those languages which have the neuter gender, and masculine in those which have it not. **u** is masculine in all, and at feminine, as in Sanskrit.

Under head (1) we are led into a maze of conflicting considerations. Leaving out, as sufficiently accounting for themselves, words which have natural gender, the artificial gender reminds us of the incoherent old "Propria quæ maribus" of our school-days. Winds, mountains, rivers, periods of time, and the like, appear to range themselves under various genders with a most inextricable caprice, and the usual tagrag of exceptions hangs on to the skirts of their army like a mob of unruly camp followers. No one perhaps really believes that the speakers

who unconsciously developed their respective languages ever stopped to think what the gender of a word was, or intentionally made it masculine because it was a mountain, or feminine because it was a tree or a river. The utmost we can admit is that instinctively large coarse strong things were treated as masculine, and their opposites as feminine, while things really or constructively inert were usually spoken of as neuter.

It will perhaps be safer to conclude that all words whose gender cannot be ascertained from their present form must be traced back to the older form, where they will generally be found to be in possession of a termination which at once explains the reason of their modern gender.

Even this rule, however, is not free from exceptions, for there are not wanting words which, though clearly derived from Skr., have changed their gender in the course of ages. Especially is this the case with words denoting the body and its parts, where a sense of tenderness or familiarity seems to have operated to cause them to become feminine, that gender being used to denote small delicate objects. From the same sentiment the Romans turned the names for parts of the body into diminutives, as auricula, occilus, and the like. Instances are—

Skr.  $\overline{\xi}\overline{\epsilon}$  "body" (m.), H.  $\overline{\xi}\overline{\epsilon}$  (f.), P. and G. also  $\overline{\xi}\overline{\epsilon}$  (f.), but in M. it is masc., and S.  $\overline{\xi}\overline{\epsilon}$  (f.), where the fem.  $\overline{\xi}$  has been added.

Skr. भ्रपण "oath" (m.), H. सींह (f.), so also is the late Tadbhava सपण; M. भ्रपण is m. and f. when meaning "an ordcal," but m. when meaning "a curse."

Skr. মান্ত "eye" (n.), H. স্মান্ত (f.), P. মান্ত (f.), G. মান্ত (f.), S. মান্ত (f.), where the retention of the final  $\xi$  has probably led to the word being considered as fem. Chand uses মান্ত (f.)

Skr. স্বান্য "sickness" (m.), H. স্কাব (m.) "mucous excrement," M. id. (f.), and S. স্কাঁব (f.).

Skr. बाह्र "arm" (m.), H. बाह्र (f.), P. id. (f.), S. बाह्र (f.). In G. it is f., but takes a fem. ending बाह्री; so also in M. बाह्री (f.).

Skr. वसु "thing" (n.), H. बस्त (f.), P. बसत (f.), S. वधु (f.), G. वस्त (f.), M. वस्तु (f. and n.), वस्तु (f.).

Skr. विष "poison" (n.), H. बिस, बिख (m.), S. विखु, विक्र (f.).

Skr. यंच "entrails" (mostly in pl. यंत्राणि) (n.), H. यंतर, आंत (f.), also with fem. ending यंतरी and यंतरी. S. आंदी (m.), M. यांत (n.), G. यंतर्क (n.).

Skr. धातु "root," "metal," "element" (m. and n.), H. धात (f.) "semen virile," S. धातु (f.), P. धात, धांत (f.), G. धात, धातु (f.), M. id. (f. and m.).

The following are from Sindhi; 1 they are all feminine, though the Sanskrit original, and in some cases the derivatives from it in the cognate languages, which I have added to Trumpp's list, are either masc. or neuter:

- S. श्रंस " offspring," Skr. श्रंश, H. श्रंस (m.), and so in all.
- S. विंदु "semen," Skr. विंदु (m.), H. विंद, बूंद (f.), विंदी (f.) "drop;" in which sense S. has also विंदी (f.), M. विंदी (f.), G. विंदु (n.), probably because उं in G. is the regular neuter ending, and the u of this word has been confounded with it.

Trumpp here inserts S. पूनच (f.) and पूनद, which he would derive from Skr. पर्वन् (m.), but this is apparently an error; the word should be derived from Skr. पूर्णमा or पूर्णिमा "the day of the full moon," which is feminine in Skr., and carries that gender into S. Stack writes it पूनच, and gives the forms पूरणमासी, प्रिणमासी, etc., which exist in all the other languages.

- S. तंदु "thread," "wire," Skr. तंतु (m.), H. तांत (m. and f., but I think more usually f.). G. M. id. (f.), P. तांत (f.), तंद (f.), and तंदी (f.) "catgut." In all it has frequently the sense of the string of a musical instrument, as a lute, etc.
  - S. খৃত্ৰ "woman's milk" (f.), from Skr. ব্ৰেৰ (m.) "breast." S. has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Trumpp, Sindhi Gram. p. 89 ct seqq. Only such words have been taken from the list as show a change of gender from Sanskrit to Sindhi.

GENDER. 175

also  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}$  (m.) in this sense, and H.  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}$   $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}$  (m.). In Modern-H. the form  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}$  is usual, but Chand has—

### र्क्क थान पय पान॥

"From the same breast drank milk," literally (there was) a drinking of milk.—i. 170, 7.

- S. বৃত্ত "sealing-wax," Skr. বৃत্ "lac" (m.).
- S. बुढु "ringworm," Skr. दब्रु (m.), H. दाद (m.), G. दादर (m.), M. दाद (f.), दादड (n.). The fem. gender of दाद in M. is probably due to the existence of the Persian હો "justice," which, like all Persian words in द, in M. is treated as a feminine. P. दह is however f. also.
- S. আৰু "potash," Skr. বাব (m.), H. আব (m.), M. id.; in some senses it is m., in others f. P. আব (f.), G. আব (m.).
- S. मह and मेलु "dirt," Skr. मलं (n.), H. मेल (m. and f.), M. मेल (m. and f.), G. मळ and मेल (m.), P. मळ and मल (m. and f.), मैळ however is always f.
- S. हिङ्क "assafætida," Skr. हिङ्क (m.), H. होंग (m.), P. हिंग (f.), M. हिंग (m.), G. हिंग (f.).

Sindhi does not always stand alone in its change of gender, and it will have been noticed that the various languages are capricious in their use of gender as regards these words. Most of the instances given are monosyllables, and there is a tendency in all the languages to regard monosyllables, or nouns whose final a has become mute, as feminines. It would seem also that there is a faint and not easily definable tendency to attribute a feminine gender to certain consonants, as \(\mathbf{q}\) and \(\pi\). This may have arisen from the fact that a majority of words ending in those letters are really by origin feminine. Although the stuff and backbone of these seven languages is pure Indo-Germanic, yet we must not ignore or underrate the influence which Arabic vocables have exercised. This influence began in Sindh so early as Muhammad Kasim's conquest of that

176 GENDER.

country in the early part of the eighth century. Mahmud of Ghaznin's numerous expeditions extended the knowledge of the sacred language of the Musulmans to all Western India in the eleventh century; and by the middle of the thirteenth, Arabic words were heard in almost every city and town. Our seven languages were then only just growing into their present shape, and Arabic words were thus woven in with their structure as it grew. The idea of Hindi or Marathi ever having been without these words, is a mere dream of Sanskritizing purists. In the most obscure corners of rural India these words are heard, and it not unfrequently happens that the old Arabic term is more familiar to the masses than the grand new-fangled Sanskrit word invented by the Pandits. Thus the somewhat hybrid word, جرمانه jurimana, is used in the sense of "a fine," and is understood everywhere, while the newly-coined अर्थदण्ड is not. Now in Arabic the termination "is distinctly feminine; in fact, it is the regular method of making feminines from masculines: thus, عَدالَت , نَوبِّت , فُرصَت , بَركَت , دَولَّت , and many others of the same kind being feminine, there would doubtless grow up an impression that whenever a word ended in t it was feminine; and as the masses know nothing and care nothing about derivation, the use of that gender would extend to all words in t, no matter whether of Aryan or Semitic orgin. This final is in Arabic often written as h, though still pronounced t: thus we may write دُولت or دُولت, both pronounced by Arabs daulat. In borrowing these words, the Persians frequently pronounced the final as h: thus we get ذرجه darjah, martabah, and the like. From the frequency of these words an impression would, as in the case of t, arise that there was something inherently feminine in final h, and we thus account for such words as देह, सोंह, being feminine. In some of the languages these words having become, by usage, thoroughly feminine, have had one or other of the vowel-endings peculiar to that gender added to them, as in S. देखि and others.

With regard to the other changes, which are less easily explainable, we must, I think, have recourse to the argument that the mediæval Aryans, before entirely doing away with any peculiarity in the structure of the ancient language, began to be careless about its use, just as in the Merovingian period in France, the Latin case-endings were used carelessly one for the other, till confusion resulted in their eventual abolition. So in the decay of gender, which has evidently taken place in the Indian group; the first step was a careless and irregular use of the genders of individual words, by which, if any one word of very common usage were feminine, a whole group of other words of similar sound would be made feminine too, and the same with any familiar masculine word.

§ 37. That the use of gender has shown signs of becoming less habitual, and gradually dying out, is undeniable. While it has died out entirely from B. and O., it is not much regarded in H. and P., and only two genders remain in S. The full range of three genders remains only in M. and G. When we cross the frontier into the territory of the Iranian languages, we find no gender at all. To ask why this is so, would be to ask a question which is virtually unanswerable. The neuter is certainly a very useless abstraction, and it is not surprising to find it the first to be thrown aside. In the modern Romance languages this has happened as much as in all the modern Arvan languages except G. and M., which have no parallel except in Modern High German. In the Indian group, the Prakrits retain all three genders; but the earliest mediæval Hindi has only two, the masculine and feminine, and even these two are much confused. It can hardly be said that Chand deliberately means to use a neuter, when he claps on an anuswara to a formless nominal stem to eke out his metre, or uses a word in its original Sanskrit form, as in the lines-

## प्रथंमं भुजंगी सुधारी यहंनं॥ जिनै नाम एकं खनेकं कहंनं॥

"First (there is) a taking of the well-adorned Bhujangi,
Whose name (though) one is taken in many ways."—i. 5.

Here the numerous anuswâras are introduced merely to make the line scan, the metre being U--IU--IU--I and a nasal is commonly doubled by prefixing anuswara: thus यहंनं is to be read as though it were written यहन्म, and प्रथंमं as प्रथमम. Frequently, too, we find a masculine participle or adjective with a feminine noun. Thus, while in one line we have पुत्र होत भई मत्य॥—where भई "she became" agrees with रागिनि "queen" in a previous line—there occurs, a few lines below, कन्या कियौ अन्दोह "the bride made lamentation," where the verb is masculine, and in the next line धर्यी "took," which is also masculine, refers to the same noun करा. The same indifference to gender, even with living beings, exists occasionally throughout the poem, and it may therefore be concluded that at that age, or before it, the strict observance of the three genders of Skr. had ceased to be usual. The masculine being the most common of the two genders that remained after the disuse of the neuter, gradually absorbed the feminine in ordinary writing, unless there was any special necessity for the employment of the latter, as, for instance, in the case of living beings. While, however, the poets retain tolerably accurately the two principal genders, the people must have grown careless about them at a comparatively early date; for Nepali, whose origin as an independent language dates from A.D. 1322, has little or no cognizance of them; and the earliest Bengali and Oriva poets, who wrote in the first part of the fifteenth century, show no traces

<sup>1</sup> Though we may here argue that we have an instance of the objective construction, though the subject is not, as it should be with that construction, in the instrumental. It will, however, be seen from Chapter III. § 57, that the early and mediæval poets regularly omit the instrumental in the objective construction.

of the feminine as attached to anything but living beings. Grammatical gender had perished in the eastern area of the seven languages, then, by the fourteenth century, leaving only natural gender, and even that but indifferently attended to.

It is not surprising that Bengali and Oriya should have lost the distinction of gender carlier than the other languages, secing that they had so little occasion to use it. In these two languages the participial forms of the verb, which have in the other languages usurped the place of nearly all the old synthetical tenses, do not exist; and by their absence a great and constantly occurring necessity for the use of gender was taken away. Thus in Hindi the verb has only one synthetic or Prakritic tense remaining, namely, the indefinite present, the third person singular of which ends in  $\mathbf{U}$  (=  $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}$ ), as in  $\mathbf{v}$  "they do." All the other tenses are formed by participles: as

Present करता "does," देखता "sees."
Past किया "did," देखा "saw."
Future करेगा "will do," देखेगा "will see."

Although the future is not a participle, but formed by adding ना to the indefinite present, yet this ना, like the terminations of the present and future, changes its vowel for gender and number, and makes a feminine नी, pl. m. ने, f. नो. So that in all three tenses there exists a necessity for remembering the gender, so as to make the verb agree with its subject or object, according to the nature of the construction.

But in Bengali there is no such custom, thus:

B. Aorist करे, 0. करइ.

" Present करितेक्टि, " कर्जिक्ट.

" Past करिल, " करिल.

" Future करिबे or °बेक, " करिब.

None of these tenses change their form in any way for gender,

180 GENDER.

and there is therefore no need to remember the gender of the subject; the objective construction also is fortunately unknown in those two languages.

If to this potent reason for disuse of gender we add the universal shortening of final long syllables, which led ultimately to their suppression, it will be readily understood that languages, which had no means left of marking gender, should soon cease to be aware of its existence at all, and in this respect should go even further than English. While our language retains distinct words for natural gender in the pronoun of the third person, these two do not;  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$  means "he," or "she," or "it," and all the cases of this pronoun are the same throughout, as will be seen more in detail in the Chapter on the Pronouns.

Seeing how much the existence of distinctions of gender tends to make a language difficult to foreigners, it is not perhaps a mistake to regret that all the seven languages have not followed the example of these two, and got rid of gender before literature stepped in to arrest their development, and stereotype the forms they at present possess; and we may certainly set our faces against the obnoxious pedantry of some modern Bengali writers, who, in resuscitating a Sanskrit adjective, bring back with it the gender which the spoken language has long ago got rid of.

### CHAPTER III.

#### DECLENSION.

CONTENTS.—§ 38. Inflection.—§ 39. Preparation of the Stem in Orita and Bengali.—§ 40. The same in Hindi and Panjabi.—§ 41. The same in Gujarati, Marathi, and Sindhi.—§ 42. Table showing Terminations of the Stem.—§ 43. Formation of the Plural in the Uniform Languages.—§ 44. Formation of the Plural in the Multiporm Languages.—§ 45. Origin of the Plural Forms.—§ 46. Origin and Analysis of the Singular Oblique Forms.—§ 47. Oblique Forms of the Plural.—§ 48. Remnants of the Synthetical System in other Cases.—§ 49. Absence of Oblique and Plural Forms from Certain Languages.—§ 50. Internal Modifications of the Stem in Marathi.—§§ 51, 52. Quasi-synthetical Forms of some Cases.—§ 53. Adjectives.—§ 54. Numerals.—§ 55. Careaffixes.—§ 56. The Orjective.—§ 57. Instrumental.—§ 58. Ablative.—§ 59. Genitive.—§ 60. Locative.—§§ 61, 62. Postpositions.

§ 38. The modern noun in all the seven languages has the same number of cases as in Sanskrit, nominative, accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, locative, and vocative. In Sanskrit these cases are distinguished by changes in termination, as naras, naram, narena, naraya, narat, narasya, nare, nara. This is the fashion with the old inflectional languages—a cumbrous and somewhat clumsy system, which the human race, in its onward march, has now in many instances discarded for the simpler and more spiritual method of detached particles. In the Indian group, Hindi stands, as usual, prominently forward in this respect; while the opposite pole is represented by Sindhi, the rude and complicated speech of backward and un-

civilized desert-tribes. It is false philology to say that to Sindhi must be assigned the first place among its sister-tongues, because it "has preserved an exuberance of grammatical forms, for which its sisters may well envy it." As well might the active rifleman of to-day, in his tight-fitting easy dress, and with his handy but deadly weapon, envy the warrior of old, staggering along under half a ton of steel armour, and with no better tools than sword and spear! As well might the modern traveller, carried at the rate of thirty miles an hour in a come fortable railway carriage, envy the ancient German plunging through the muddy forest-roads in his vast and unwieldy bullock-waggon! Nature never works backwards, but ever onwards. The granite peaks of the Himalaya are worn by rain into a thousand wrinkles, and their substance is carried by countless rivers down to the plains of India; should we call the fertile soil of the Gangetic delta the "degenerate descendant" of those ice-bound peaks? Had the languages of India, and its soil, remained to the present day frozen hard in the bonds of a rigid synthetical system, or imbedded in the granite of its hills, they would not now suffice for the daily needs of its active and versatile millions. There is no language on earth so widely spoken as English, nor is there any tongue that has so freely and fully shaken off all inflections, genders, cases, tenses, and the rest: yet who shall dare to say that the language of Shakespeare and Milton is wanting in poetry; that of Bacon, Locke, and Hamilton in precision and clearness; that of Burke and Macaulay in power or eloquence? If the words of Sanskrit have in the present day lost many of their consonants and vowels, it is because they had too many to start with; ath is a handier word than ashtau, and no one would care to waste his time in saying abhyantare who could express his meaning just as well by bhitar. Let us not be misled by unphilosophical talk. The modern languages are not corruptions of the Sanskrit; they are improvements on it: and those that retain the

greatest amount of its antique and elaborate forms are the least advanced of the group.

In none of the seven languages are the case-endings of Sanskrit preserved. The only trace of an inflectional or synthetical system is to be found in certain changes effected in the last syllable of some nouns, to prepare them for taking the detached particles which express the relations of case. Strictly speaking, a noun has in none of the seven languages more than four forms: the nominatives singular and plural, and the modified stem or oblique, or crude, or formative, for both numbers. Of the various names suggested for this latter case, I shall use the commonest, or oblique. The crude form of the noun is a term which I shall use when speaking of the oblique employed without particles, to signify vaguely all cases except the nominative. Of course the languages differ very much in this respect, as in all others. Oriya and Bengali have only one form for both nominative and oblique in both numbers. Hindi has an oblique form only in one class of nouns, while the rest indulge in a great many variations, whereby they gain nothing in clearness, while they lose much in simplicity and practical usefulness.

§ 39. To begin with the simplest of the group, Oriya and Bengali. Oriya has one form for all possible conditions of its noun. The case-particles, though not detached, do not affect the form of the stem. Thus, in a noun ending in mute short a, which is as much as to say, ending in a consonant, we have the declension—

Sing. N. ghar, "house,"

Ac. ghar-ku,

G. ghar-ar,

L. ghar-e, for
ghar-re.

Pl. ghar-mûnanku.
ghar-mûnanku.
ghar-mûnankar.
for kar-re.

In nouns ending with a vowel the result is the same. Thus,

râjâ, "king," gen. râjâ-r, "of a king," where the initial vowel of the particle has been sacrificed, but the stem remains untouched. So in the loc. râjâ-re. The genitive, however, is the only case whose particle begins with a vowel, and consequently the only case in which any hitch can arise. Further instances are—

pati, "lord," gen. pati-r.
bahu, "wife," " bahu-r.
swâmî, "master," " swâmî-r.
naţî, "actress," " natî-r.
bhû, "earth," " bhû-r.

Some pedants profess to teach that words of the type আমী (আমিৰ) shorten the *i* in the oblique cases, but this is a mere attempt at aping Sanskrit. The popular speech takes no heed of such refinements.

Bengali is similar to Oriya in its treatment of the stem, which it subjects to no preparatory change when used with case-affixes, though, as these affixes are different from those in use in Oriya, it is necessary to give examples. Thus, in nouns ending in a consonant, we have the following cases in which collision might occur, owing to the particles beginning with a vowel:

Sing. N. kukkur, "dog." Pl. kukkur-erd.
G. kukkur-er, kukkur-er diger.
L. kukkur-e, or kukkur-ete.

In the plural, however, it is more usual to add a word denoting plurality, as will be shown hereafter, to which the case-particles are affixed. The form of the plural in -erâ is generally restricted to words descriptive of human beings, as santânerâ, "sons."

Words which end in vowels retain the form of the nominative stem throughout, and avoid collision by eliding the initial vowel of the particle, just as in Oriya, though—owing to the very unsettled character of the language, which has not yet made up its mind which of its varying forms it will regard as the classical, or generally to be adopted—some difference of practice is observable among good speakers and writers. I follow the guidance of Shamacharan Sirkar, who, however, like most learned Bengalis, leans rather too decidedly to the Sanskrit and high-flown side of his language, though he is practical enough to notice most of the peculiarities of the spoken language. Thus—

Sing. Nom. ghora, "horse,"

Pl. N. ghorâ-gan, etc.

G. ghora-r.

L. ghorâ-e.

= ghorâ-y.

Further examples are—

nârî, "woman," G. nârî-r,

N. Pl. narî-rd.

paśu, "beast,"

G. paśu-r, L. paśu-te.

jau, "lac," G. jau-r.

Very frequently the plural signs are entirely omitted, and the fact of plurality left to be inferred from the context. In Old Bengali, instead of cliding the initial vowel of the caseparticle, collision is avoided by inserting च. This letter is not pronounced, but acts as a fulcrum merely. Thus the G. of "lac," would be written जीचर, or in its own characters (जोएड, the dot under the च indicating that it is to be softly pronounced, and not, as usual, like j. For instance, in Kabi Kankan's Chandi, where the merchant's wife Khullanâ is subjected to the ordeal of being burnt in a house built of lac and other inflammable substances, Vishwakarman builds for the purpose—

জৌয়ের আড়া জৌয়ের পাড়ি জৌয়ের কপাট jau'er ârâ, jau'er pâri, jau'er kapât. Beams of lac, rafters of lac, doors of lac. § 40. Hindi, with which in all important respects agrees Panjabi, comes next in respect of simplicity. All nouns ending in a consonant remain unchanged throughout. The only class in which any change takes place is that of nouns in â, when derived from Skr. nouns in -as, through the intermediate forms au and o. Nouns of this class form their oblique singular and nom. pl. in c. As Sing. N. azī "son," Obl. az, N. Pl. az. The oblique pl. is in Old-Hindi ani or an, in Modern-Hindi -on. Thus—

Sing. N. ঘাত্তা "horse." N. Pl. ঘাত্তী. Obl. ঘাত্তী: Obl. ঘাত্তী:

To this oblique form are added the case-particles, as घोडे को "to a horse," घोडों को "to horses." Exempted from this rule are those nouns in â derived from Skr. nouns whose nom. is already â; as राजा "king," दाता "giver:" these do not change in the singular oblique, or nom. pl.; thus they say राजा को "to a king," दाता "givers." The rule is carelessly kept in old writers, and even in the present day among the peasantry one may often hear घोडा को; moreover, the neglect of the plural is very common, and it is colloquially more usual to employ the singular, as बोस घोडा "twenty horses." Instances of neglect of the rule in Old-Hindi poets are these—

## तिहि बेरां आयी कहै। डेरा मांहि पनग॥

"At that time came somehow Into the tent a snake."—Chand, i. 246.

Where we should expect डेरे में. A similar passage is

### राज आए देरा मधि॥

"The king came into his tent."—Chand, i. 194.

And in the Bhaktamâlâ occur कटोरा में "in the cup," खरिका के "of the boy" (Namdev.).

The feminine noun in & undergoes no change in the oblique singular; in the plural the inflection of plurality is appended to

the simple unchanged stem, as all mi "to a daughter," all mi "to daughters." No other preparation of the stem occurs in Hindi, which is thus, except in the one instance of nouns in a, as simple as Bengali or Oriya. Panjabi retains unchanged all nouns ending in a consonant; those which end in a vowel are treated much as in Hindi. Thus—

So also in words which have anuswâra over the final â; as

Panjabi has no fear of the hiatus, any more than Hindi has, and even in nouns where the final â is preceded by a vowel, it makes no effort to prevent collision; one instance in point is the word last quoted, another is प्रकाश "a trier," obl. प्रकाश parakhaüe, pl. n. the same, and pl. oblique प्रकाश parakhaüiân! where no less than four vowels follow one another. It would not be inaccurate, however, though unusual, to write uveilui, thus avoiding the hiatus altogether. Still, a few words, ending in sand st, change that letter to sefore the termination of the oblique plural; but even this is optional, and in a language so split up into dialects as Panjabi, no hard and fast rule can be laid down. Tus "father," writes the oblique pl. ui some laid own. Tus "father," writes the oblique pl. ui some laid stricts.

There is nothing more to be said about these two languages at present.

§ 41. Gujarati is older in form than Hindi, and is in fact little more than an archaic dialect of that language, brought by the Châlukya Râjputs into the peninsula of Kâthiâwâd, and there iso-

lated and cut off from the other Hindi dialects, and thus gradually developed into a separate language, retaining antique forms which have dropped out of use in the parent speech. Its grammatical formations are consequently more complicated than those of Hindi, and in respect of the preparation of the stem it exhibits special peculiarities. Nouns ending in a consonant (i.e. mute a) in this language have more than one form, but the oblique form is not universally applied. In some cases the case-particles are affixed to the direct or nominative form, in others to the oblique; the accusative and dative (which are really only two different branches of one case, the objective) and the genitive affix their case-particles to the direct form; the instrumental, locative, and ablative use both the direct and oblique forms. Thus from a god," there come

Acc. ar	ıd.	D.			•	देव ने
<b>G.</b> .						देव नो
Inst.						देव थी.
Abl						id.
Loc						देव मां

The oblique form is the same as the nom.; but there is also an oblique in c, as देवे, of which more will be said in a subsequent section; this form alone is used as an instrumental and a locative; but both these cases ordinarily take case-particles to define their meaning more sharply; in which case we sometimes find the direct, and sometimes the oblique stem used; thus, abl. देव थो and देवे थो, instr. देवे करोन, id. It will be pointed out in its proper place that Gujarati is fond of heaping up pleonastic case-particles, this is one instance: देवे, already bearing the meaning "by a god," the form देवे थो is pleonastic, but is utilized to express a slightly different shade of meaning. The result in Gujarati is a striking proof of the essential unity of all the languages in the group, the termination e running through them all in a singularly homogeneous way. It would be strictly

correct to say, that in nouns with a consonantal ending, Gujarati, like its parent Hindi, has no separate form for the oblique, the form in e, which has now crept into use, being, as will be shown presently, an old case-ending, or rather two separate case-endings confused together. The plural of nouns of this class is formed by o, as an "gods;" to this the ending in e is added, as added, as and locative.

Masculine nouns in o, corresponding to H. in â, from Skr. a-stems, have three forms for the singular; the nominative in o, the purely oblique in â, and the instrumental-locative in e, thus—

- 1. Sing. Nom. दाहाडी "day."
- 2. Objective दाहाडा नें.
- 3. Instr. and L. दाहाडे.

But the instrumental and ablative append their case-endings to both 2 and 3, as दाहाडा थी and दाहाडे थी. The genitive uses only 2, as दाहाडा नी; and the locative, when it takes the case-ending, uses 2; when not, it uses 3: thus we have both दाहाडा मां and दाहाडे for "in a day." Strangely, too, the instr. sometimes adds its e to form 2, and appears as **ZIEIGIV**. The plural of this form is regularly a, as दाहाडा "days;" but this appears to have been felt too vague, and in modern times an o has been added, which brings the plural of this form into homogeneity with the plural of consonantal nouns; thus it is now spoken दाहादात्रो, to which latter forms case-endings are affixed. Here, again, the instrumental adds its e to the fuller form, giving a string of vowels, as दाहाडाग्रोए dâhâdâoe, "by Precisely similar in all but the nominative is the corresponding neuter noun in उं; thus, मोहोइं "a face," pl. मोहोडां, where the anuswara alone differentiates it from the masc.; the modern form with o, however, drops the anuswara, and is thus identical with the masc., as in मोहोदाको. Nouns

ending in all other vowels, including o, when it is not derived from Skr. bases in -as, add the cs and os to the final vowel, without making any phonetic combinations. Examples are:

```
N. Sing. pati, "lord," Instr. patie, N. Pl. patio, Instr. patioe,

" nadi, "river," " nadie, " nadio, " nadioe.

" vastu, "thing," " vastue, " vastuo, " vastuoe.

" vahú, "wife," " vahúe, " vahúo, " (vahúoe, or (vahuoe.)

" chho, "mortar," " chhoe, " chhoö, " chhoöe.
```

The spelling of Gujarati is still very unfixed, and the fulcrum च is often used, as in Bengali, where vowels come together, and has no effect on the pronunciation, प्रतिष् and प्रतिचे are written indifferently.

Marathi, which comes next, is in every respect a complicated language, having been unable to work itself free from that maze of forms and terminations which an ancient synthetical language always leaves behind it. In the matter we are now discussing, its usual ill-luck follows it, and the student is irritated by the variety of the changes he encounters. In addition to special forms for the locative and instrumental, it has the regular four forms, the two nominatives and two obliques, and is, moreover, encumbered with three genders. The variations in the oblique, which is also the crude form, are divided by grammarians into six classes, a division which will be followed here, though it is not quite free from exception. There is some want of fixity in Marathi in this point, and authors are not quite at one as regards the forms to be used in some nouns.

(1). Masculine and neuter nouns ending in mute a, lengthen that vowel in the oblique form of the singular; masculines

<sup>1</sup> No distinction is ordinarily made in G. between long and short i or u. In fact, the ordinary current hand has not distinct characters for the two sounds.

घरं.

घरां.

have the same form for pl. nom. as for sing., while neuters add t; the oblique plural in both cases is formed by t. Thus we have—

MASCULINE.

NEUTER.

N. Sing. बाप "father," N. Pl. बाप. घर "house," Obl. बापां. घरा

Similar to this class is that of nouns in  $\xi$  and  $\xi$ , which lengthen their respective vowels; all three genders are treated in the same way.

N. Sing. कवि "poet," N. Pl. कवि । N. Sing. मधु "honey," N. Pl. मधु. Obl. कवी, Obl. कवीं. Obl. मधू, Obl. मधू

The three short vowels are so far perfectly homogeneous in their treatment.

(2). Feminines in short a, such as the words given in § 11. where the short a has arisen from shortening the long  $\hat{a}$  of a Skr. fem., form their oblique sing. in e, their pl. nom. in  $\hat{a}$ , and pl. oblique in  $\hat{a}n$ ; and words of the same class, which have retained their original long  $\hat{a}$ , are formed in the same way. Thus  $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$  "tongue," and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$  "mother," differ only in the nominative singular.

N. Sing. जीभ "tongue," N. Pl. जीभा. N. Sing. माता, N. Pl. माता. Obl. जीभे, Obl. जीभां. Obl. माते, Obl. मातां.

(3). These same feminines in short a, however, exercise the feminine privilege of not knowing their own minds; for while some take c in the oblique, others take t, and a large number vacillate between the two.

N. Sing. श्राम "fire," N. Pl. श्रामी. Obl. श्रामी, Obl. श्रामीं

फांक "a slice," has फांकीला and फांकेला "to a slice;" चौड "offence," चीडेला and चीडीला. (4). Long vowels follow the same general principle as the short ones; their vowel being already long, no further change takes place in the oblique singular. The formation of the plural is multiform, and will be discussed in a separate section; the oblique plural, like that of class 1, only differs from the singular by the addition of anuswara in nouns ending in a: in other cases anuswara is added to the form of the nom. plural, which is a recrudescence of the vowel of the singular into its semivowel, effected by the addition of a.

This may be considered the typical method of treating nouns ending in a long vowel, but there are yet two other processes.

(5). A few words ending in  $\overline{s}$  and  $\overline{s}$  mase, and neut., and all diminutives in  $\overline{s}$  and  $\overline{s}$ , have a way of their own. They reject the labial vowel, and form the oblique sing. in  $\hat{a}$ , pl.  $\hat{a}n$ . Masculines have the same form for both nominatives, neuters having the invariable neuter pl. in  $\overline{t}$ .

(6). Lastly, as if purposely to complicate their language, the Marathas have a totally different method of treating nouns of all three genders ending in long vowels, as well as those neuters which end in an anuswâra. The process here adopted is the hardening of the final vowel into its semivowel— In this case having no semivowel of its own, takes I—and affixing to

the secondary stem so formed the masculine oblique termination a for masc. and neuter nouns, and the fem. e for fem. nouns. This class, however, is not well fixed, for many masculine words in it may optionally form their oblique as in class 4, and the feminines as in classes 2 and 3. Thus विंचु "a scorpion," m., may in the dative form be विंचुला or विंचवाला. The fem. सासू may either make as above सासूला, or under this rule सासवला. There are even some words which are spoken in three ways: thus नातू "grandson," m., नातूला, नाताला, and नातवाला. अंस् m. "tear," अंस्ला, अंसाला, अंसवाला.

Types of this class are the following:

		OBL.	N. PL.	OBL.
In आ.	सासरा "father-in-law,"	सासर्थाः	सासरे,	सासर्याः
In 🗲.	जांवर्द " son-in-law,"	जांवयाः,	जांवई,	जांवयां.
,,	माळी "gardener,"	माळ्या,	माळी,	माळ्यां.
In ব্য	जटू "leech" (f.),	जळ्वे,	जळ्वा,	जळ्वां.
>*	भाज "brother" (m.),	भावा,	भाज,	भावां -

Exceptional is स्त्री "woman," making Obl. स्त्रिये; N. Pl. स्त्रिया. Obl. स्त्रिया. The above are all the forms in use in Marathi, and in this last class it must be noted that polysyllabic nouns in ज do not join the r arising therefrom to the preceding consonant, but keep a short a between; thus, ताइ "ship," तार्वाचा, not तावाचा; also that monosyllables in û, not only change that vowel to व, but still retain the vowel, though shortened, as सू "needle," सुवाचा.

We now pass on to Sindhi, in which language we have the good fortune of being able to avail ourselves of the inestimable labours of that sound scholar, Dr. Trumpp, whose grammar of Sindhi is the only grammar of any of our seven languages which has as yet been written on correct philological principles. In the following abstract I work entirely from Dr. Trumpp's materials, though I have altered his arrangement slightly in

order to bring it into harmony with that followed in respect of the other languages. Sindhi has, like Gujarati, the locative in e, as 📆 "in a country" (Skr. ८६). It has, besides, the usual four forms, to wit, the two nominatives and two obliques, as in Marathi.

(1). The consonantal ending or mute a of the other languages is represented in Sindhi by u for the masc., and a or i for the fem. Nouns in u have the following scheme of forms:

Where the final u is preceded by a, the semivowel is inserted to prevent hiatus; it is also inserted after  $\mathbf{w}_{i}$ , and optionally after other vowels, except i or i.

Nouns which end in short u, derived from other sources than the Skr. a-stem, remain unaltered in the oblique singular, and in the oblique plural either follow the nom. plur. or sing. The words of this class are chiefly, if not entirely, the old words of relationship, which in the formation of the plural follow the Prakrit system.

```
N. SINO. OBL.

पिउ "father," पिउ. पिउर, पिउनि or पिउर्नि.
भाउ "brother," भाउ. भाउर, भाउनि or भाउर्नि.

भेणु "sister," भेणु. भेणूं or भेणुंक, भेणुनि or भेणुंनि.
```

In the feminines in u the vowel is shortened in the oblique plural, as—

(2). Nouns in short a, which is always fem., do not change for the oblique sing.; their oblique pl. is given below.

And the same holds good of nouns in short i, both masc. and fem., the only peculiarity being that masc. nouns use the older and longer form in **t** exclusively, as **att** "lion," obl. pl. **att**.

(3). The termination o, corresponding to the  $\hat{a}$  of Hindi and the other languages, modulates into e for the oblique singular, and  $\hat{a}n$ ,  $\hat{e}n$ , or ni for the plural; thus—

N. S. मधो "head," Qbl. मधे, N. Pl. मधा, Obl. मधां, मधें, मधनि.

When this final o is preceded by a or  $\hat{a}$ , it inserts r, to prevent hiatus, but not when preceded by other vowels.

- (4). The other long vowels may be grouped together, as below:
- आ N. Sing. आग्या, Obl. आग्या, N.Pl. आग्याऊं, Obl. आग्याउनि. "command,"

When the anuswara precedes the final vowel, it is retained in the oblique forms also.

"crocodile,"

§ 42. The following table exhibits the whole of the typical terminations of the nominal stem in all the languages except Bengali and Oriya; these two languages having no change of the stem need not be included:

STEZ.	FORM.	HINDI.	DI.	PANJABI.	GUJARATI.	MARATHI.	<u> </u> -	SINDHI.	I.
		MASC.	FEM.	MASC. FEM.		MASC. FEM. NEUT,		MASC.	FEM.
	Nom. Sing.	1	ı	I	l	1		מ	F
	Oblique "	ı	I	1	1	आ ए ई आ		涿	有
₩ mute.	Nom. Pl	ı	<b>'Þ</b> /	·南	<b>AB</b>	- आहे एं		ie.	ज़ ब्रा
	Oblique Pl.	潮	i k	·声	<b>A</b>	मां मां भ		आं, एं, अनि एं, उनि, etc.	, <b>उनि,</b> etc. <sup>1</sup>
	N. Sing.	hor		lur	luc	hur	1	ju.	her
for	O. Sing.	w		lur	lv	chur	-	w	ler
<i>'</i>	N. Pl	hor	******	lu	इस्रो	A M. F. N.		<b>lu</b> r	45
	0. Pl	<u>e ali</u>		ह्यां	र्यो	ilur •	her her	ह्यां, ह्यं, ह्रि इत्रां, etc.	द्रआं, etc.
	N. Sing.	<b>d</b>		מ	מ	מ	<u> </u> 		מ
עו	O. Sing.	Ø		D	מו	15			Ø
)	N. Pl	Ø		מ	<b>उम्रो</b>	d M. F. N.			৳
	0. Pl	उम्रों		Gआं	तमो	中			ठिन

	_										-	mag.	
	N. Sing.	<b>**</b>		괢		到	.ID	제	<b>F</b>	<u>₩</u>	<b>'</b> Þ'	割	<u> </u>
N.	O. Sing.	Þ′		Þ		潮	兩	<u>a</u>	वा	ए आ	व	מ	<u>a</u>
•	N. Pl	<b>þ</b> /	आएं	Þ	34 J	आजो	आंत्रो	兩	Þ	<u>재</u>	ilur	和	त्राङं
	0. Pl	<b>南</b>		A BIT		आज्ञो	आची यांची	潮	वां	漸	वं	म्रां, एं, म्रनि	माउनि
	N. Sing.	cher		ches				choc .		chri	ihw	ches	chec
du	O. Sing.	che		chur				choś	व	ि स्था स्था	व	F.	E S
6	N. Pi.	كعك	s ari	ches	इत्रा			chor		व	ंकि की	ches	इयं, इजं, यं
	0. Pi	· F		AT.				ilur	वं	चं.	वं	इत्रों, etc.	₹Ai, etc.
	N. Sing.	15		15				杨	la	15	15	Б	
19	O. Sing.	15		15				<u>ক</u>	191	(IO (IS	9	প্ৰ	
;	N. Pl	15	जन्मां	15	<u>জন্মা</u>			Б	le:	6	, lo	Б	
	0. Pl	<u>कश्</u> रो		<u>জন্ম</u>				ज आ	ां वां	io	q	SAT, etc.	

From this table, which is designed to show merely the leading and typical terminations of each language, are purposely left out all rare or exceptional forms, such as the small handful of words ending in o, not derived from a-stems, a few in e and ai, and the like.

§ 43. Before entering upon the attempt to explain and account for the manifold variations of the oblique form of the noun, it is necessary first to exhibit the system of forming the plural. The terminations of the nominative of the plural have been given along with those of the oblique in the foregoing sections, but nothing has been said in explanation of them.

In respect of the plural this group of languages may be divided into two classes: first, those which form their plural always in one unchanging way; and, secondly, those which have more than one way of forming it. In the latter class stand Marathi and Sindhi, in the former all the rest.

Of the former, or uniform languages, the simplest are, as usual, Oriya and Bengali. Oriya formerly made its plural by adding e, as kumâr, "boy," pl. kumâre. This plural is still found in poetry. Thus Dînkrishna (A.D. 1520) writes of Krishna and Balarâma—

# कुत्रां कुत्रां जे कुमारे रोदंति॥ कळकंठ कंठ हेळे निदंति॥

"When the children weep with wailing cry, They easily surpass the song of the Kokila."

-Rasakallola, iii. 110.

And again-

# कर्ण देर शुन साधु जने॥ कुमारंक जनमिले केते दिने॥

"Giving ear, listen, O virtuous men!
Some days after the children were born."—id. iv. 1.

where kumâre, jane, and dine are the nominatives plural of kumâr, jan, and din respectively. This form, however, was

probably found too indistinct, and was liable to be confounded with the instrumental and locative singular. A noun of multitude was therefore adopted; and the word selected was मान "measure," a word already in use in isolated expressions, such as दिनमान "daily," in other languages. A distinction is made in the use of this word between animate and inanimate objects; thus they say बलद "ox," pl. बलदमाने; पडिसा "neighbour," pl. पडिसामाने; but जाल "net," pl. जालमान; भार "a load," pl. भारमान. The e indicative of the plural is here retained, but tacked on to the plural sign mân, only in the case of living beings; it is omitted in the case of inanimate objects. case-particles are affixed to a form मार्न; thus the genitive sign is मानंबर, now-a-days often, but erroneously, written मानङ्कर. The Oriya language is absolutely and undeviatingly regular in its way of forming the plural, the method above described is the only one in use, and the language does not contain a single exception.

Bengali has more than one way of expressing the plural, but I reckon it among the uniform languages because of the methods in use only one is a true plural; the others are periphrases or compounds of two words, and not, strictly speaking, plurals at all. The regular form of the Bengali plural is  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})$  or  $\hat{a}$ ; the initial letter of which is clided when it follows a noun ending in a vowel. It is not unfrequently clided, even when attached to nouns ending in mute a. This form of the plural is now in practice restricted to rational beings, and even in their case the periphrastic plural has gradually come to be used. Examples of the true plural are—

पुरुष "man," Pl. पुरुषेरा or पुरुषरा.
बालक "boy," , बालकेरा or बालकरा.
राजा "king," , राजारा.
नारी "woman," , नारीरा.

Sometimes a form simply in e, as in Oriya, is used for the

plural, as बोके "people." This is the genuine old plural, of which era is the modern representative. The periphrastic plur. is formed by adding to the singular some word indicative of multitude. The words so employed are the following: गण "multitude," वर्ग "class," सकल, समस्त, सब, "all," समूह "whole," गुल, गुला, गुला or गुलिन, "number." Of these गण, वर्ग, and perhaps समूह, are more commonly used with rational beings, the others with irrational or inanimate things, and गुलि is familiar. Thus they would say, घोडा सकल "horses," हेले गुलि "children, brats." When these words are used to form the plural, they take the case-particles and endings, leaving the noun quite uninflected, thus—

N. Sing. जुक्कर "dog," N. Pl. जुक्कर समूह "dogs."

G. . . जुक्कर समूहेर "of dogs."

Obj. . जुक्कर समूहें "dogs," "to dogs."

Instr. जुक्कर समूह दारा "by dogs."

Abl. . जुक्कर समृह हो हो "from dogs," etc.

And even in words which use the true, and not the periphrastic plural, all cases but the nominative preserve the noun itself from change by inserting fat "side;" thus—

N. Sing. देवता "god," N. Pl. देवतारा "gods." G. देवताद्गेर, or shortened °देर "of gods." Obl. देवताद्गिक "to gods," etc.

Lastly, Bengali being in the verb careless of plural forms, it is idiomatic to use simply the singular for the plural, leaving the hearer to understand what is meant by the context. This is especially the case where a numeral is included in the sentence, as হাড়িও মুচি এই জাতি প্রায় অন্ত সকল জাতির অস খায় Hâri o Muchi ei dui jâti prây anya sakal jâtir anna khây, "Hâris and Muchis, these two castes eat the food of almost all the other castes." Here, though the sense is clearly plural, the whole sentence is actually singular, and would literally be ren-

dered, "Hari and Muchi, this two caste almost of all other caste the food eats." An interesting example of this peculiarity may be adduced from Bharat Chandra's well-known poem, the "Bidyâ Sundar," where he is describing the strange nations collected in the fort of Bardwan (A.D. 1740).

প্রথম গডেতে কালাপোষের নিবাস ৷
ই স্বরাজ ওলন্দাজ ফিরিস্থী ফরাস ৷৷
দিনেমার এলেমান করে গোলন্দাজী ৷
সফরিয়া নানা দ্রব্য আনহয় জাহাজী ৷৷

"In the first fort is the dwelling of the black-coat Ingrâj, Olandâj, Firingi, Farâs, Dinemâr, Elemân, practises artillery, Wanderer various goods brings in ship."

The whole of these nouns are, of course, in meaning plural, but in form singular, agreeing with the singular verbs kare and anaye. The passage, like all genuine Bengali, has a large sprinkling of Persian words, as جہازی سفری ,گول اندازی .

Hindi forms its plural very simply, with its usual practical common sense. Nouns with consonantal ending have the nom. pl. and sing. alike, as alwa "boy," nom. pl. alwa "boys." The oblique plural is in Old-Hindi formed by aff, which modulates into an and are. In the modern language this becomes an. Feminines ending in a consonant form the nom. pl. in t, as tra "night," nom. pl. tra. Nouns ending in a mase., from the \$kr. a-stem, make their plural in t, and the corresponding fem. in a becomes and the corresponding fem. in becomes and the corresponding fem. in becomes and the corresponding fem. in the corresponding fem. in the corresponding fem. in the corresponding fem.

· लडका "boy," N. Pl. लडकी, Obl. लडकों. लडकी "girl," ,, लडकी आं, ,, लडकी ओं

¹ These nations are ourselves and our European neighbours, who were known then, as now, to the Bengalis by their French names, the French being in these days the most important of the foreign settlers. Thus, Ingrâj is "Anglais," or English; Olandâj, "Hollandais," Dutch; Firingi are the Portuguese, and Farâs, "Français;" Dinemâr is a corruption of Denmark, and Elemân are "Allemands," or Germans.

In Old-Hindi the nom. pl. fem. was  $\{ \}$ , as  $\{ \}$  as  $\{ \}$  nom. pl.  $\{ \}$  this form is still preserved in the participle. These few forms are all that Hindi finds necessary to express ideas, while Marathi and Sindhi have not had the skill to reduce their working apparatus into one or two plain homogeneous classes.

Panjabi is also quite uniform and simple in its plural. Nouns ending in  $\hat{a}$  form the nom. pl. in e, all others have the two nominatives identical. An exception is made by a small class typified by **माउं** "mother," which forms its plural **माउं**, though **माउं** would not be wrong for the plural.

Gujarati is extremely uniform, simply adding o to the singular of all nouns of every description. In nouns ending in o, from the Sanskr. a-stem, this o becomes in the plural nom. a, and this was originally the only plural termination for nouns of this stem. But o having become the general type of the plural for all other stems, the popular feeling could not rest content with an anomaly: the plurals in a were not felt to be true plurals so long as they lacked the universal plural sign o, and this was accordingly tacked on; so that we now hear sign; "boy," pl. significant; and neuters of this class, which formerly made their plural in mi, now also take an additional mi, as is "egg," nom. pl. sin.

§ 44. Marathi plurals are multiform, but need not be given here, as they have already been shown in the last section. The plurals of Sindhi, however, which are also multiform, require to be drawn out more in detail. Trumpp exhibits seven classes, which are here given.

There are a few exceptions, or rather words which preserve a Prakritic method of forming their plural, and these will be noticed in the next section.

§ 45. Having now given the facts as regards the plural and oblique forms, we may attempt to trace their origin, and the steps by which they arrived at their present condition. In doing this we naturally look to the Prakrits, rather than to Sanskrit, because these modern languages are in reality developments of the Prakrits, or common colloquial speech of ancient India; and although in the matter of phonesis they have been subjected to influences alien to those which prevailed over the Prakrits, yet in the present instances they are almost strictly Prakritic. In fact, these modifications of the stem, to fit it to assume case-particles, are nothing more than relics of the old case-endings of the early Aryan speech, which have been so reduced by use, that what remains of them no longer suffices to indicate the case-relations clearly, and particles have to be called in for the purpose.

A remarkable family likeness runs through all the plurals

of the uniform languages, and extends to a certain extent into the multiform languages. The difference between the two classes is this, that while the former have selected for use one or two Prakrit forms only, and have applied them to all kinds of stems indifferently, the latter have taken the separate Prakrit form for each stem. In this respect these latter are decidedly behind their comrades, and it may be suspected, and indeed partially proved, that Hindi and Gujarati have centuries ago passed through the stage in which Marathi and Sindhi still remain; though, from the oft-lamented absence of literary monuments prior to Chand (A.D. 1200), we are unable to trace the steps more than by few and faint indications.

The most widely used form of Sanskrit noun is the a-stem; this forms in the nom. plural masc. and fem. ah, neut. ani. The âh of the masc. and fem. is in many of the Prakrit dialects changed into e; this change rests upon the tendency to break down  $\hat{a}$  into e, already discussed in Vol. I. p. 137. In those Prakrit dialects which received most literary cultivation, the Maharashtri and Magadhi, the pl. masc. ends in a; this ending has been preserved by G. and S. as the plural of masculine nouns in o; as G. भालो, nom. pl. भाला (modern भालाग्री), S. id. The ending in e has been adopted by II. P., and, in some cases, by M. also; as H. P. azi, nom. pl. az. In the case of masculines in â, Marathi has both forms, that in â and that in e. Of these two, however, the latter is the regular form, and the former is only found in a few peculiar terms of respect and the like, as aiai "father," nom. pl. aiai, where we cannot ascribe the word to a Sanskrit a-stem, but must regard it rather as an onomatopoetic or fanciful formation. The retention of the  $\hat{a}$  in the nom. pl. of B. and O. is not attributable to the Skr., but is simply due to the general tendency of those languages to reject all sign of plurality in favour of the periphrastic construction with au, or मान, already described.

The special Bengali plural in erâ divides itself into two parts:

the first of which, e, is the old plural form of nouns ending in mute a; and the second,  $r\hat{a}$ , by the fact of its being confined to living beings which possess a distinct individuality, as contrasted with inanimate objects, connects itself with the reduplicated plural of personal pronouns, as  $\hat{a}mar\hat{a}$  "we,"  $tomar\hat{a}$  "ye." The origin of this form will be searched out in the Chapter on Pronouns. It is sufficient in this place to note that this ending, by origin a pronominal one, has passed over to a certain class of nouns, and that it is in this latter usage of modern date, not being found in the oldest literary documents of the language. So modern is it, that in the form  $er\hat{a}$  we have really a double plural, the old ending in e having lost its sense of plurality in the popular mind before the  $r\hat{a}$  was added.

The general preference of the lower kinds of Prakrit, and after them of several of the modern languages, for the form of the mase. pl. in c, rests, in my opinion, on the fact that even in Skr. this is the regular form for the class of pronominal adjectives, as  $\pi \vec{q}$ : "all," nom. pl.  $\pi \vec{q}$ . It is admitted that this method of declension represents an older and more genuine form of the a-stem; and it is in keeping with the general peculiarities of these languages to suppose that they derived their forms from the ancient Aryan language, and left on one side the modifications introduced into classical Sanskrit.

Next comes the neuter plural, which is of special importance, because it has usurped in many cases the functions of all other plurals. In Skr. it ends in âni, as धनं "wealth," nom. pl. धनानि. In Prakrit this form becomes âi or âin, as धणाई or धणाई; "usus addit nasalem," says Lassen, p. 307, but it seems more likely that the nasal is a relic of the न of the Skr. आनि, and it would be more correct to regard the form आई as the older of the two, and to say of the other form "usus omittit nasalem." As early as the scenic Prakrit this form has passed over to the masculine, and we find दनाई as pl. of the masc. दनो. In Old-Hindi, the universal form of the pl. for all genders pre-

serves the Sanskrit form better than Prakrit does, by writing चनि: as नरनि "men," बत्तनि "words." At a later stage the final i is rejected, and the form becomes sin, as alwan "boys." The language, however, does not feel the need of any sign to distinguish the nom. pl. from the sing.; and this termination, which, as will be pointed out later on, has become fused into one with that of the genitive in आना, has remained in use only in the oblique cases, to which the Middle-Hindi adds an 3, making the termination आन्ह. Thus in Tulsi Das we have (A.D. 1650) regularly such plural forms as স্থানের "wrestling-places," एकन्ड "some," चर्नन्ड "feet." The Middle-Hindi poets use all three forms side by side; thus in the same page one sees भक्तानि "devotees," कुमार्न्ड "youths," and घरन "houses." Only in the fem. of words with a consonantal ending is the Prakrit form in use. Thus from आई with anuswâra we get the Old-Hindi form in ain, as the "nights," from which comes the modern form th. The masculines of this form do not change in the plural, and the retention of this ending for the fem. is probably due to a desire to mark the difference of gender. Although the ending आइं is, as we have seen, neuter, yet Hindi has lost the neuter; and this ending had even in Prakrit got loose from the neuter, and was used for all genders, so that its application to the fem. in Hindi is not surprising. Marathi is more exact in using ए, which is contracted from आई, as a neuter, thus preserving the original gender. This tis used for the nom. pl. of neuters of the consonantal noun, as well as those which end in \ and \, and \, which harden the final vowel of the stem into the corresponding semivowel before this termination, as मोतीं "pearl," pl. मोह्यं; तार "ship," pl. तार्वे or तार्वे. In the case of neuters of the a-stem, which already in the singular end in t, the plural does not shorten the Pr. आई into एं, but, rejecting the first vowel, makes the t long, and writes t, as ab "plantain," pl. ab. The same process takes place in Panjabi after feminines in long â, as बला "calamity," pl. बलाई or बलाई आं.

Gujarati has adopted one, and that the most common, of the Prakrit plural forms for other than a-stems, and simply adds to all stems without exception, even to the class ending in o, which already had made its plural in a, so that it now becomes a, as noted above.

In the same way as it retains t as the termination of feminines which end in a consonant, so Hindi uses the Pr. form with in another way after feminines in long  $\hat{i}$  and  $\hat{u}$ . Thus बेटी "daughter," pl. बेटीम्नां; जोरू "wife," pl. जोरूमां, where the final i has been dropped, and the anuswara carried back to the â. The same takes place in Panjabi, as will "daughter," nom. pl. धीम्रां, and is extended also to feminines of the consonantal ending, as गृज "a word," nom. pl. गृज्ञां. Chand uses this form also with masculines and neuters, with य or व inserted to prevent hiatus, and, with his customary disregard of quantity, often makes the â short: thus we see गुरूबं for गुरूबां "spiritual guides," गहुवां "pots." To be connected with this is, I suspect, his not uncommon practice of adding आन, as उरान "breasts," ग्रान "gurus," श्रीतान "observances;" but the passages in which these forms occur are so obscure, that it is difficult to pronounce a decided opinion. It is clearly a plural, however, in the following:

#### महपाप रत द्वान अंध॥

"Addicted to great sin, blinded by riches."—i. 137.

There remains to be deciphered the mystery of the multiform plurals in M. and S. In the former the consonantally ending noun, if masc., undergoes no change; when fem., however, it has two methods, it either makes â or î. This arises from the fact, that fem. nouns of this type are shortened either from fem. nouns in â or i in Skr. Thus all "tongue," from Skr. [ast, pl. [ast]; makes its pl. accordingly all; but and "fire," from Skr. all, Prakrit all, pl. and all. In declining

words of this type in M., it is therefore always necessary to go back to the original, either in Skr. or Pr., in order to ascertain which vowel has been rejected, and thus to know how to form the plural,—a difficult process, which the more advanced languages avoid by simply having one termination for all Even to the natives of the province who have spoken this crabbed language all their lives, this practice causes difficulties; for the grammarians give a long list of words which may be formed in both ways, though, from not knowing the real reason of the co-existence of the two forms, they are unable to pronounce which of the two is correct. Nouns in short and 3 of all three genders make no change in the plural, being rare in the vulgar speech, and consequently not the subject of any regular development from Prakrit. Masculines, except those in a, do not change in the plural. This is a practice which seems to run through all the languages to a greater or less degree, as has been remarked under Hindi; and all neuters of whatever class have a tendency towards to or its prolonged form §. Finally, feminines in § and 5 form their plurals in या and वा respectively, in which we may see the Prakrit plural ending  $\mathbf{a}$  changed into  $\hat{a}$ , as in Hindi singulars. Prakrit, however, does not dislike the hiatus; it makes plurals देवीची, बहुत्रो, from singulars देवी, बहु, where Marathi hardens the stem-vowel into its semivowel, and uses देवा, बहवा.

Sindhi is quite as multiform, though less irregular than Marathi. The masc. in u, corresponding to the consonantally terminated noun of the other languages, forms its nom. pl. in a, shortened from the Prakrit pl. of nouns in o whose plural ends in  $\hat{a}$ , as  $\overline{\textbf{qsp}}$ , pl.  $\overline{\textbf{qsp}}$ . Trumpp (p. 105) points out very justly, that as the singular ending in u is shortened from Pr. o, it is consistent that the plural form should be shortened also; though I cannot agree with him in thinking that the Hindi and P. have done the same, as Old-H. makes the nom. pl. of this class in ani, which has been subsequently rejected, and which,

as we have already shown, is derived from the Skr. neut. pl. in जानि. The feminines of this class ending in a and i have separate forms; those in a, being shortened from Skr. feminines in a, like the corresponding class in Marathi, take either an or an. The former of these is to be accounted for, in my opinion, in the same way as Panjabi feminines of the type गर्म, pl. गर्म, by the passing over of the Prakrit neuter ending आहे into the fem., and not, as Trumpp suggests, by rejecting the o of the Pr. pl. form आयो, because this process would not account for the anuswâra. It is true that M. forms like जीमा, आगी, have rejected the o of Pr. जीमाओ, अगीओ; but then they have not taken the anuswâra, which S. has. I therefore think it more correct to connect Sindhi in this particular with its neighbour Panjabi, than with distant Marathi.

The other form of the pl. in  $\mathbf{5}$  is common to all fem. nouns in the language. No satisfactory reason for this form has been shown. Even if we admit that the o of Pr. plurals has been changed to  $\hat{u}$ , this does not account for the anuswâra, which is too important a feature to have crept in by accident. It may have been extended to the nominative from the oblique cases of the Apabhranśa pl. (see Lassen, p. 464), as is often the case in other languages. The remaining masculine nouns do not change in the plural.

§ 46. Our next business is with the oblique forms, and this is perhaps the most intricate and difficult part of the inquiry. The oblique forms are, like the nominatives plural, remains of the synthetical declension of the Prakrits, and the mystery is not so much what they are, as how they came to assume their present shapes.

We must start from a fact, patent enough in the Romance languages, but not quite so patent in their Indian congeners, though even in them it can be established by illustrations as well as assumed from analogy. It is this, that at an early

period the distinctive case-endings of the synthetic system became confused, and one was often used for another. Some examples of this practice in medieval Latin were given at p. 113 of Vol. I. Now in the Prakrits the first step was the total loss of the dative, and the substitution for it of the genitive. The forms of the other cases also began to approach nearer to one another by degrees as they lost the distinctness of Sanskrit, so that in time much confusion crept in, and the terminations, which had been originally very different, all merged eventually into one form, which constitutes the oblique of the modern noun. The languages show their consciousness of the fact that the oblique form is a relic of the various cases (other than the nominative) of the old inflectional noun, by adding the particles which they now employ to indicate cases to this oblique form, and not to the nominative or direct form.

It is not, in my opinion, correct to derive the oblique from any special case of the Prakrit; it rather results from a general fusion of all the cases. I am here speaking of the singular only; the plural oblique, though analogous to the singular, must be traced separately. Taking first the ordinary Sanskrit a-stem, which comprises a very large majority of the nouns in the language, it appears that, after the rejection of the dative, the Maharashtri, or principal Prakrit dialect, retained only the following scheme of case-endings. N. o, Acc. am, Instr. ena, Ab. âdo, âdu, G. assa, L. e. But the distinction between the N. and Acc. was early lost, and there remained, even in this the most Sanskritic of the Prakrits, only four forms, ena, âdo, assa, and e, for the oblique cases of the noun.

Maharashtri is to a great extent confined to poetry. When it is used in prose, it loses some of its distinctive features, and assimilates to the Sauraseni, the principal prose dialect. In this latter the ablative is found to end in d, and dhi, and in the Magadhi, the genitive has also begun to draw towards this type, exhibiting the termination dh. In the dialect of the

Saptaśataka the ablative terminates in  $\hat{a}do$ , but has also the forms  $\hat{a}$ ,  $\hat{a}o$ ,  $\hat{a}u$ , and  $\hat{a}hi$ . The Bhagavatî has a very similar range of case-endings.

It is, however, especially to the Apabhransa dialect that we must look with regard to the modern languages, as this dialect seems in most respects to be the truest representative of an actually spoken dialect. Here we find a still greater fusion of case-endings, abl. âdu, âhe; gen. âhe, âho; loc. e, i, âhin.

Here we must stop as regards Prakrit, for at this point we reach the gap of many centuries which has not yet been bridged over. When we come to the beginning of the modern period, all case-endings have been lost in most of the languages. The work of fusion went on during that obscure period, and we have no means of discovering how it proceeded.

It is next specially to be noticed that Old-Hindi possesses a singular crude form ending in \$\mathbb{\epsilon}\$, which is applied without distinction to all cases of the singular. This form takes us back to at least three cases of the Apabhransa, namely, the ablative, genitive, and locative, and virtually includes four, as the dative was already fused into the genitive. The universality of application of this termination in \$\mathbb{\epsilon}\$ will be seen more clearly when we come to the Pronoun, which in all languages retains archaic forms with peculiar tenacity. But also in the noun its use is very frequent; thus Chand employs it as a dative, or sign of "direction towards," in

### किहि काज रिषि भायी घरहि॥

"For what cause, Rishi, hast thou come to the house?"

-Pr. R. i. 45.

And as an ablative in

#### को किहि वंसिह जपन्यी॥

"Who (am I) from what race sprung."—ib. i. 167.

Tulsi Das has numerous examples, as सीताहि देखि
"Having seen Sita speak (to her);" इनकी कथा संक्रेपहि कहीं

"tells the story of them in brief" (संचेप); चादिहि ते सब कथा सुनाई "having told all that tale from the beginning."

Now it will be observed that in the general fusion of forms the only two types that remain are the instrumental in ena, which is not changed as far as the data for classical Prakrit go, and the ablative-genitive-locative-dative in ahi; but by the simple process of the elision of the  $\mathbf{E}$ , we get ai, which naturally slides into e. The transition is well shown in the scraps of the later Apabhranéa dialect preserved in old Gujarati, thus—

# षट्टोतर सु बुखडी रावणतणार कपालि॥ एकू बुखि न सांपडी लंका भंजण काली

"A hundred and eight (kinds of) knowledge were in the head of Râvan,

Not one knowledge profited him at the time of the destruction of

Lankâ."

1

Here Transaction is the genitive formed by adding to the noun the termination Skr. तन (Pr. तण), by which the noun is changed into an adjective, and agrees with the governing noun in number, gender, and case. autile (for autile) being in the locative, the termination of the adjectival genitive must be in the oblique form to agree with it, and तण्ड is therefore used, in which ai shows as the shortened form from ahi. At a later stage of the language this is written तण.

The instrumental retains its form of ena in Chand only in Gatha passages; but even in them, for the sake of his metre, he often rejects the na, leaving only the e, and this again he sometimes modulates into aya.

From the above considerations it results that the distinctive features of the case-endings in Sanskrit all, in course of time, melted down into one form distinguished by the ending *āhi*, *āi*, or *e*. We thus account for the fact that in Hindi and Panjabi

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Gujarâti bhâshâno itihas," p. 44, quoted from a poem called Munjarâsa, the date of which is not given.

the oxytone noun in & forms its base in e, as ghora, ghore. The same takes place with Sindhi nouns in o, which correspond to Hindi oxytones in å, as Her "head," Head," Hindi and Panjabi have only this one method of forming the oblique, and, as I have stated before, only employ it in oxytone nouns ending in å. All other nouns virtually end in a consonant, and are not therefore open to any change; they have rejected all inflection absolutely. In mediæval Hindi, words of this class use the form in process of time, this affix was dropped, there remained nothing but the bare stem, incapable of inflection. Even in those nouns which, strictly speaking, end in other vowels than å, the same rule is followed, because these languages take no heed of final vowels, and in speaking, at least, reject them always; and even in writing they are of little value.

It is in the languages of the western group—as might be expected—that the greatest diversity exists, and to them we must now turn. Sindhi takes for the general type of its singular oblique the vowel a, for which Trumpp hints at a derivation from the genitive; but we have pointed out that, before the period of the rise of the modern languages as such, the Apabhransa Prakrit had already nearly obliterated all distinction between the genitive and other oblique cases, bringing them all down to the common form ahi. If this be the case in the written Apabhransa,-which, though wandering far from the central type of Prakrit, must still, as a written language, be supposed to have retained greater regularity than the spoken language,—we are justified in supposing that, in the spoken, a still more complete fusion of all the case-endings must have taken place; and it is not likely that a rude pastoral race would carefully observe such minute distinctions as that between ahi, ahe, aho, and ahin. Moreover, we notice that even in the written language, in one case at least, the final short vowel had been rejected, so that the ablative ends in 4h or 4. Sindhi is

very prone to the shortening of vowels. Thus it would be quite in harmony with the general practice of the language, to preserve out of all these endings nothing more than short a. In masculine nouns ending in u, we have really the consonantal ending of barytones of the a-stem, and it is therefore only what we should expect to find that the oblique of this class should reject the u, which is hardly audible in pronunciation, and conclude with a. Thus दास "slave," obl. दास, may be traced back to a Prakrit form nom. दासी दासु, obl. दासाहि, दासाहे, दासाही, दासाहिं, all of which in the spoken language would fuse into दासहि, as in Hindi, and thence into दासह, and finally दास. The reason why nouns in o form their oblique in e after the Hindi fashion, appears to confirm the view I have taken of the origin of these nouns. When they owe their long final vowel to the fact of their being derived from Skr. oxytones, the presence of the accent on the final syllable prevents the terminations âhi, âhe, etc., from shortening their â into a; all that takes place therefore is the rejection of E, and the termination thus becomes  $\hat{a}i$ , which by a natural process becomes e. Sindhi nouns in short a and i do not differ in their oblique from the nom. This is a further confirmation of the view expressed above. The Prakrit oblique of such nouns would end in ihi, ahi; but the i and a belong to the stem, not to the termination; and when the hi is rejected, there remains nothing, so that the oblique cannot undergo any change. Nouns in long i and i add an a to the stem, which is again a relic of the common form ahi deprived of its final hi. Long before the epoch of the formation of these modern cases, the Prakrit had disencumbered itself of the habit of making an euphonic combination between the final vowel of the stem and the initial vowel of the termination; thus the long i and  $\hat{u}$  hold their place, unchanged by any commotions which might vex the termination.

In Gujarati the only change that occurs is in the oxytone nouns in o, which make an obl. in â. I have often before

mentioned that Gujarati strikes the student as an archaic dialect of Hindi, whose development has been arrested by its isolation; and it would be consonant with this view to regard the oblique form in  $\hat{a}$  as derived from the full Prakrit  $\hat{a}hi$ , by simple rejection of the final hi—a process which we have shown to be followed by the other languages. Beyond this there is no further change for the oblique in Gujarati; the termination in e belongs to the instrumental, which will be explained hereafter.

Marathi remains to be discussed; lumbering along as usual with its old-world Prakrit baggage of terminations, it offers many troublesome problems to the inquirer. In nouns with a consonantal ending it lengthens the mute a into d: as bdp, obl. bâpâ; ghar, obl. gharâ. In Old-Marathi the nominative of nouns of this class, like the corresponding class in Sindhi still, and Hindi and the rest in former times, ended in u, the Prakrit barytone o. Thus we have ई श्वत, कत्, नन्दन्, and the like. The Marathi consequently did not consider words of this class as ending in a consonant, nor does it now; technically these words still end in short a. Not having, like the Hindi, rejected this final vowel, and with it all power of modifying the termination, it has been able to retain the oblique form in â from âhi, merely rejecting the hi, as in the others. This it has been able to do in barytones, whereas the others only preserve this ending, softened to e, in oxytones. Similarly in a certain class ending in long a, it exhibits the oblique in a; but in this case it may be equally correct to regard the  $\hat{a}$  as merely a retention of the form of the nom.; and this is rendered more probable by the parallel case of nouns in  $\ell$  and  $\hat{u}$ , which also, as shown in the table, have no separate form for the oblique. The nouns in long vowels would be unable to form a separate oblique, because the oblique of the Prakrit would merely differ from the nom. by the addition of hi, as ahi, thi, thi; so that when the hi came to be rejected, there would remain nothing. Marathi differs from Sindhi and all

the other languages in being still bothered with notions of sandhi, and still hardens the final vowel of a stem before a termination beginning with a vowel. Thus in a class of nouns ending in  $\hat{a}$ ,  $\hat{i}$  and  $\hat{u}$ , it hardens the vowel before the universal  $\hat{a}hi$  of the oblique, making from  $bh\hat{a}\hat{u}$ ,  $bh\hat{a}v\hat{a}$  and the like. In masculines and neuters of this class, the Prakrit masc. form  $\hat{a}hi$  has been used, leaving  $\hat{a}$ : thus they say,  $bh\hat{a}v\hat{a}l\hat{a}$  "to a brother," which is  $bh\hat{a}v-\hat{a}(hi)-l\hat{a}$ ; but in the fem. the Prakrit fem. is used. In these words so early as the Maharâshtri Prakrit, the fusion of cases had taken place. Thus in the feminines  $m\hat{a}l\hat{a}$ ,  $dev\hat{i}$ ,  $bah\hat{u}$ , we find only the following narrow range of endings:

The abl. differs very slightly in sound from the other oblique case, because e is short in Prakrit, and consequently to the vulgar ear the general type for the fem. oblique would be e. Thence it would result that in the words सासू, स्त्री, after the final vowel had recrudesced into its semivowel, the form of the oblique to be added would not be  $\hat{a}$ , as in the masc., but e, and we therefore find såsavelå, striyelå, which are såsav-e-lå, striy--e-lâ. In this case the Marathi is more sensitive than the Prakrit, for it does not permit the hiatus where the other does. The principle of changing the final vowel into its semivowel having been once introduced, has been ignorantly extendedthrough the influence of that blind groping after analogies which has been so fertile a cause of change in many languagesto nouns ending in  $\hat{a}$ ; and as these have no semivowel of their own, the most frequently used of the two semivowels, **u**, has been applied to them, so that we get an oblique såsaryå from a nom. såsarå. It is precisely on the same principle that the weak declension of nouns and the weak conjugation of verbs have gained so largely, and are still gaining, both in English and

German, on the old strong forms. The sixth declension in Marathi, namely that which we are now considering, is one of the weak declensions in fact, and as such has gained ground on the strong declensions. Another weak declension is that which comprises masculines and neuters in and in, which lose their final vowel and form their oblique as though their nom. had ended in mute a, or in former times in u. Thus vâtsarû makes its oblique neither vâtsarû nor vâtsaravâ, but vâtsarâ, where the final vowel of the nom. has been ignored, and the masc. oblique sign à has been added to a stem vâtsar. On analyzing the words which fall under this class, it becomes apparent that in most cases the final û or ûn is a modern invention, and not organic. They are, first, words compounded with the Skr. 45 and  $\mathbf{u}$ , in which the final u is short, and might thus easily be confounded in Old-Marathi with barytones of the a-stem like देखर, so that they formed their oblique in â, and the lengthening of the final vowel of the nom. is only another instance of the fondness of Marathi for final long syllables: secondly, they are neuters ending in the diminutive syllables and to, which; as I have shown in § 24, are in the other languages st or st, and t or t, respectively, and thus come under the head of oxytones of the a-stem, and the oblique would regularly be â. There is, however, very great irregularity and confusion on this subject, the language not having made up its mind as to which of the three forms available it will use.

Nouns ending in short a, corrupted from feminines in Skr. in  $\hat{a}$ , of which the type is  $\{\overline{a}, \overline{a}\}$ , M. All a, form their oblique by adding e, as All. This is the same rule as that followed in feminines in long  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{u}$ , and the e is the regular Prakrit oblique. Thus the Pr. obl. would be AIAIU; but in this case, as the Marathi has lost the long  $\hat{a}$ , it merely adds the e to the final consonant. This it does also in Tatsamas which retain the long  $\hat{a}$ ; thus AIAI makes AIA. Here I smell the Pandits. I suspect that the nom. had become AIA, as in Hindi, in which case the

but the Pandits have subsequently restored the long â. It is no answer to this to say that HIMI is found in the earliest writings in the language, because, in the first place, the writings are no guide to what the speech of those days really was; no Indian writer could ever resist the temptation to use grander and more Sanskritic words than occurred in the spoken language; the attractions of the so-called sâdhu bhâshâ have always been irresistible; and, secondly, the formation of the oblique form took place long before the earliest writings that we have; and it is therefore quite possible that when the oblique in e was formed, the nominative in current use was HIM.

There is, as before stated, also another method of forming the oblique in use among the nouns of this class, namely that in *i*, which arises from the fact of their being derived from nouns which in Skr. ended in *i*, or *i*. In masculines of this class the oblique Prakrit is in *ihi*, in feminines it is in *ie*, both of which have left in Marathi only *i*. Examples of this class are the following:

Skr. चपि "fire,"	PR. NOM. <b>ग्राविग</b> ,	PR. OBL. <b>प्रागीहि</b> ,	M. NOM.	м. obl. <b>जागी</b> .
Skr. कुचि "belly,"	कुच्छि,	कुच्छीहि,	कूस,	कूसी.
Skr. मुष्टि "fist,"	मुट्टि,	मुट्टीहि,	मूड,	मूठी.
Skr. गोष्ठी "assembly,"	गोट्टी,	गोट्टीए,	गोष्ट,	गोष्टी

This last word is almost a Tatsama; it would be completely so had it not lost the final in the nominative; it is used in the sense of "talk, gossip, conversation," also of "an affair, case, business."

§ 47. We now come to the oblique forms of the plural, which are in all respects simpler and more uniform than those of the singular. Hindi has but one form for all classes of nouns, namely if, which must, I think, be distinctly referred to the genitive of the older languages. Sanskrit forms the genitive of the a-stem in interference to the general statement of the stem of the stem in interference to the general statement of the stem of the stem in interference to the stem of the st

plural of the neuter end also in ani, which I have shown to be the origin of the nom. pl. in Hindi. The similarity of the genitive ending to that of the nom. has perhaps led to its preservation for the oblique cases, as in the period when the old inflectional case-endings had died out, and the use of the modern particles had hardly become fixed, there would be no distinction between the different cases of the plural; and Chand accordingly, as pointed out previously, uses such forms as stim, gain, both for nom. and obl. plural. In his Gâthâ passages, where he employs archaic constructions, we find a genitive in and, as in

## महिलानं मह सह नूपरया॥

"The sweet sound (made) by the anklets of women."-Pr. R. i. 17.

(मह= मधुर, सह = श्रब्द, नूपरया = नूपुरया instrumental of a fem. form  $\circ$ रा.)

Prakrit, in the principal dialect, makes its genitive in said, and extends this form to all classes of nouns, totally rejecting the Skr. genitive in âm used in so many bases. In fact the terminations of the a-stem have, as a rule, completely overridden and supplanted all the others. Hindi has rejected the final anuswâra of the Pr. and turned the n into anuswâra, and this rejection and softening are the probable causes of the present form in wi, the long vowel o having its origin in an effort to compensate for the loss of the n. Panjabi, which is not so sensitive, retains simply wi for the oblique plural There seems to be no room for doubt that the Pr. genitive is the origin of these forms, because the other cases have a different type altogether. Thus the Maharashtri has instr. in ehi or ehin, abl. in sunto or hinto, loc. in esu, esun; and though the Aprabhansa has a different range of endings, yet they do not, on the one hand, approach the Skr. genitive, nor afford, on the other hand, materials for the construction of the Hindi oblique pl., the long o of which is in my opinion to be accounted for by a still further lengthening of long a, a letter which occurs only in the genitive. That the Hindi form is comparatively modern is shown by the fact of its not occurring in any of the middle-age poets, in whose writings the form in wife, we, or wee, is used for the oblique as well as for the nom. This is why I referred at the beginning of this section to the similarity between the nom. neuter in ani and the gen. of all three genders in anam. I believe that this similarity is the cause why no separate form for the oblique was struck out for so many centuries. further confirmation of this view, that Gujarati, with its arrested development, has no oblique form for the plural, nor have Bengali and Oriva, both of which languages must have separated themselves from the central Hindi type certainly earlier than A.D. 1400, as we find Bidyâpati in A.D. 1433 in full possession of a distinct set of forms. The Bhojpuri dialect of Hindi also does not possess the form in wi, but makes its oblique plural still in सन, as लोगन का, etc.; so also the Marwari dialect, which uses only wi, which, like the Panjabi form, is the legitimate descendant of Chand's plural in आन.

By the aid of this view the terminations in use in Sindhi are also explainable. The Apabhransa dialect, which is more especially connected with Sindhi, has fused all its plural endings into a small range of forms, as instr. ehin, ahin, thin, ahin, abl. ahun, gen. ahan, nom. and acc. âu. Only the loc. retains a distinct shape ûsu; and even in this, when we remember the facility with which Sindhi changes I into I, it becomes probable that a form âhu would not be long in making its appearance. Later Apabhransa genitive forms in ihen, uhen, are also found; so that we really get as materials for the Sindhi oblique little more than one form with trifling variations. The oldest and fullest form of the oblique in this language ends in fa preceded in each class of nouns by the final vowel of the Here we have the or or of the Skr. forms wife and आनां, Pr. चाणि and आणं, fused together. The other forms जां, t. are readily deduced from the Apabhransa forms t and t,

the vowel preceding which is merely a variant derived from the final vowel of the stem.

Precisely similar are the Marathi forms, which are strictly analogous to the singular oblique forms of each class, only differing by the insertion of anuswara, which evidently points back to the u of the Pr. gen., and preceded in each instance by the phonetic peculiarities which mark the singular.

On the whole, then, we conclude that in both singular and plural the terminations of the oblique descend from a general form produced by the fusion of all the oblique cases of Sanskrit; but there is this difference between the two numbers, that whereas in the singular no one case has retained its individual existence, or impressed its own special type upon the modern nouns, in the plural the genitive, by virtue of a special strength of type, and by its similarity to the neuter nom., which had usurped the place of the other nominatives, has preserved its individuality, and in a great majority of classes absorbed into itself the other cases. It might also, however, be said that to a certain extent, even in the singular, the genitive has had the preponderance, as the form in &, although its earliest appearance in Prakrit is in the capacity of an ablative, is yet more easily derivable from the Skr. gen. in set than from any other classical form. Thus, although the universal written Prakrit termination is satt, yet it is phonetically more natural that a form we should have arisen, which—by the operation of the tendency to change \(\mathbf{q}\) into \(\mathbf{z}\), a tendency which certainly exists in all the languages, though more extensively in the western members of the group-would become wie. It must be noted, also, that the change took place at a time when these western members were most powerful-Eastern Hindi, Bengali, and Oriya, not having then arisen. The period of the origin of these forms cannot be put later than the seventh century, when the decay of Buddhism brought about those great linguistic changes which laid the foundation of the modern languages; and at that. epoch the eastern parts of India were, as far as we know, comparatively sparsely peopled by men of Aryan race. A phonetic change, therefore, of the character we are now discussing, would naturally be in accordance with the tendencies and peculiarities of the western tribes who then constituted the immense majority of the Aryans.

§ 48. The forms of the oblique are not, however, the only traces which still survive of the old Sanskrit inflections. Simplest of all of these, the locative, which ended in **u**, has held its own down to the present day in many languages. In the Oriya poems this locative exists, as पुरे "in the village," गोप "in Gop," though it has now been superseded by the analytical locative formed by रे, and modern Oriya uses गोप रे or गोप डारे; in the latter of these डा= खान.

In Bengali it still survives, as in कोध "in anger," भरे "in fear," रथ "in a chariot." Here also, as in Oriya, the tendency to an analytical construction led at an early date to the addition of the particle ते, so that in Kaśi Dâs's Mahabharat forms भरे ते, रथे ते, occur, although pleonastic, and often more with the sense of an ablative. After nouns ending in long a, this ending takes phonetically the form of य, as घोडाय "in a horse;" but after nouns in other vowels, the modern termination ते is more usual.

Hindi does not know this locative form: having adopted e as the oblique ending for the only class of nouns in which it admits a separate oblique form, there was no room in its system for the special locative. Gujarati regularly retains it in all cases, with complete disregard of phonetic combinations, so that it is added to nouns ending in a vowel quite as freely as to those which end in a consonant. Thus we have the "in a custom," are "in a tent;" but in nouns of the masc. o-class, in which the oblique differs from the nom., the change of termination is possible, and they consequently write are: "in a day." So

also in the plural, which universally terminates in o, the e of the locative is added, giving oë, no account being taken of the fact that the locative of the plural in Sanskrit ends in **vg**. This is the way with the modern languages. Having got into their heads the idea that a certain termination is typical of a certain case, they stick it on to their nouns all round, overriding the more intricate distinctions of the older languages, and thus gaining in simplicity and regularity.

Marathi has a locative in , universally employed in the older poets, but now going by degrees out of use. The form is the same for both singular and plural, and appears to have arisen from the Pr. locative in which, which in Bhagavatî appears as with, or as Weber reads it with. The later form was probably with, which, by rejection of the a, is, strictly speaking, a portion of the stem, and throwing forward the anuswâra, becomes with Marathi has by degrees got rid of the engthening of the final vowel is the usual Marathi custom. It agrees in practice with Gujarati, in using the singular form for the plural also. This may be pointed out as another instance of the preference of the Prakrits and modern languages for the older or pronominal declension, as this termination comes ultimately from forms like united.

Panjabi resembles Marathi in having a locative in , which, however, is not restricted to the plural, and is not of very general use; thus, at "in houses," wi "in hands." In the singular, a locative in is occasionally found, as at; but this is more strictly an ablative, and I suspect we have here, not a relic of a synthetical case, but an abraded particle, as will be explained in another place.

<sup>1</sup> The corrupt Konkani of Goa uses a locative in  $\mathbb{T}$ , as  $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$  on the bank," from  $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ , Skr.  $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ Z, where classical M. would have  $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ Z. (Burnell's Specimens of S. Indian Dialects, Mangalore, 1872.) This is probably only a shortening of the Skr. locative in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

In Sindhi there is a locative, but only in nouns of the *u*-class (=mute a). It ends in *i*, shortened probably from the Skr. e, as in **\(\frac{2}{3}\)**, and not, as Trumpp writes, identical with the locative termination *i*, because this latter is not used in the declension of nouns of the a-stem, from which the Sindhi u-stems descend. Moreover, the declensional forms of the a-stem have to so great an extent swallowed up those of all the other stems, that we are hardly justified in looking to any forms but those of the a-stem, unless it be the old pronominal forms of words like **\(\frac{4}{3}\)**.

Besides the locative, several of the languages have also a relic of the old synthetical instrumental. This case in Skr. ended in the a-stem in ena; and Marathi retains this form shortened into t, as this termination is identical with that of the nom. pl. of neuter nouns, it has become customary to use a pleonastic construction by the addition of one of the modern particles "by means of," so that they would now write the wardness of Marathi, we are enabled to see in force a process which has occurred in the other languages also at a former time, namely, the gradual wearing away of the synthetical case-ending, and the consequent necessity for employing a particle to bring out the meaning more clearly.

Old-Bengali possessed also an instrumental ending in e, probably arising from the rejection of the na of ena. This ending being identical with that of the locative, was abandoned by degrees in favour of an analytical construction with particles, though it is even now occasionally used in colloquial language. Instances from Bidyâpati, the oldest Bengal poet (born A.D. 1433, died 1485), are as follows: an handard macro that a virtuous woman becomes unchaste through love."—Padakalpataru, 980. Handard and and the macro that love."—Pad. 982. And the macro that love."—Pad. 982.

—Pad. 80. जिन्न नवद्वे करि सासन दान "having made itself a seat by means of its own new leaves."—Pad. 1450. Kabi Kankan (A.D. 1544) also uses this case frequently, as बाहिर्ते हेंड माता संवे कर खांचे "from without all hung down their heads through shame."—Chandi, 149.¹ In the same way it is used in many later poets, so that the existence of the form is well established, though it has been banished from the modern literary style.

Gujarati retains this form of the instrumental in ordinary use, though it, like M. and B., has felt it necessary to have recourse to separate particles to define the meaning more fully. In this latter case, it is, as usual, pleonastic, having, besides the simple form देव "by a god," also the forms देव करोने and देव थी, as well as देव थी. With its usual disregard of the hiatus, Gujarati adds this e to the final vowel of nouns ending in i and u, also to the plural in o, as shown by the examples given in § 41. No traces of a separate instrumental remain in H. or P., though Chand in Gâthâ passages uses the Skr. forms, as stated above.

Sindhi is the only language which possesses a synthetic ablative, as (country," abl. (c), with variant forms (c), c), c). All these clearly proceed from the Sanskrit abl. in MIT, as (c), which in Pr. becomes first MIT) or MIT, then MIT, and in Apabhransa also MIT. The variant forms merely testify to the unsettled state of this rude language, in which, from lack of literary cultivation, dialectic forms abound. It would seem that while the most correct form is (c), the most used is (c), and the anunasika appears to be nothing more than a modern inorganic addition, such as Sindhi is fond of. The first of the two nasals in (c) is also anunasika, and is merely the Sindhi method of softening a hiatus. Inasmuch as this form is purely synthetic, and not a mere case-particle, it naturally takes the place of the final vowel of the nom.; if it were a case-particle, it would not do so, but would simply be

15

<sup>1</sup> This is the page of the Calcutta ed. by Gopal Chandra Chakravarti, 1278, B.Ś. (1871).

appended to the oblique form. The form of the nom. represents the nom. of Prakrit and Sanskrit. Thus at is the modern form of Skr. न्दस; the Sindhi abl. न्दां or न्दाउ represents on the other hand Skr. नरात, and has never had anything to do with the nom. 75. It is, therefore, not a correct way of putting it to say that the final vowel of the nominative is changed or dropped before this ending; on the contrary, it should be said that this case is derived direct from the corresponding case of the older language. In nouns which end in दे, दें, ज, जं, the ablative case results from the custom already adverted to, of using the case-endings of the Skr. a-stem for nouns of all classes. In Sanskrit, while नरस formed its abl. नरात, नदी made, not नदीयात, but नदा:, वधु made its abl. वध्याः; but all this was too complicated for the rustic folk. far the larger number of the nouns in their language were of the type नरस, and the minority were soon made to follow that type So it came to pass that in Apabhransa the common abl. ended in hu or he, with the final vowel of the stem preceding it, as नराइ, नदीइ, or नदीहे, बहहे, or ॰इ. Sindhi goes a step further than this. It knows only one form, आह; and this it simply sticks on to the stem, merely shortening the final vowel by the weight of the termination; thus, नोडी "rope," abl. नोडियां; मिक् "wild beast," मिक्यां. Thus that which was a bonâ-fide synthetical case in nouns of the a-stem, becomes almost a separate case-affix or particle in other nouns. This is, in my opinion, not an isolated instance of this process. If my method of interpretation be correct, there are, as we shall see when we come to the case-particles, several other instances of bonå-fide synthetical case-endings having been broken off from the stem and used as particles. In the plural, this ending has come to be regarded quite as a particle, and is appended to the oblique form of the noun, as घरिनचां "from houses," which is the obl. घरनि+आं. It is easily seen that this ending has no business at all in the plural, as it represents distinctly the Skr. singular

form **ANA**; but so strong is the tendency to extend the use of one good simple form to all possible cases and classes, that no hesitation was felt in tacking the case-sign of an ablative singular on to a form derived from a genitive plural, in order to compose an ablative plural!

§ 49. In striking contrast to the wide range of synthetical forms observable in Marathi and the western languages, stands out the naked simplicity of the Bengali and Oriya, which have scarcely any variations of the stem. With the exception of the now almost obsolete form in e, which did duty at once for instrumental, locative in the singular, and nominative in the plural, Oriva has no modifications of any kind; and Bengali has but one, the nom. plural in  $cr\hat{a}$  or  $r\hat{a}$ . The question then arises, what is the reason of this difference? Why should two members of the group have so thoroughly emancipated themselves from the trammels of the old system, while the others are still so tightly bound up in them? The question seems to be parallel to that of the difference between English and German, the former of which has altogether rejected, while the latter has very largely retained, a synthetical type. In the case of English, whose development lies open before us, we can see the influence of the Norman race, -a race kindred in blood, and originally kindred in speech, to the subjects of Harold whom they conquered, but who had been put through a preliminary training by a long sojourn in France, as though purposely to fit them for the task of raising our rough English fathers to their present high position in the world. To the manly vigour of the old Norse pirate, the descendants of Rolf had added the grace and polish of the vivacious Frenchman. With that teachableness which was so pre-eminently their characteristic, they had sucked in all the sweetness and light which Europe then had to give. They came amongst us as a leaven of cultivation, and they made us what we are. On our language they worked a mighty

change; and it is to this that I would especially draw attention. They taught us by degrees to throw away all terminations as useless, retaining only a very few which were absolutely necessary. Under their guidance, the language softened and simplified itself amazingly. Gender was the first thing to go, artificial gender especially; even natural gender remained only in a few objects, and those indicated by uniform and regular methods. The numerous systems of forming the plural all fused into the addition of -es or -s to the singular, and the case-endings disappeared, till at last our language stood forth clear and active like a trained athlete with his loins girt for the running.

It is something of this sort of influence that we should be disposed to seek for in Bengali and Oriya, and the difficulty of the inquiry is that we cannot find it. We may, however, guess at it, and there are scintillations afforded us out of the gloom of Indian history which confirm our guesses, till at some points they almost touch on certainty. The first of these is the fact, now almost beyond a doubt, of the very modern character of Bengali. The earliest writers in that language, the Vaishnava poets, use a language so much akin to Bhojpuri and the dialects spoken in the castern parts of the area occupied by the Hindi dialects, as to force on us the conclusion that the Bengali itself is nothing more than a dialect of Eastern Hindi. It is not till the beginning of the sixteenth century that we come to anything sufficiently marked to deserve the name of a separate language. Now long before that time, we know that Hindi had cast aside the greater portion of its synthetic machinery. The only relic of the modifications of the stem consists of the e of the oblique of â-stems, as in azī, obl. az. But it has before been noticed that down to a late period this form was not fixed, and the oblique ended vaguely in ahi. After d-stems this would naturally take the form ahi; and the rejection of the hi, which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See on this subject Grimm, Deutsche Grammatik, vol. i. pp. 694, 709 (first ed.), and Rapp, Comp. Gram. Verbal-Organismus, vol. iii, p. 163.

we have seen was a common process in all the languages, would leave an oblique base in  $\hat{a}$  for the  $\hat{a}$ -stems, and in a (mute) for the a-stems; or, in other words, there would be no difference between the nominative and oblique. Further, it must be remembered that Bengali descends from the peasant language of Bihar, in which, as I know from long residence in those parts, it is not customary to form the oblique of the  $\hat{a}$ -stem in e, as it is in classical Hindi. The rustic of those regions will say ghorâ ko instead of ghore ko. The classical Hindi is not based on the speech of the eastern area, but on that of the western, and especially of the regions round about Delhi and Agra. is not surprising therefore that Bengali, an offshoot of the rustic dialect of the eastern area, should be ignorant of this peculiar The crude form in fg is very common in the custom. Vaishnava poets, as ûnahi "to another" (anya), premahi "in love," karahi "in hand," and the like. Perhaps the rejection, so universally occurring, of the hi, arose from its being confounded with the common particle & "indeed," and so being regarded as a mere emphatic addition easily rejected without altering the sense. If Bengali had attained an independent existence as a separate language at the early period when the other languages were passing through the stage of transition from synthesis to analysis, it would probably have struck out a course of action for itself. During all that period, however, Bengal was but thinly peopled, and its language was identical with Hindi, and it therefore partook of the changes which went on in that language. Its independent existence dates from a time when the sentiment of the necessity for indicating various relations of the noun by modifications in the terminal syllable had entirely passed away, and it does not therefore partake of any such changes. This modernness of Bengali must always be kept in mind in considering its present structure, because in recent times the language has been so overlaid with words borrowed from Sanskrit, in their Tatsama shape, that scholars

unacquainted with historical facts have been led to regard it as that member of the Aryan group which most closely approaches to the old classical speech, and to give it the position which is held by Italian in the Romance group. It is time that this misunderstanding should be removed. If we strip Bengali of all the Sanskrit words which have been brought into it during the last fifty years, and examine minutely its grammatical forms, and the true peasant vocabulary, we shall find that it is more removed from Sanskrit than any of its sisters, and it will stand out in its true light as a coarse rustic dialect destitute of refinement and precision.

With regard to Oriya, the same remarks hold good. We know from history that the Oriya race did not enter Orissa from the north, through Bengal, but from the west, across the mountains which separate it from the southern limits of Bihar. Many of the words of the language have the Bihar type of Hindi, and resemble Bengali only in those respects in which Bengali itself resembles Hindi. If we place the immigration of the greater part of the present Aryan element into Orissa at the beginning of the tenth century A.D., on the decay of Buddhism, it will result that the language which they brought with them from the valley of the Ganges must have been already to a great extent analytical; and their subsequent long isolation will account for the retention of forms which the onward march of the parent Hindi has long ago discarded.

In both these languages there is also great reason to suspect non-Aryan influence. Recent inquiries into the component elements of the Hindu population in both provinces lead to the conclusion that a large portion is still, and has always been, non-Aryan. In fact, it would not be going too far to describe the inhabitants of Bengal and Orissa as aboriginal non-Aryans converted to Hinduism by, and mixed up with, an immigrant element of Gangetic Aryans, whose language, religion, and physical type they have, notwithstanding their political in-

feriority, largely and deeply influenced. When the scholar whose non-Aryan dictionary has led us to expect a non-Aryan grammar from his pen, shall have given to the world the result of his labours, we shall be in a position to measure the extent to which the wild hill tribes and rude fishermen of the coast have reacted upon their invaders. At present we are not able to do more than hint at the existence of such influence; we can point out neither its direction nor extent.

§ 50. Marathi possesses a process peculiar to itself as far as the seven languages which we are working at are concerned, but which is also apparent in some of the cognate dialects which it has been necessary to exclude from the present inquiry, as Kashmiri and Pashto. In our seven languages the changes which take place in the stem are confined to the termination, but in Marathi a class of words exists in which internal modification is found. These are principally feminines in two, formed from masculines in **\forall**, examples of which have been given in § 35. These words either reject the long t altogether in the oblique, or retain it shortened to i, or change it to its semivowel च. Thus, Skr. भाविनी, M. भावीण "a female devotee," obl. भाविषी, where the i is shortened according to Molesworth; or Hadi, where it is changed to a according to Stevenson; and in either case the oblique termination in & is added, as in nouns of the form आग, obl. आगो. In cases like this it would probably be more logical to say that the noun retains its correct form in the oblique, while in the nom. it is lengthened in accordance with Marathi custom.

There is a large class of these words, and many others not derived from val are treated in the same way without regard to their gender; thus,

उंदीर (m.) "rat," obl. उंदिरा, उंदरा, and even उंद्रा. क्यांक (f.) "flour," , क्यांक, sometimes क्यांकी. क्यांस (n.) "ear of corn," , क्यांसा.

The Sanskrit originals of these words are set or set, and or after, and after respectively, so that the oblique represents the older form more accurately than the nominative does. The practice has even been extended to words of Persian origin, so deeply seated in the popular mind is the principle of analogy. Thus,

" a pack-saddle." M. खोगीर, obl. खोगरा. "reverence." M. ताजीम " ताजमे and °मी. "a chain-bow." M. लेजीम " लेजमी.

Similarly, in a large number of nouns of all three genders, a long  $\hat{u}$  in the final syllable is changed to a; as,

Skr. बाङ्कुर "spront." M. बांकूर, obl. बांकरा.
युक्ति "arrangement." M. जुगूत "trick," obl. जुगती. '
मचिका "fly." M. मासूक, obl. मासका.

In all these words, and they are tolerably numerous, there is no authority in the derivation for the long  $\hat{u}$ ; and the form of the oblique, in consequence, is due to the slightness of the difference in the vulgar pronunciation between the three short vowels. The above process, it must be explained, is only applicable to words where the vowel is preceded by a consonant; when it is preceded by another vowel, phonetic considerations induce a different process.  $\hat{z}$  becomes hardened to z, and z to z, in such cases, at least in the Konkani dialect. In the Dakhini it is more usual to shorten the vowel, as z "woman," obl. Konkani z z Dakhini z z z Thus Tuka says.

# तुका म्हणे येती बाइले असडे। फुंदोनियां रडे हांसे कांहीं॥

"Quoth Tuka, thus the good wife breaks out, sobbing she weeps and at times laughs."—Abhanga, 567, 3.

And of words in জ, ইজক্ত "temple," obl. Konk. ইৰকা, Dakh. ইৰকা; as again from Tukaram—

### गाय देउळीं देवापासीं

• "Sings in the temple before the god."—Abh. 569, 3.

Of the two forms, the Dakhini, which merely shortens the vowel, is the older and more natural; the Konkani agrees with what I have called above the weak declension of Marathi, which bears evident signs of being comparatively modern. It is, perhaps, a sign of the increased tendency to Sanskritize, that the old hiatus, which neither Prakrit nor any of the moderns objected to, should have begun to be replaced by the semivowel.

§ 51. In noticing the peculiar ablative of Sindhi, I said that it was not an isolated instance of an inflectional case-ending having been detached and used as a case-particle. The other instances of the same process must now be exhibited, as holding a middle place between purely synthetical terminations, such as those of the locative and instrumental of Gujarati, Sindhi, and other languages, and purely analytical methods of indicating cases, such as the particles an, a, al, and the like. They owe their existence to the tendency, arising out of the general confusion and abrasion of case-endings, towards adopting for all cases one good strong form of the older language. It is the Darwinian principle of the "survival of the fittest," noticed in regard to the phonesis of the group at p. 27 of Vol. I., and by virtue of which the strong forms of the neuter nom. pl., and the common gen. pl. आनि and आनां, have usurped all the cases of all three genders of the plural in Hindi and Panjabi. In the Sindhi ablative the form आउ or आ is purely synthetical for the u-stem, but it has, strictly speaking, no business with any other stem; yet it has been applied to all stems indifferently. Consequently, in all but the u- and o-stems, which descend from the Skr. a-stem, it is no longer a relic of the purely synthetical system, but has half migrated into a case-particle. On the other hand, it cannot be classed with case-particles, as kâ, ke, kî, because these latter are modern formations, not derivable from any caseending of Skr. or Prakrit, but independent words fused down into particles. I would therefore put these forms into a separate class,

and call them quasi-synthetical forms; synthetical they are by origin, being derived from Skr. or Pr. case-endings; but they are not so in the manner of their employment, being used frequently in places where neither Skr. nor Pr. would use them, and sometimes even quite detached from the noun, and used with the oblique stem, which itself contains all that is left of the synthetical case-ending.

The first of these forms to be noticed is the Marathi ablative sign हन, which is now used as a case-particle, and appended to the oblique stem, as sing. घराइन, pl. घराइन; it is sometimes contracted still further into 37, and combined with the nom. form, as user. Lassen has long ago identified this form with the Prakrit ablative plural, which has two forms, feed and सुन्तो; from a fusion of both arises इंतो. This form is found in Thus Chand, केतीक दूर अजमेर इंत ॥ "How far Early-Hindi. is it from Ajmer?"—Pr. R. i. 178, and कहत सिंह किहि पुर इतों ॥ "Quoth the Siddh, 'from what city?""—ib. i. 184. Here we have two forms, हत and इतों, in the former of which the softening of the nasal into anuswara is compensated for by lengthening the vowel, and in the latter the anuswara has been shifted forward on to the last syllable. Lassen points out that these two forms are both pleonastic or composite, that in femi being composed of the termination of the plur. instrumental fit, and that in सुन्तो of the plural locative ending सं, with the particle तो, from Skr. तस, an adverbial particle with an ablative meaning, generally indicating "from a place." The form [sai] thus means "from by," and is a causal ablative; that in सन्तो is "from in," and is a local ablative. Of course, with the fusion of the two forms into one, this distinction was lost, and, what is more important for our purpose, the distinction of number was by degrees lost too, so that in Old-H. and M. we have this ending used for singular as well as plural. M. has rejected the final at, and lengthened the vowel, and the anuswara not being merely the anunasika or nasal breathing, but a method of writing

ৰ as the first member of a nexus, having been restored to its full form, the result is the form হৰ.

In Nepali, a Hindi dialect of the eastern area, carried into the mountains by the last king of Simranw and his followers, when they fled there on the capture of their country by Tughlak Shah in 1322 A.D., occurs the ablative form 'सत "from," which may be, perhaps, connected with this form by a reverse process. If hinto and sunto could be fused into hunto, they could just as easily become sinto, and this form would result in sit. Nepali has not yet been studied scientifically, and its phonesis is as yet imperfectly known. In a brief memorandum on the language which I have, a tendency to favour the palatal sounds is observable, as in तिमी "ye," II. तम, and the like; it is not therefore improbable that the form sinto would be preferred to kunto; and by a people so far east as the Nepalis, the preference for F over Would not be felt. There is, however, another theory, which would derive sit from Skr. सहित. This is open to the objection that सहित means "with," whereas sit means "from." As matters at present stand, I do not venture to decide for either theory, but leave the matter sub judice, but with a preference for the former.

To return to Marathi. There is an older form of this case in start, start, the existence of which would seem to militate against Lassen's theory, as it is scarcely possible that hunto should have become huniyân. To this it may be answered, first, that these two forms occur in poetry, and the lengthening may be due to metrical causes; and, secondly, a confusion has probably arisen in the popular mind between this form and that of the Prakrit gerund in start = Skr. in str. In this form also the old M. adds tai, as in the word tightai "sobbing" (Skr. perhaps uters), in the quotation from Tukaram

<sup>1</sup> And for which I beg to express my obligations to Dr. Wright, Residency Surgeon at Kathmândo, who courteously complied with my request for information, by sending this note drawn up by a Nepali Pandit.

in the last section, though modern M. now rejects this termination, and would write फंद्रन. This इया does not seem to be organic, but a mere fashion of a certain period. There is always an element of confusion in words beginning with hu or ho, on account of their resemblance to the substantive verb , which has from an early period sounded ho. It is then possible that the form hunto was regarded as a tense of ho "to be," and the shortened form hûn would be confounded with the gerund होजन; and as this latter was subjected to lengthening into होजनिया, so the ablative form may easily have been at the same period lengthened to sfeat. The principle of false analogies here at work is to be found constantly in all departments of language, and to it may be ascribed numberless eccentricities in the vulgar speech. Parts of the verb ho are used in the other languages as case-signs. Thus Bengali uses its infinitive (originally a locative of the present participle) for होहते, in the sense of "from," a usage only to be explained by supposing the idea to be that of having previously been at a place but not being there now, which involves the idea of having come away from it; thus घर हदते आसिसाम, "I came from the house," would be literally, "in being at the house I came," or, as we might say in colloquial English, "I have been at the house and have come away." Similar is the use of hoke or hokar "having been," in the sense of "through" in Hindi; thus, to express "I came through Benarcs," one would say नग-रस होने आया हं, literally, "having been in Benares I am come." It is not surprising, therefore, that the Marathas should have thought that इन "from" was contracted from होजन "having been;" and were it not for the Old-Hindi forms used by Chand, we might have been prepared to acquiesce in this view. As it is, however, Lassen's theory seems decidedly correct, and has been therefore adopted in this work. To this formation may also be ascribed the wij of Panjabi locatives, as in wit, mentioned a few pages back, which is thus to be regarded as in reality an ablative, and contracted from **et et**. In fact, the locative may itself be called an ablative of place, the meaning "in" being expressed by the ablative in many Aryan languages.

§ 52. Another quasi-synthetical form is the dative in Marathi which ends in 4, and is derived from the Prakrit genitive in 44, Skr. W, the dative having been early absorbed into the genitive in most Prakrit dialects. This form in Marathi cannot be classed with the locative and instrumental as a purely synthetic relic, because it has ceased to be restricted to those positions where it would occur in Sanskrit. In the parent speech, the genitive in asya belongs exclusively to the declension of the a-stem; in other stems, the genitive is formed by the addition of ah, and in some cases ah; the vowel is also rejected or amalgamated in some nouns of the i- and u-stems, and in some few classes the ending is uh; so that the singular genitive type may be generalized as simply visarga preceded by certain vowels, whose variations are determined by the form of the stem. But visarga is too weak a thing to last, it is almost entirely swept away by the Prakrits and their modern descendants, and recourse is had to the stronger form asya, or rather sya of the a-stem, with which also agrees the older pronominal declension. This is by the Maharashtri Prakrit applied to all masc. and neut. stems indifferently, thus

Pr. वच्छ "tree," वच्छसा Skr. वृज्ञसा.
" जिंग "fire," जिंगसा " जिंग सा.
" वन्धु "friend," बन्धुसा " बन्धी:

For feminine stems, however, Prakrit does not use this form, and even for those given above it has an alternative form in for the *i*- and *u*-stems; so that we may trace the ending syathus: in Skr. it is used only for the masc. and neuter genitive singular of the a-stem, in Maharashtri for all singular genitives, masc. and neuter, in modern Marathi for all datives of all three

genders, singular and plural. It has therefore, in the latter, come to be almost a case-particle; but, probably owing to its shortness, it is, as we learn from the grammarians, used in a somewhat restricted manner, especially in the Dakhin or central part of the Marathi area, in which a more correct form of the language prevails than in the Konkan or coast-line. The identification of this with the world of the Skr. genitive would seem to be fatal to the theory which would derive the M. genitive in which, etc., from w. The same form can hardly be the origin of two separate cases. This, however, will be more fully discussed in its proper place.

It is in Marathi more especially that traces of these quasisynthetical forms are found, though even in the other languages faint indications may be seen. They are valuable as supplying a link in the chain of development, and as showing how, as the spirit of inflectional construction and expression died out of the popular mind, the old case-endings fell into inextricable confusion, one swallowed up another, four or five fused into one, an ending peculiar to one case was appropriated to another, the distinctions between different declensions were obliterated, and the languages, like new wine left to settle in the vats, deposited all their sediment, and were racked off clear and sparkling. was all very well for a dreamy old Brahmin, who had nothing better to do with his time than to sit in the shade of a tree and doze over philosophical abstractions, to have a dozen different ways of declining his noun or conjugating his verb; and it was no difficult task for him to recollect each one of a vast growth of terminations and inflections: but life is too short now-a-days for such minutiæ, the business of existence is too varied, and time is too valuable. The modern languages are not objects of pity, as having degenerated from a higher level; they are rather to be congratulated on having known how to bring order and simplicity out of a rank chaotic overgrowth of forms and types, and having thus become fitted for use in these bustling modern days.

Among the particles that are now used as signs of case, there are several which may possibly be classed as quasi-synthetical; but they are not given here, because some doubt still hangs over their origin and real nature. They will be duly noted in subsequent sections.

§ 53. Adjectives partake of the nature of substantives in so far as their form and structure are identical with them, both being nouns, though the former are attributive, while the other are appellative. But as adjectives are generally coupled with substantives, and as these latter are the principal words in the sentence, indicating by themselves the object referred to, it is natural that they should do the bulk of the grammatical work, the adjective being merely appended to qualify the substantive, and not therefore requiring to be so accurately inflected or In several languages the adjective, consequently, undergoes less change than the substantive with which it agrees. Here, again, we have the old common sense system of simplifying as much as may be. In Sanskrit, Latin, Gothic, and the older languages of the family, the adjective was made to agree with the substantive in gender and case, so that each adjective presents a triple declension, masculine, feminine, and neuter. Sanskrit sometimes shirked all this elaborate concord. Its array of declensional and conjugational forms was so formidable that Sanskrit writers themselves seem to have felt the burden of so vast an amount of wealth, and to have endeavoured by various tricks of composition to shake off the load. the necessity of inflecting the adjective to follow all the varying phases of the substantive is to a great extent evaded by compounding the two together by the method known as Karmadhâraya, by which, whether, as is more common, the adjective precede, or, as also occurs, the substantive precede, only one inflection is used. Thus, instead of saying भील उत्पर्त "a blue lotus," they combine the two words into one, producing नीसोत्पसं, and thus,

when they require to use the genitive for instance, and rune expresses the meaning quite as clearly as the longer where saves. Latin has not this power, and every one is familiar with the clumsiness that results when one has to string together a number of adjectives and substantives in the lengthy genitive plural forms -orum and -arum.

All that is really necessary in the inflection of adjectives has been retained in the modern Aryan languages, and much that is not necessary in some. So long as there exists some clear means of knowing which substantive in a sentence any given adjective qualifies, it is only needful to decline the substantive. Confusion can only arise in a few instances. Thus in English, when we say, "I have found an old man's cloak," we may mean the cloak of an old man, or an old cloak such as men wear, as distinguished from such a cloak as women wear; but even in this extreme case confusion can be obviated in our flexible language by a different arrangement of the sentence, and in nine cases out of ten the adjective would by its meaning indicate the substantive which it qualified. If we speak of a "blue sailor's jacket," the word blue can only refer to jacket, as such a thing as a blue sailor would be absurd, and we know that sailors habitually wear blue jackets. Such a language as ours is worthy of a civilized and enlightened race, because by its very absence of forms it assumes that those who use it are people of intelligence and do not require to have their minds guided to the meaning by the leading strings of synthetical forms. A Roman required this aid. To him "cærulea nautæ tunica" was a different thing from "cærulei nautæ tunica;" and had he been unprovided with the help supplied by the variation of the final letter of the adjective, he would have been at a loss what to understand. Thus we may say that synthetical languages are fitted for the childhood of the human race, analytical ones for their manhood.

Bengali and Oriya do not change the form of the adjective at

all, whether for gender or case; the adjective is placed just before the substantive, and one case-ending does for both. Hindi gives to those adjectives which end in û, a feminine in i, and an oblique singular in e, but does not make use of the oblique form of the plural. Thus one would say काले घोड का "of a black horse," and not को बो घोडों का "of black horses," but काले घोडों का. The reason of this is obvious. The adjective having been put into the oblique form, common sense shows that it must refer to the substantive in the oblique form, and there is no need for a closer method of indication. The speaker is supposed to be able to use his wits to this small extent. Panjabi, however, is conscious that its speakers' wits are not sharp enough to be trusted, and the adjective is therefore put through all four forms in each gender; as familiani and all of low castes". (f.), चिटिश्रां घोडां दा "of white horses" (m.). This gives a clumsy appearance to the language, and ought not to be necessary for clearness.

The Gujarati adjective has all three genders with the typical terminations, masc., fem., and ineut. The feminine remains unchanged for number and case, except that it optionally adds to the plural the universal o. The masculine forms its oblique in a, like substantives of the o-stem, and like them has the locative and instrumental in c, which ending is here also allowed to pass over into the ablative; the plural oblique is the same as the singular, giving in consequence a type quite analogous to its parent Hindi. The neuter differs from the masc. only in adding anuswara to the nom. plural. The range of forms may be thus drawn out:

The adjective is thus precisely similar to the substantive of vol. 11.

the o-stem throughout; and from the position which Gujarati holds in respect of Hindi, as well as from the analogy of Panjabi, it may legitimately be inferred that Hindi itself formerly had all these forms, though it has now got rid of some of them. Adjectives ending in a consonant do not undergo any change.

Sindhi declines its adjectives in the same manner as the substantives of corresponding terminations. It follows that adjectives agree in gender, number and case with their substantives, with the exception of that somewhat numerous class of words of Arabic and Persian origin which are not liable to Although the genius of Sindhi requires that every change. word should end in a vowel, either pure or nasalized, and in consequence words derived from Persian ending in a consonant have the short vowel u added to them, as खूबु from خوب , पशी-मान from پشیمان, yet it seems to have been thoroughly understood and clearly felt that this final u was something different from the final u in pure Sindhi words, and it has therefore not been subjected to the changes which the rules of the language exact from indigenous words. The same reason has apparently protected Arabic words, whose un of the nominative, the tanwin of grammarians, had probably died out of the popular speech of the Arabs before the period of their conquest of Sindh, so that the words at that time were as perfectly consonantallyending as Persian words, and had the u given them by the people of Sindh.

In Marathi the adjective takes, as in the other languages, the typical endings, we masc., fem., in neuter, for the singular, and u masc., tem., in neuter, for the plural. This type of adjective is the only one that changes for gender: all others, whether ending in vowels or consonants, remain unchanged. As usual with this language, there is some indecision in the forms used for the oblique. In the majority of instances the adjective merely takes the form  $y\hat{a}$ , in analogy to those substantives in  $\hat{a}$  which insert

the semivowel in the oblique, and which grammarians call the sixth declension. The adjective may, however, take the form e, which is also used by substantives in  $\hat{a}$  as the ending of the nom. plural. Thus they say **near anotherist** or **near anotherist** to a good man," and this pair of endings is used also with fem. and neuter substantives. Adjectives ending in any other vowel or in a consonant do not change at all.

It will thus be seen that there is in all the languages a tendency to deprive the adjective of its full range of terminations—a tendency based on the rational principle that such an elaborate variety of endings as the adjective is capable of affording, if expanded to its full limits, is quite unnecessary for clearness, and may therefore be dispensed with. In this respect even the Marathi, usually so prodigal of forms, has allowed itself to be influenced by practical considerations. Only the uncultivated Sindhi still retains all this useless apparatus, for which, perhaps, among other similar perfections, Dr. Trumpp would call upon its sister-dialects to envy it!

§ 54. The numerals, whose elegant and symmetrical development from the ponderous Sanskrit compounds has been exhibited in § 26, are simple in their declension, taking generally the usual signs of plurality and case when required, but inasmuch as they are strictly adjectives, not often requiring any such signs. In B. and O. they possess no peculiarity; in H. there are only one or two points requiring notice. When special emphasis is required, or a numeral is used with reference to some object previously discussed, the plural form is used; but in this case it is the oblique form in it that is used, none of the nominative forms taken by the noun being customary. Thus we say तीनों भाई बोखे "the three brothers said," alluding to some trio of brothers well known to the speaker. The use of the oblique form is only another instance of the tendency of common forms to extend beyond their proper and original sphere into all and

every other as occasion may serve. The forms of the nom. plural of the substantive vary, but the oblique plural ends invariably in at, and this form therefore has acquired an ascendancy, and come to be regarded as the common and unmistakable note of plurality. As no numeral in Hindi ends in long  $\hat{a}$ , there is consequently no opening for any change for the oblique singular, and we hear एक का "of one," दो को "to two," पचास से "with fifty," and the like. The habit of not using any grammatical forms which are not absolutely necessary has led to the almost universal rejection of all signs of plurality in a noun constructed with a numeral. If we say "fifty houses," it is evident that "houses" more than one are meant; what need is there to put the noun in the plural? पचास घर से "in fifty houses," is quite intelligible without any plural sign. It is thus that language gradually simplifies itself by the aid of a few natural reflections.

In Panjabi it is usual to add the plural sign to a numeral, as **इसां मनुषां दा** "of ten men," but this only in the oblique cases, and it would not be incorrect to omit it. Gujarati follows the Hindi customs in this respect.

All three languages, H. P. and G., treat their ordinals as common adjectives of the oxytone type. Hindi adds the terminations—

Panjabi the same; but it, as well as H. in many local dialects, still preserves the older ending in  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$ , which is derived from the Sanskrit ordinals in  $\mathbf{H}$ : Whence comes the anuswâra I am unable to say. Gujarati knows only the simple ending in m.  $\mathbf{H}$ , f.  $\mathbf{H}$ , n.  $\mathbf{H}$ ; pl. m.  $\mathbf{H}$ , f.  $\mathbf{H}$ , n.  $\mathbf{H}$  or  $\mathbf{H}$ . The anuowâra in H. and P. is probably quite a modern addition, and begins only at the "fifth;" the first four, and the sixth, are regular (see § 27).

Marathi declines its cardinal numerals regularly after the manner of the substantives of similar form; thus एक "one," forms its oblique by adding a, as एका, after the model of masc. and neut. nouns in mute a (§ 41, cl. 1). In the feminine the oblique is formed by the addition of दे, as एकी, like आग, obl. आगी (§ 41, cl. 3). But the numerals दोन "two," तोन "three," and चार "four," take a new form in declension, with crude stems दोनों, तिहों, चारों, which resemble the plural forms such as दोनों, तीनों, in use in Hindi, as mentioned above. When they refer to persons, another set of forms is used, produced by the addition of चे to the shortened bases दो, ति and ची, thus making

This process does not extend beyond the number "four," but is parallel to the practice, in the Bhojpuri dialect of Hindi, of adding नो to a number when it stands alone; thus they say दोनो "two," तिननो "three." And in Oriya the longer form नोटा is in use; thus नोटाए "one (thing)," दुर नोटा "two (things)." In all Indian languages the practice of adding some word meaning "piece, portion," etc., to numerals standing alone, is common. The Marathi here differs from the rest by restricting the particle to persons. In Oriya नोटा means a "piece," and so also does the curtailed Bhojpuri form नो; the derivation is perhaps from Skr. नोचन "family," in the general sense of a class or collection of persons or things.

Sindhi treats all its cardinals as plurals, and, except in one or two cases, inflects them as nouns with a regular oblique. Thus

where the T of the nominative is dropped, as it is in the form

चौ used in compounds. In these three numerals the form of the oblique in द्वान is used; in the rest that in चान, as—

As the words "two" and "four" have no neuter plural in न in Skr., it is probable that the Sindhi forms have been extended to these words from द्विन, Skr. नीणि, gen. नयाणां, Prakrit तिंहं. The Pr. oblique of "four" is चउंहं or चउन्हें, from which चर्नि may have arisen, though we can hardly derive निर्मि from Pr. दोन्हें. It is more probable that the ordinary termination of the oblique plural of nouns has here been extended to the numerals than that these words have been separately formed from Prakrit originals.

The series of tens from 11 to 18, which end in  $\xi$ , drop the anuswâra as well as the a which precedes the  $\xi$ ; thus—

#### यारहं "eleven," Obl. Pl. याईनि.

Similar to this is the Hindi dialectic pronunciation are "twelves," from are "twelve." The numerals in and u, as ata "sixty," and a "ninety," are not inflected; and "eighty," however, takes an oblique ata. When we get among the higher numerals, much of the sense of plurality is lost, and singular nouns are often in all the languages constructed with them.

The word **NU** "one hundred," is in all the languages treated as if it were a substantive, and in Sindhi has a regular declension, as follows:

Nom. Sing. सन्ड, Nom. Pl. सन् or सा. ,, Obl. सन्, ,, Obl. सन्नि, सन्, स्वं, सएं.

The numerals, however, often remain uninflected before a substantive, which saves a good deal of trouble.

'Just as in Hindi the numerals, when treated as collectives, take the oblique plural, as alti alti alti area "scores and scores

of carts," **ৰাজী ৰাজী মিঘাই** "soldiers by lakhs," so also in Sindhi they take the regular plural ending in **\( \)** of fem. stems in **\( \)** (see § 42); thus **\( \)** "ten," makes **\( \)** as **\( \)** "four tens," and the oblique in **\( \)** (a), as **\( \)** as **\( \)** "four tens," and the like. This attribution of the feminine is due to the abstract character of the collectives, just as in Greek τριάς "a triad," ἐξάς "a hexad," δεκάς "a decad," are feminine; but, as far as I am aware, no such change takes place in the other languages. Gujarati expresses collectives by adding **\( \)**, as in Sanskrit. Thus G. **\( \)** and "a triad," Skr. **\( \)** and, G. **\( \)** and, Skr. **\( \)** and so on; but it also adds the neuter sign **\( \)** in supersession of **\( \)**, as in **\( \)**, as in the takes and alone.

Sindhi often adds the emphatic *i* or *hi*, as do also the other languages, to all cardinal numbers, to denote completeness; but Sindhi sometimes incorporates this emphatic syllable into the numeral, and adds the terminations to the word thus formed, as

द्रेई "all three," Obl. द्रिन्ही, द्रिन्हिन.

but

.चारई "all four," ,, चइनी.

The ordinal numbers in all the languages are regularly inflected as adjectives in  $\hat{a}$ : thus H. m. **EATI**, f. **EATI**, obl. sing. **EATI**, obl. pl. **EATI**. But Sindhi has added an anuswara to all its ordinals. The usual inflection of the adjective in **TI**, fem. **T**, must therefore be supplemented in all its forms by an anuswara superadded. Trumpp would derive this anuswara from the **TI** of the Skr. termination **TI**; but this seems opposed to the analogy of the other languages, in which the m of **TI** is preserved, or softened into **T**, with the anuswara still existing, as in H. **TI**. It is, however, in accordance with the general analogy, that the **T** should be clided in Prakrit words, and **TI** softened to anuswara, and we should probably concede to Sinthi greater regularity than to the others, and suppose that it is H.

and P. which are irregular. In the following examples Sindhi certainly answers to Trumpp's derivation :-

٠Ħ. पंजीं Fifth पंचमी but पांचवां. पंचम सत्तमो सतों Seventh सप्रम On the other hand. एक्कारसमी काडी Eleventh एकादश

इग्यारहवां. वीसो Twentieth विश वीहों बीसवां.

In these two latter there is in Skr. at least no TH to start from, and though Prakrit has एक्कारसम, which would give · काही, yet it has preferentially वीसी, which will not account for the anuswâra of वीहों. It is true Pr. has also वीसतिम, which would lead to वीहर्मो; but the Sindhi form of this would probably be वीहें आ, not वीहों, unless we suppose an elision of ए and the throwing forward of the anuswara. In Panjabi, however, we have both the # and the anuswara standing together, as in सत्तमां "seventh," so that the latter certainly cannot here be a relic of the former. Chand's ordinals are as follows:

> 3rd तृती. lst मधम. 5th **पंचम**. 7th सतं. 2nd दती. 4th चवं. 6th 판궁. 8th चरं.

Pr. R. i. 5.

in which, while the final # is sometimes written as a consonant, and at others as an anuswara, there is nevertheless nothing to indicate the source whence the modern terminations arose. The only way that they can be accounted for is by supposing reduplication of the . Further research is required on this point.

§ 55. We now arrive at the most interesting and important section of our whole inquiry into the noun, namely, the origin of the case-affixes. Having rejected the synthetical method as a whole, and retaining merely certain half-effaced traces of declension, our languages have had to betake themselves, like their European relatives, to added particles, in order to draw out

and express fully the various relations of the noun. These particles are placed after the noun, in contrast to the European method, which places them before it; but this practice is in consonance with the order followed by the mind of an Indian speaker, who constructs his sentences always in a sequence directly the reverse of that used in the languages of Europe, so that, in translating from an Indian vernacular, one has always to begin at the end of a sentence, and work backwards. A great deal has been written on this particular branch of my subject, but for the most in a desultory and inconclusive manner; and I hope, therefore, to be able to put together, in something like order and arrangement, the results of the inquiries of others, as well as my own discoveries and beliefs, so that, if the question cannot at once be settled, it may at least assume a more concrete and manageable form.

It may be assumed as a starting-point, that the case-affixes are remnants of nouns or perhaps pronouns, which have been cut down and worn away by use. I think it will be admitted by all philologists that any other assumption would be irreconcileable, not only with the fundamental principles of modern Aryan glossology, but with the universal laws of language. In the wide field of Indo-European comparative philology, the great master Bopp has conclusively proved that this principle everywhere pre-

¹ The difficulty of following the sense of a long passage in a vernacular document which this practice induces, will have struck many of my brother magistrates in India. Take the following, from a Police report: "To day at 1 watch of the day A. B. watchman of village C. brought to the police-station a brass drinking vessel and platter which he found during his rounds last night on the edge of the public road leading from D. to E. at the south-western corner of the pond lately repaired by the Manager of the estate of Raja F." This would run in Bengali thus: "To-day of the day one watch of village C. watchman A. B. of Raja F. of the estate by the manager lately repaired of the pond at the south-western corner from D. to E. leading of the public road at the edge by him last night his rounds during found of brass a drinking vessel and platter to the police station having brought, etc." All the verbs throughout the report are in the conjunctive participle "having done," and at the end of perhaps the fourth page one comes at last to the only finite verb in the whole, "I have reported it"!

vails, and that even the synthetical case-endings of the early classical languages are relics of independent words. It is therefore safe and rational to assume that in the languages of which we are treating, allied as they are closely and indissolubly with the old mother-speech Sanskrit, the same sentiment exists, and the same method of word-building still survives. Throughout the material world we see that the process of reproduction is one of such a nature that it can be repeated time after time for ever. Man begets man throughout the ages, and tree produces tree; the mountains are washed down into the sea, and the forces at work in the bowels of our planet upheave fresh mountains, which are in their turn washed away. So also in language, words originally independent are seized and bound into slavery to other words, become case-affixes, are incorporated into caseendings, and are finally abraded altogether. Then the mind seizes fresh words, and binds them into slavery again, till they also wear out by use; and, if the world lasts long enough, will in their turn pass into case-endings and disappear, and a third set will have to be captured and made use of. The process repeats itself, and the modern Indians, when they had recourse to the words which have become the case-affixes of to-day, only did what their remote ancestors had done before them, when they took\*pronouns and nouns and made them into the terminations which Sanskrit literature has preserved to us, such as -ena, âya. asya, and ât.

Literature, however, has a tendency to arrest the process of change; and the modern languages of Aryan India are so rapidly becoming cultivated literary tongues, that we may suppose that they will not in future develope so quickly as they did in former times. The literature which they possessed before the advent of the English schoolmaster was not of a kind to influence greatly the spoken language, but rather held itself proudly apart, and looked down on the folk-speech. Even in the present day this silly feeling is strong. A generally sensible writer like Bankim

Chandra, the editor of that excellent Bengali magazine the "Bangadarśana," for instance, in writing a serial novel, puts into the mouth of one of his characters the familiar word "diyâsilâi" (meaning a match for lighting a candle); but in the very next line, when writing in his own person, uses the highflown Sanskrit equivalent "dîpaśilâka," though he knows perfectly well that for a thousand Bengalis who understand the former, not ten would know the latter word. It is to be hoped that this sort of nonsense has had its day, and that in all the seven languages literature will by degrees become more natural, and that men will begin to see that there is no disgrace in writing as they talk.

But this is a digression. To return to our subject. It follows from what has been said above that we must look for the origin of the case-affixes in nouns of the older language. It follows also that the nouns in question must have been in use at the period when the modern languages began to be formed,-in other words, they must have been words of the lower and more popular dialects of Prakrit. We should hardly be justified in looking for them in scenic Prakrit, but rather in Apabhransa. argument used by scholars in Europe, that the dialect of the plays and of Hâla's songs must have been a spoken dialect, because players and dancing-girls could not have used a language which their audience did not understand, has in reality very little weight to the mind of one who has lived long in India. It is a curious but quite undeniable fact, that dancinggirls do in the present day sing many songs which only the educated portion of their hearers can understand, or, if the humbler and more illiterate part of the audience do understand them at all, they do so, not because the language is that which they themselves speak, but because it is fine talk, such as they hear their betters use. In an Indian language there are always three or four shades or strata of talk existing side by side at the same epoch. Thus there is in the Bengali of to-day the highly

Sanskritized style of the pandit, the somewhat artificial, but less Sanskritized style of gentlemen of education and refinement, the practical every-day speech of the middle classes, which contains only the simpler Sanskrit words, the strange jargon of the women, and the rough homely patois of the peasantry. It is quite possible for a foreigner to know one of these languages, or strata of language, without knowing the other. It often happens that the English indigo- or tea-planter, mixing only with the lower classes, speaks with fluency the peasant speech, while the high official speaks equally well the dialect of the educated; and the planter cannot talk to a native gentleman in the habitual dialect of the class, nor can the official understand the peasant without an interpreter. These things are so now, and they probably were so a thousand years ago, and, for aught we know, will be so a thousand years hence; and we are therefore justified by experience and analogy in looking to the lower or Apabhransa dialects for the origin of modern forms-all the jargon of Hâla and the plays notwithstanding. I shall now proceed to exhibit the results of such investigations as have up to the present time been made by myself and others, taking each case-affix separately.

§ 56. The Objective. Under this head are classed the accusative and dative of the Sanskrit. Prakrit had already lost its dative, and the modern languages make, strictly speaking, no distinction between the nominative and accusative. There remains therefore no way of designating that form which the noun takes when it is the object of an action but that of "objective." Marathi alone has a distinct quasi-synthetical dative, which, as we have shown above (§ 52), is a descendant of the Sanskrit genitive in asya.\(^1\) In Marathi, however, as well as

¹ The Gipsy language has also an objective in és, which is used only with masculine nouns and in the singular; thus Rom "a gipsy," obj. romés; rai "a lord," obj. raiés; raklo (H. larkû inverted) "a boy," obj. raklés.—Paspati, p. 50. The same form occurs in Kashmiri, as mûul "father," obj. mûlis; nichu "child," obj. nichavis.

in the other languages, there is no sign to mark the object. In H. घर गिर गया "the house fell," we have the same form as in घर बगाया "he built the house." When it is thought necessary to emphasize or express the state of objectivity—a question the discussion of which pertains rather to syntax than to formlore,—the following particles are added to the oblique form of the noun:—

Hindi			ko.	Marathi	•	•	·	la.
Panjabi			nun.	Bengali				ke.
Sindhi			khe.	Oriya .			•	ku.
Gujarati			nen.	Nepali .				lái.

These affixes are the same both in the singular and plural. Of the seven languages H. S. B. and O. fall into one group, P. M. and G. into another.

The H. affix ko is softened from an older form at, which is still in use in the Braj Bhasha and many other rustic dialects. An older form still is at; and the oldest form of all is that found in Chand, as. The form at, which Trumpp¹ hastily affiliates to those given above, I hesitate to connect with them, believing it to belong to a different root altogether. What, then, is the origin of this affix? It is derived clearly from some noun, as I have already shown reason for believing; and the problem is—What is that noun?

Of the use of an it is unnecessary to give examples, as the form is in common daily employ. Similarly, an is to be met with on every page of a Braj Bhasha poem. and is also very common. Thus, in the Ramayan of Tulsi Das—

#### च्छि सिधि संपति नदी सुहाई ॥ उमिंग चवध चंबुधि कहं चाई॥

Ayodhyâ Kânda, 7.

"Prosperity, success, wealth, (like) fair rivers Overflowing, came to the sea of Avadh."

<sup>1</sup> Sindhi Gr. p. 116. The author also errs, in my opinion, in considering the anuswâra in an amodern addition. It would rather seem to be the older form of the two.

# नृप युवराज राम कहं देह ॥

. Ayodhyâ Kânda, 18.

"King, give the heir-apparentship to Râm."

#### चस कहि नार् सबनि कहं माथा॥ चलेउ हर्षि हिय धरि रघुनाथा॥

Sundara Kânda, 8.

"Thus having said, bowing his head to all,

He went rejoicing, holding in his heart Raghunath."

It occurs on an average ten times on a page throughout the poem, and in some of the recent lithographed editions is regularly written कई—a point to which I shall refer again presently. Sur Das uses को, को, and को; but not, as far as I know, कई or कई. It is impossible to speak with certainty, as it would take half a life-time to read through the vast ocean of the Sûr Sûgar.

Chand uses several forms, but the anuswara is retained in all, thus showing that it is not a mere modern addition. His forms are की, की, and कई. We cannot tell how far Chand's forms have been modernized by copyists; but that कई is a true form, and not a copyist's error, is evident from the fact that in the places where it occurs, it is demanded by the metre, and occasionally appears with the final vowel lengthened in cases where a long vowel is wanted. Instances of both कई and कई are—

#### जरी सु सोई तुम एक कर्ज ॥

"He seeks one of you."—i. 88, 9.

#### प्रात समे बर दुजन कड़ां॥ बांटि ऋष्य कर दीन॥

"At morning-time a gift to the Brahmins
Dividing with his own hands gave."—vii. 5, 3-4.

This latter would run thus in Modern-Hindi—प्रात के समय बर दिजों को, बांटकर अपने साथ से दिया.

#### करि दण्डीत सबन कड़ं॥

"Having made obeisance to all."-vi. 38, 2.

#### श्रीसी कहि मो कइं उर पावड़ ॥

"Having thus said, you find (i.e. cause) fear to me."—i. 160, 1.

## प्रिणिराज माहीवे जुज कहं॥ हम परिमालं बुलाइव॥

"For the war with Prithirâj at Mahoba, Parimâl has summoned us."—xxi. 84, 6.

The following theories have been advanced, based on the above, and similar well-known phonetic processes. Trumpp (Sindhi Gr. p. 115) derives from Skr. जत, which he supposes took the form जिते, and he thus elides र, which, on going out, aspirates the preceding consonant, thus producing the Sindhi चे; the Hindi को he derives from जत, through Prakrit forms जितो, जिसो, and जो. This theory fails, as it has been shown above that the older forms contain an इ and anuswâra, neither of which could be got from Trumpp's process, and there is no reason to suppose that को has a different origin from जह. To account for the इ, Trumpp supposes that the च of जत on going out aspirated the following consonant, thus producing जर्च. That जर्च would naturally produce जह, जह, and perhaps even the allied form

कह, is undeniable; the only difficulty is in getting to कर from कतं. There is another way by which, still retaining कतं as the origin, we may account for the इ, namely, by supposing that when कतं had become, as we know it does in Prakrit, कतं and कहं, the next step was to elide the इ (Vol. I. p. 202), thus getting करं; but the place of a single consonant which has been lost by elision is often supplied by इ in Prakrit, and by this process a form कहं is obtainable.

The weak side of all this argument is that and does not commend itself as a probable origin for an affix meaning primarily and generally "towards." Trumpp says that in Skr. হুর is used as an equivalent of हाते in the sense of "for the sake of," "on account of," "as regards." But even if this statement be true of classical Sanskrit, it is doubtful whether the use of क्रतं in this way was ever sufficiently common among the lower classes to have given rise to so very common an affix as the an of the modern objective. It is difficult to see how a word primarily meaning "that which has been done" could come to mean "on account of." With क्रते and क्रतेन there is no such difficulty, because these words mean respectively "in that which has been done," and "by that which has been done;" and the transition from these senses to that of "on account of" is easy, resting as it does more on the acknowledged meaning of the locative and instrumental cases than on the root.

The Sindhi objective affix & khe, can, without doing violence to probability, be admitted as an offspring of san; but the mind is not easily satisfied with the parallel affiliation of an to san.

As far as concerns the meaning, a more probable origin for an is that partially suggested by Hoernle (J.A.S.B. 1872, pt. i. p. 174, et seqq.), who, however, has not traced the steps of the trans-

¹ Weber, Saptasatakam, p. 29. "Es scheint vielmehr das λ in diesen Fallen nur als eine Art spiritus lenis zur Vermeidung des Hiatus gebraucht zu sein, ahnlich wie im Mägadhî der Jaina das y, in einigen Fallen aber auch λ selbst oder v verwendet wird (Bhagavati, i. 399, 409, 411, 426)."

ition correctly. The meaning of a being evidently, in the first instance, "towards," "near," and the like, we are led to look at the analogy of Bengali, in which language and means "near," and is used with a genitive as an independent postposition, as ताहार काई "near him," or "in his possession," or "to him." Now this word काई is from the Skr. करे, locative of कर, and means literally "in the armpit," or, as we should say, "at the side." का becomes in Old-Hindi का ख, and the accusative कर would become first and, then and. As a, like the rest of the aspirates, migrates into g, a form and is legitimately presumable; whence, by shortening the vowel, we get the already established form कहं, with its variant कहं. I confess that this derivation approves itself to my mind in preference to any other. नाउ, as a substantive, meaning "armpit," is in common daily use in the present day. It is a Tadbhava of somewhat later origin than those very early Tadbhavas which have given us the adverbs and case-affixes, and it is therefore no objection to this derivation that the case-affix should have undergone more change than the noun. As a parallel instance may be cited the adverbs like ast, ast, where s is all that remains of the wa of स्थानं, which word, when used as a noun, has kept the fuller consonantal form चान. When used as a noun, the word an loses its final inherent a, and becomes a barytone monosyllable; but the affix comes from the accusative, which is used adverbially, and consequently retains its anuswara. क्वं = काइं, कीं, is equivalent to समं = सीं and खानं = ठाऊं.

काह्रं actually occurs in a place where the metre requires a long vowel, in Tulsi Das's Ramayan, Ayodhyâ Kânda 330:—

# ं विखत सुधाकर चिवि गा राह्न॥ विधि गति नाम सदा सन काहं॥

"In writing the moon Râhu has been written, Fate is always crooked to all," unless we here take and without anuswara to mean "why;" but this is strained, and does not account for सव.

In confirmation of this derivation as regards the meaning, a matter in which Trumpp's derivation fails to satisfy, it may be further added that in modern Urdu the same method is still adopted, though with a different word. • The Arabic word بغل "armpit," is always used in the sense of "near," "close by," "to;" thus يَعْلُ الله "near the house."

Hoernle is, I think, wrong in saying that is derived from Bengali and, as it is impossible to derive a twelfth-century Hindi word from a fifteenth-century Bengali one, and Hindi is the parent, or at least the elder sister, not the daughter, of Bengali; but Hoernle has certainly, in my opinion, indicated the direction in which we should look for the origin of the word, and I believe the steps were as I have shown above; and further research will probably establish the intermediate forms for which we have at present no actual proof. A striking analogy to the assumed genesis of and from and, is afforded by the old H. and B. affix und "beside," "to," which arises from Skr. und, from und "a side," through und and und, which last form is actually used by the early poets.

The Oriya  $\overline{s}$  may be considered as a mere variant of the Hindi  $\overline{s}$ , which itself, in the corrupt dialect of Hindustani spoken in Southern India, sounds  $\overline{s}$ . The Oriyas do not pronounce the  $\overline{s}$  o at all fully, but give it a soft short sound, which it is very difficult to distinguish from  $\overline{s}$ . The Telugus, the near neighbours of the Oriyas, with whom they have for centuries had close intercourse, also mark the accusative by a form  $\overline{s}$ . Telugu, however, being a Dravidian language, is not in any way connected with the languages of our group, though, as it has received a large number of Sanskrit and Prakrit words, there are often great similarities between it and Oriya, and it is ringular that the structure of the verb also is very similar. Dr. Caldwell (Dravidian Comp. Gr., p. 225) asserts the identity of

the two forms; but we have already traced the Hindi ko to an earlier form as, which, whatever be its origin, is distinctly an Aryan word, and has no connexion with the Dravidian affix. The Telugu affix is as often sounded ki as ku, the vowel varying according to the final vowel of the noun to which it is affixed; thus Hari makes Hari-ki "to Hari," but dora "a lord," dora-ku.

Bengali ordinarily in its earliest recorded forms, in the Vaishnava hymns, does not mark the objective any more than early Hindi does. When, however, it is necessary to indicate this case, we generally find it noted by the affix 7, which still survives in the so-called dative of the modern pronoun. this early stage we also occasionally find the Hindi crude affix hi. Instances of ? are common in the Bengali Mahâbhârat of Kâśirâm Dâs-a book much beloved and bethumbed by the ordinary Bengali shopkeeper. Thus वृत्तमूले वसि राजा कहिन भीमेरे ॥ "sitting at the root of a tree, the king said to Bhîma." -414, a.  $5.^{1}$  amula affait and affait with drink (lit. to the making of a drink) water he goes to the lake."—415, b. 25. पानिपाच खये जाय मांनिवारे बारि ॥ "taking a water-vessel goes to fetch (lit. to the bringing) water."—ib. 40. भय पाये श्री क्रणेर डाके गणवती ॥ "Feeling fear the virtuous woman invokes Sri Krishna."—416, a. 2. These examples have been taken from the first page that I happened to open; many hundreds of others may be culled from Bengali poems. It will be seen that in affixing this  $\mathfrak{T}$  to nouns ending in mute a, an e is inserted; thus and, instead of and. This practice results probably from the addition of the emphatic e to the singular nom., instances of which are common; thus Bidyapati तेइन तुवा चनुरागे॥ "of such a kind is thy love."—Pad. 984. U सचि कोई कहिस पन-दोंगे ॥ कान से चिम करिव प्रेमभोंगे॥ "Ah! dearest, why dost thou question? (lit. make the question). Even now thou shalt make the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Calcutta edition, published by Maheshchandra Ghose, 317, Chitpore Road, 1872. The first number is the page, the letters a and b denote the column (there are two in each page), the last number is the line.

feast of love with Kanh."—Ib. This form is really the nomplural; and when used in the singular, thus naturally carries the idea of abundance or emphasis—an idea, however, which soon faded, leaving the poets free to use the s pleonastically whenever it suited their metre.

The explanation of the origin of this form is, according to Trumpp, with whom I agree, to be sought for in connexion with that of Marathi, Nepali and the other languages using an affix whose characteristic is , to which we shall come presently.

The modern Bengali uses instead of  $\mathfrak{F}$  the form  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Now Bengali is very prone to softening  $\mathfrak{A}$  into e; in ordinary conversation one constantly hears this pronunciation (Vol. I. p. 142). Thus **wiferi** "I have abandoned," will most usually be so uttered as to sound *chhëriyë dilëm*. It may therefore be safely assumed that the affix  $\mathfrak{F}$  is changed from an earlier  $\mathfrak{F}$ , shortened from  $\mathfrak{F}$ , which we have above taken as the origin of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Passing from the group whose characteristic is a, we come to that whose characteristic is a, of which the most important member is Marathi. In that language the dative affix is a, and in the earlier language and and angle, allied to which is the Nepali and, obtained by elision of the a, whereas Marathi has rejected the whole of the last syllable.

From the root wa there is a large host of derivative forms, both verbs and particles, in all the seven languages; and as the root means primarily "to stick," it would naturally be adopted to express the idea of nearness or adhesion, which is very suitable to the objective. The simplest form in which it occurs is perhaps Hindi wa, meaning "up to," "as far as;" but owing to the facility with which wa and wa interchange, Hindi has in some rustic dialects an affix or wa, originally wa, used with the dative. This still survives in the neighbourhood of Delhi, as, for instance, in the proverb quoted by Elliot (Races of N.W.P., vol. i. p. 5):—

#### चपनी बोई चाप खाएं हाकिस ने न दे दाना

"What they sow they eat themselves, and give not a grain to the ruler."

It also survives in G., which marks the objective by i. The form is found in Chand:—

# वासप्पन पृथिराज नें॥ निमि सुपननार चिह्न ॥—iii. 3.

"In his youth to Prithirâj,
In a dream at night (came) a sign."

and

## पृथिराज सुनि कुंचर ने ॥ जाप बुकाए हित ॥—v. 13.

"Hearing it, Prithirâj himself Invited the prince kindly."

This is, I now think, the correct translation, though I formerly translated the passage otherwise (J. A. S. B., vol. xlii. p. 165), which translation led me into difficulties about the construction, which are obviated by taking in a the objective.

Marathi has lengthened the vowel of the root, but Hindi has not; and it is to a participial form soft, the meaning of which would be "adhering to," "close to," and finally "to," that we must attribute the present affix. soft becomes soft, and then soft, so, and soft. The anuswara would thus be an inorganic addition, common in Hindi. From soft a regular Prakrit form of the relative participle, by a similar elision of soft and conversion of soft into soft, comes the Panjabi objective soft soft soft this is the form soft, contracted from soft, in Old-Hindi used in the sense of "up to," "until." Thus Kabir:

#### कहं सी कहीं सचेते गयक ||--- Ramaini, s. 46.

"How far shall I tell (of them)? they have gone into unconsciousness," literally "till where?" And again

# ती की तारा वयमंगे की की उने न सूर॥ ती की विय कम कर्म वस की की म्यान न पूर॥

--- Sakhi 201.

"So long (only) shines the star as the sun does not rise,

So long (only) do worldly works suffice as knowledge is not full;"

literally "until that (time) shines the star until which (time) the sun does not rise."

In a precisely similar way Chand uses and, as

#### कहां जगि जघुता वरनवीं ॥

"How long shall I describe my inferiority?"-i. 22.

The other change to which was is subject, namely that into \(\tau\), yields the Bengali objective \(\tau\).

In Gujarati works the affix of the objective is sometimes written without the anuswara, and thus resembles one form of the genitive affix; but it will be shown hereafter that the resemblance is accidental only.

§ 57. THE INSTRUMENTAL. This case possesses a special importance in the seven languages, from the fact that in most of them, owing to the peculiar system of prayoga or construction which prevails, it takes the place of the nominative before verbs in the past tenses—a practice which makes these languages in this respect difficult to foreigners. In the cumbrous Marathi especially, the correct use of the prayoga is a sort of pons asinorum to beginners, and even in some instances to natives themselves. The forms of the instrumental are these:

Hindi . . . . ne.

Panjabi . . . nai.

Marathi . . . nep, ep, ésp, pl. nsp, hsp, ésp.

Gujarati . . . e.

Nepali . . . . . le.

Sindhi has no form for this case, but uses the simple oblique or crude form without any affix; so also do the early and middle age Hindi poets, as will be shown in the latter part of this section. Gujarati, in addition to the e, which may also be regarded as originally merely the crude form, has also certain pleonastic affixes; thus it writes देवे करी, देवे करी "by God," "by means of God," also देव की, or देवे की, which latter is strictly an ablative, and will be considered under that case.

Bengali uses the direct prayoga or construction in the past tenses of verbs, and has no need of an instrumental to take the place of the nominative; but when it requires to indicate instrumentality, the literary language employs such words as anga, artua, err, usa; while the common speech uses the participles of the verbs "to do" and "to give," artu "having done," [zu "having given." Both high and low alike also borrow the affix of the locative a, as in Gujarati the ablative.

Oriya, like Bengali, has only the direct prayoga, and, like it, supplies the place of an instrumental by att and similar words, and colloquially by at "having given," and such like words; but the instrumental is very rarely used in Oriya, the locative affix t usually supplying its place.

The Gipsy uses sa in the singular and ja in the plural, but as a pure instrumental only, and not as a substitute for the nominative.

In those languages in which the past tense of the transitive verb requires the instrumental construction, the verb does not under those circumstances agree with the nominative case. There seems, however, to be an exception to this rule, if my informant, a Nepalese pandit, be correct, in the Nepali language. The pandit gives the past tense thus:

में से खायां "I ate," हामी से खायुं "we ate."
ते से खार्स "thou atest," तिमी से खायी "ye ate."
उस से खायो "he ate," उनी से खाया "they ate."

In this paradigm we see that the verb changes its termination in each person, whereas in Hindi it remains fixed, thus: अं ने खाया, तू ने खाया, etc. The peculiarity of the Nepali usage is undoubtedly to be explained by the fact that this form of the instrumental has become so completely identified with the nominative as to be mistaken for it by the vulgar; and in all probability, as I shall endeavour to show, the use of the ले (= Hindi ने) is a mere modern custom, and originally the direct construction was used, and they said म खाया, त खारस.

Although the question more strictly belongs to syntax, yet, in order to establish the correctness of the theory as to the origin of the instrumental affix which will be brought forward in this section, it will be advisable to give a brief description of the question of prayogas as they exist in the modern languages.

The prayogas are three in number: kartari, karmani, bhûve, which may be Englished respectively, subjective, objective, and impersonal; and what they are will be understood from the following Latin phrases:

Kartâ. Rex urbem condidit.

Karma. A rege urbs condita.

Bhâva. A rege urbi conditum est.

In the first the verb agrees with the nominative case; in the second it agrees with the object, and the subject is in the instrumental; in the third the verb is impersonal and neuter, the subject in the instrumental, and the object is generally in the oblique form.

The Karta prayoga is generally employed in the present and future tenses; the other two in the past tenses. In Marathi the potential, however, takes the Bhava as well as the Karta; and in all the languages except Marathi the Karma and Bhava constructions are restricted to transitive verbs.

Having thus briefly stated the general system of construc-

tions, we return to the instrumental case of the noun, which, it will be seen, occupies the place of the subject in two of the constructions. It is, in the first place, necessary to observe, that in Gujarati there is an additional form of construction, in which the subject is in the dative case; and this is, strictly speaking, the Karmani construction: for in native grammars the dative, as well as the accusative, goes by the name of Karman or Karma, just as we have in these pages called them both the objective. The construction with the instrumental would more accurately be called the Karane, Karana being the name for that case. Secondly, not only in so archaic a language as Gujarati is the dative used indifferently with the instrumental in the frequently occurring constructions noted above, but in Nepali the forms of the case-affixes are very similar, the dative having with, the instrumental ; and the same similarity exists between the instrumental the Old-Hindi objective and the न of the Modern-Hindi instrumental; and so also, while ने is the sign of the dative-objective in Gujarati, it is the sign of the instrumental in Marathi. From these considerations it would seem to result that the two forms are identical in origin, and have been confounded with one another by the vulgar. For, as regards Hindi and Panjabi, certainly my own experience is, that the objective and impersonal constructions are never properly understood by the unlearned, and in the rustic dialects of the Eastern-Hindi area are more usually omitted altogether, and the direct or subjective construction employed.

It would be out of place here to go into more detail on a question of syntax; but it may be noticed that the participial form with, with its variant with, which is almost if not quite proved to be the origin of the case-affix it, is often used with a very wide range of meanings, and with great laxity of application,—as is natural from its meaning, which may, without violence, be diverted to many uses. Thus in Old-Bengali it is used in the sense of "on account of," "for," as—

# कि चाँगि सुंद्रि बदन द्वापयसि ॥ हेर्ज चेतन मोर् ॥—Bidyapati in Pad.

"For what, O fair one, dost cover thy face?

It has ravished my senses."

It is, however, necessary to inform the reader, that the theory above stated is not the generally received one; or, as these languages have never yet been studied scientifically, it would be more accurate to say that it is not the theory held by the few scholars who have looked into the question. Trumpp probably means his remark upon p. 113 to be taken as a mere obiter dictum, and it seems not to have occurred to him that there were serious objections to his derivation. He and others state that the affix न, with its variants, is derived from the case-ending of the Sanskrit. The instrumental in Sanskrit ends in ena in the case of a-stems; and down to a late stage of classical Prakrit, this ending is preserved, and occurs even in Chand in Gâthâ passages, and, as stated in § 48, survives in Marathi as t; where the final a has been weakened to anuswara. In Gujarati, also, the e of the instrumental has been mentioned in the abovequoted section as a quasi-synthetical termination; but it will be observed that this ena loses its n, and that we cannot in any way get a out of it, unless we suppose a termination an to start with, which does not exist. In general, the modern languages, throwing aside the complications of the various Sanskrit inflections, adopt those of the a-stem only, and the few traces of the synthetic system that still survive are, without exception, to be referred to that stem. We should hardly be justified in looking to the terminations of any other stem for the origin of modern forms; nor in this case would it much help us if we did: for, in all but the a-stem, the instrumental termination is wa; and although in masc. or neuter stems ending with a vowel an w is inserted, making the whole termination of, yet this of is regarded by Bopp, i. § 158, as euphonic only, and not an organic portion of the word. Even from ¶, however, we could not get the anuswâra of ¶; and if this affix were really, as the theory assumes, a relic of an old synthetical caseending, we should certainly find it in full force from the earliest times; whereas, on the contrary, the modern origin of ¶ as an instrumental with the objective construction, has struck many scholars, and is, I believe, now generally admitted. Some instances may here be adduced of this construction in the older poets to show that they did not use an affix ¶ or ¶ as an instrumental.

Chand uses the direct or subjective construction even with the preterite of transitive verbs; thus—

### दुह बार बुझ्यी राज ॥ दुज दियी न उतर काज ॥—Pr. R. i. 49.

"Ten times the King asked,

The Brahmin gave no answer in the matter."

—where, in modern classical Hindi, we should have राजा ने पूछा and दिव ने दिया.

Where the subject is a pronoun, it is often put in the oblique crude form, and the verb agrees with the object, as—

# तिन रचा कीनी मुं दुज ॥—і. 136.

"He protected the Brahmins."

Here तिन is plural oblique, and कीनी the old form of the preterite fem. of करना, agreeing with रचा; again—

# जिहि रचे सुरग भूसत्त पाताल ॥—i. 11.

"Who made heaven, earth, the seven hells."

The various nouns agree with  $\overline{\quad \quad }$ , which is neuter plural; while  $\overline{\quad \quad }$ , the subject, is oblique singular. In the passage above quoted, i. 49, occur two lines close to each other, each with a different construction—

# जिहि हत्यो श्रप्य मो तात गर ॥

"He who killed the snake on my father's neck."

and in the next line but one जो हत्यो श्राप. In the first we have the agent in the oblique जिहि; in the second it is in the nominative जो—

# सुज चाव चंदेल सु कीनौ॥ यह परिमाल लिष्यौ करि दीनौ॥—xxi. 124.

"Good speed the Chandel made,

(Saying) 'Parimâl hath written this,' he gave it into his hand."

Here the agent is in the nom. singular, although the verbs कीनी and दीनी, being both preterites of transitive verbs, would in Modern-Hindi require the objective construction.

Coming down to later times, we find Kabir employing the oblique construction without  $\widehat{\bullet}$ .

## जिन्ह कलिमा कलि माह पढाया॥ कुद्रत घोजि तिन्हें नहि पाया॥—Ramaini, 38.

"He who taught the Kalama in the Kali Yug,
Having searched, did not find the power (of Allah)."

जिन्ह and तिन्हें are both plural oblique; but the construction is irregular, as the Arabic words کله kalama and قدرت kudrat are both feminine, and we should expect पढाई and पाई.

Perhaps it would be unfair to expect such a refinement from the weaver-sage, who wrote his language as he found it, without troubling himself much about the words he used. The fact that Kabir was a man of the people, and not a pandit, gives us great confidence in his writings as evidence for the way the ordinary folk of those days talked; and this confidence would be greater could we be sure that his writings had not been tampered with by meddling scribes. Again, he uses the direct construction in

# मसि कागद कूयो नहीं कलम गही नहि हाथ॥ चारो जुग माहात जेहि कविर जनायो नाथ॥

-Sakhi 183

"Kabir touched not ink or paper, he took not pen in hand; He made known the lord to whom is glory in the four ages."

Here कावर is nominative to the three transitive preterites कूरो "touched," नहीं "took," and जनायो "made known;" and with regard to the first verb, its objects are मिस, which is fem., and कागर (کاغن), which is masc.; the second verb has for its object कंसम (خام), which for some reason is always fem. in Hindi, and the verb is fem. also, and thus agrees with its object. It must be observed, however, that the word Kabir would, if treated as a Hindi word, have the same form in the oblique as in the nominative, and we may thus fairly regard it as oblique in this place. The verbs would then all regularly agree with their objects, as in the Karmani construction; in which case, if Kabir had known of the modern use of as a sign of the instrumental, he would doubtless have used it. Another—

## े मैरोयो सब जगत को मोको रोवैन को इ॥ मोको रोवैसो जनाजो सब्द विवेकी हो इ॥

-Sakhi 176.

"I have wept for all the world, no one weeps for me,
That man weeps for me who contemplates the word."

Here के, though used in Modern-Hindi as a nominative, has not yet lost its true force as instrumental of the first personal pronoun, of which हों (अहम) is the true nominative.

The use of as an instrumental is quite unknown to Behari Lal, in whose Satsâî it does not occur once. Tulsi Das is equally ignorant of it, as—

#### जब रघुनाथ समर रिपु जीते॥

"When Raghunath conquered his enemies in battle."—Aranya-k. 265.

Without prolonging this inquiry by adducing any more

examples, it may be said, as a general deduction from the practice of the Old-Hindi poets, that they are ignorant of the use of as an instrumental case-affix, and use the objective construction, as a rule, with the oblique form of the noun, indicated, where there is any oblique form, by the affix e; and that in this respect Sindhi, Gujarati, and, as far as we know anything about it, Old-Panjabi, agree with Hindi, while one at least of the Marathi forms of this case is a relic of the Sanskrit instrumental. It would thus appear that, on the decay of the synthetical system, and the fusion of all the case-endings thereof into the one oblique form of the analytical system, no trace of the instrumental as a separate case remained, and its place was supplied by the objective for many centuries. A partial revival of this case took place at a later period, probably about the reign of Shah Jahan, when the form 3, hitherto used for the dative, began gradually to be extended to the noun when used as the subject of a transitive verb in the past tense, and thus a came in High-Hindi to be used as an instrumental.

The reason for fixing the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries as the period when this innovation occurred is, that, as my learned friend Professor Blochmann<sup>1</sup> has shown, it was about that time that the general admission of Hindus to offices under the Empire began to bear fruit in the Persianized form of Hindi which we call Urdu; and this new phase of the language was based, to a great extent, on the dialect of Hindi spoken in the provinces adjacent to the Court, in which the form was in use as a dative.

Marathi supplies yet another argument in favour of the theory now under discussion. In that language the instru-

<sup>1</sup> I wish to take this opportunity of paying my tribute of respect to this profound scholar, whose knowledge of all that pertains to the Court and Government of the brilliant Mughal dynasty of India is singularly minute and accurate, and whose splendid edition and translation of the "Ain Akbari" is the most valuable contribution to our information on this subject that has yet appeared, displaying an industry, depth of research, and range of knowledge, worthy of the highest admiration.

mental in t, which has been shown to be a relic of the Sanskrit un, is felt to be too weak by itself to express the instrumental relation proper, as distinguished from the merely constructive instrumental; and where direct instrumentality is required to be expressed, we find a case-affix added: thus, "by means of a weapon," is भारते करन, where भारते alone is not felt to be explicit enough. On the other hand, F, so far from being felt to be an old half-abraded synthetical case-ending, is recognized as a distinct word, and has even yet hardly taken its place as a case-affix, but is often used as a postposition attached to the genitive case: thus we have such forms as त्याचा नं = "by him," where are is genitive masculine. It must be borne in mind that there are three grades of formative additions to a noun in these languages. First, and oldest, those affixes which are remains of the Sanskrit case-endings and are fully and completely incorporated with the stem and inseparable from it. Second, and next in point of time, those affixes which have been adopted to supply the place of case-endings when these latter had become so much abraded as no longer to mark case-relations with sufficient accuracy, and which are not integral portions of the stem-word, but are attached to its oblique form. Third, and latest, those adverbs, particles, and postpositions which are recognized as independent words, and are attached to the stemword after it has already received its case-affix. It will be seen that the degree of coherence of these three grades is exactly in proportion to their antiquity. Consequently, when we find in the third of these categories, we cannot admit it to be a relic of the synthetical system, because, if it were, it would be in the first category.

Marathi has plural forms for all its methods of forming the instrumental: thus—

In this particular, popular usage seems to have extended to all three affixes a plural which was originally appropriate only to one; and the use of n in the singular is parallel to that of the ablative form हन, which, as shown in § 51, has been extended to the singular from being originally plural only: for aff must be taken to be originally a plural also. The form at is a synthetical relic, being merely a lengthening of the Prakrit &, Sanskr. भिस् (Lassen, p. 310); भी is more correctly written सी. and is, I think, another instance of the connexion between the instrumental and the dative. Such a word as देवाशी, or देवासी, "by a god," would correctly be divided देवास + हैं (for हीं), and not देवा + सीं. Hoernle has shown (J. A. S. B. vol. xlii. p. 61) that the form देवासाठी, used as a dative in Marathi poetry, has similarly been treated as though it were देवा + साठी, which is erroneous, as there is no such word as साठी. The word should be divided देवास + ऋाठीं; the latter word being a Prakrit form of अर्थे "on account of." In these two cases we have a construction exactly parallel to that of with above, where the affixes are only in the third degree of cohesion, and are attached to the genitive of the noun: for, as explained above, § 52, देवास, though now used as a dative, is really देवसा = Skr. देवसा. Lastly, for the confusion still existing between the two cases may be cited the curious construction still common in Panjabi in such phrases as उस नै आउणा सा = "he was to have come," literally "by or to him to come it was"="illi veniendum erat." or "ab illo veniendum erat," where we may call उस ने a dative or an instrumental, as we please. Native grammarians call it the latter.

The above considerations leave no doubt in my own mind of the truth of the theory that the forms of the instrumental caseaffix now in use are originally datives which have been transferred to the instrumental. Other similar cases of the affixes of one case having passed over to another will be met with as we go through the remaining affixes. § 58. THE ABLATIVE.—The terminations of this case in Sindhi and Marathi have already been shown to be remains of old synthetic case-endings. Marathi has no other method of expressing the sense of "from;" but it, like all the other languages, uses the oblique form of the noun, together with a long string of affixes, or rather postpositions, to express meanings which it has been customary with grammarians roughly to class together under the head of ablative.

Strictly speaking, however, the ablative is that case which expresses procession from, or, as it is called by Sanskrit grammarians, आपादान; and I shall here therefore only notice those affixes which convey the meaning "from." These are—

Hindi . . . तं, ते, ची.

Panjabi . . . ते.

Sindhi . . . . खां, खां, खां.

Gujarati . . . थी.

Bengali has no form for the ablative, but uses a postposition हर्ते, which has been explained above, § 51. The origin of the form ते appears to be the Skr. adverbial ablative तस्, as in यामतस् "from the village," in Pr. तो, where the o has been softened through â to e. In Chand it is often written तं, as—

#### ता के कुल तें उपानी ॥

"From his race sprung."—i. 164.

#### तुम नहीं कहं जीव तें बध॥

"Say ye (and) 1 make him slain from life."—i. 178. (i.e. give the word and 1 kill him).

I think the anuswara here is merely an inorganic addition, as it so frequently is. Concerning the origin of van, there is much obscurity. No scholar, as far as I know, has as yet thrown any light on it. The most probable supposition is, that it is of the

same origin as त, but with the particle ह added, so that ची would stand for तही, the vowel being lengthened. This appears to be Vans Taylor's idea (Gujarati Grammar, p. 64); but he seems also to think the form may have arisen from a fusion of the two forms of the Prakrit ablative in आत, आद, and ह, which is possible, but not probable, as आत is too old a form, having passed into आइ and आउ before the period of the origin of Gujarati.

For the Sindhi खां, etc., Trumpp offers no satisfactory account, merely remarking that it is allied to the objective sign खे (इते), but with the termination of the ablative आं, ओं, etc., added. More will be said on this point when considering the postpositions.

The Oriya ব appears in this form in the earliest documents we possess, and a fuller form डाव is common in modern times. It will not, I think, be contested that we have here the Prakrit ablative in आदु; so that the forms would be Skr. खानात, Pr. टाणादु, टाण्ड, टाव.

The Hindi affix  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ , usually applied to the ablative in modern writings, does not really mean "from," but "with," and comes under the head of postpositions; but as it is now used as a case-affix,—that is, with the oblique form of the noun, and not, as pure postpositions are, attached to the genitive or other case,—it will be better to consider it here.  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$  is softened from an older form  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ , still used constantly in the rustic dialects of Hindi, and this leads us back to the full form  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ , which is the Sanskrit adverb  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$  with." Chand uses it after verbs of speaking, just as  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$  is used in Modern-Hindi—

### वहै दूत प्रिथिराज सम॥

"Quoth the messenger to Prithirâj."—xii. 16.

#### कहै कंति सम कंत॥

"Quoth the wife to the husband."—i. 7.

in which latter instance it precedes the noun to which it belongs.

Sindhi has forms at, and a; Gujarati at or a, used in poetry in the general sense of "with," though in some grammars erroneously called a locative, probably also belongs to this group; and in Old-Hindi we meet with an intermediate form at, to which correspond the Sindhi forms are and aug. In Old-Bengali we meet with at, which, however, is probably for at, the form used in the modern language. Thus Chandi Dâs (A.D. 1460) has—

यमुनार कुले कदम्बर मूले मिलिल ग्रामेर सने ॥—Pad. 1307, 26.

"On the banks of Yamuna, beneath the Kadamba tree, she met with Shyama."

and Kâśi Dâs (Mahâbhârat 415, b. 6):

### कार सने बने युद करे तिन जने॥

"With whom in the forest fight the three men?"

Tulsi Dâs uses सन in his Ramayan frequently—

### तिहि सन याज्ञवलका पुनि पावा॥

"With him Yâjnavalkya afterwards found (it)."—Bâla-kând ! 1

### मैं पुनि निज गुरू सन सुनि॥

"I afterwards having heard it with my own guru."—w

#### विजटा सन बोली कर जारी ॥

"She spake with Trijaţâ, clasping her hands together."
—Sundar-kând, 300.

§ 59. The Genitive.—In all the seven languages, and in most of the subordinate dialects, the system that prevails for this case is to add to the oblique form particles which vary their terminations so as to agree with the governing noun. In other words, the genitive case-affix is adjectival, and agrees with the governing noun just as an adjective would, so that, as has been frequently remarked, the construction is not that of the Latin patris equus, but that of paternus equus. Bengali and Oriya having lost all gender and all means of marking the oblique form, have also rejected as useless the adjectival form of the

genitive; but it will be shown that the affixes which they use are closely allied to those used in some of the other languages. The forms are as follows:

Hindi .			kû.	Marathi .			chû.
Panjabi			dû.	Bengali .			er.
Sindhi			jo.	Oriya .			ar.
Goiarati			no.				

The first five languages inflect this form. Thus Hindi has का, के, को; Panjabi दा, दे, दो, दिआ; and so with the others. Bengali and Oriya remain unchanged; and omitting them for the present, it will be seen that the principle of making the genitive case of the noun into an adjective runs through all the other five. It also prevails in the allied dialects. Thus Marwari has दो, दे, etc.; Mewari को; the Konkani dialect of Marathi को, को, को, etc., as well as चो, चो, चे; Kashmiri संद, संदि, संज, etc.

The genitive is the most difficult of all the cases to account for; and, as there has lately been considerable discussion about it between high authorities, one cannot but approach the knotty subject with some trepidation, taking as our starting-point the modern Hindi forms an, an, and. When the governing noun is mase, singular nominative, the genitive takes the affix an, as aluan vithe horse of the father." The affix and is used when the governing noun is feminine, no matter what be its number or case, as alu and visit "the mare of the father;" alu and visit "the father's mares." When the governing noun is masculine, but not in the nominative singular, and is used, as alu and visit and vite and visit and vite and

It may next be noticed that there is evidence to show that a letter \(\mathbf{T}\) has dropped out of all these forms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cunha-Rivarà, Grammatica da lingua Concani (Goa, 1859), p. 38.

The Bhojpuri, a widely-spoken rustic dialect of Bihar in the eastern Hindi area, has in its pronoun of the third person the genitive affixes art, at, though I have never heard art. With this agrees the language of the Chinganas or Gipsies of Turkey, whose genitive is formed by koro, which does not change for gender or number, though the closely allied adjective in koro, which Paspati (Tchingianés, p. 53) distinguishes from the genitive, does. Thus, Balameskoro manush, "a Greek man," Balameskori gaji, "a Greek woman."

The Marwari dialect, as spoken in the present day, uses, as mentioned above, the forms रो, रे, री: thus आयो में रामगढ रो वाख्यो राजारो फोतादार "Here come I the merchant of Ramgarh, the Raja's treasurer;" फोतादारी करी राजरी जीसुं पदवी पाई "I hold the treasurership of the kingdom, from which I have acquired dignity."

Nepali has  $\overline{\mathbf{an}} = \Pi$ .  $\overline{\mathbf{an}}$ ;  $\overline{\mathbf{an}} = \Pi$ .  $\overline{\mathbf{an}}$ ; and  $\overline{\mathbf{an}} = \Pi$ .  $\overline{\mathbf{an}}$ . Nepali having set up as a distinct language on the formation of the Hindu kingdom of Kâthmândo in A.D. 1322, we should expect to find it perpetuating the Hindi of the date when it separated from the parent stock, and we are thus led to conclude that, as early as the fourteenth century, the  $\mathbf{T}$  had dropped out of many rustic dialects as regards the noun; while as regards the pronoun, it is retained in all of them to the present day; and, with the exception of M. and S., the genitive of all the personal pronouns in all the languages of this group is formed by an affix whose typical letter is  $\mathbf{T}$ .

One step further back from modern times takes us to our only authorities for mediæval Hindi—the poets. These eccentric gentlemen are very fond of omitting case-affixes altogether, and stringing in one line a number of nouns in their crude form, leaving the reader to make sense of them as best he may. I am not here alluding to Chand, but to writers far more modern than he, as Tulsi Dâs and Bihari Lal. When, however, they do

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Marwari Khyâls, p. 3, ed. by Rev. J. Robson, Beawr, 1866.

condescend to use case-affixes, they use several separate sets of forms.

First, and commonest, are the forms now in modern use: का, के, की; but of these का is generally and in the best editions written को or की. From this we gather that this affix, whatever its origin, is undoubtedly an adjective of old standing, the form को being the older form of the masculine nominative of a-stems, which always end in o in Prakrit, and still retain this o in G. नो and S. जो, as well as in Konkani चो. Examples are:

### की जारा नगर निमिष माहीं

एक विभोषण की घर नाहीं ॥—Sundar-kând. 305.

"He burnt the city in a moment, Only not the house of Vibhîshan."

### की जिन्ह की कीन्हेसि ग्रमित बढाई ॥-ib.

"Of whom he has made unlimited boasting."

### कै सुनज्ज विभीषण प्रभु के रीती ॥ -ib. 298.

"Hear, O Vibhîshan, the lord's custom."

In this last instance (1711), though feminine, has the masculine oblique form of the genitive; and this would seem to show that in was used with the oblique of both genders, for (1711) is here the objective, though without the case-sign.

A few instances may be taken from the Bhaktamâla of Nâbhaju (circa A.D. 1600, the tîkâ is a little later):—

### ऋषि वामदेव पिक्षं पूके नामदेव जूसों दूध को प्रसंग ऋति रंग भर भाष्टियं ॥—Bh. 133, 1, tika.

"Came Vamdeb afterwards, he asked Namdevjû, 'Tell me in full the very pleasant account of the milk."

### कोक काव्य नवरस सरस मुंगार को सागर॥

"A new zest in amorous poetry, an ocean of impassioned love."
—ib. 44, mil.

# नरसिंघ को अनुकरण होई हिरनाकुस मार्यो ॥

"In imitation of Narsingha, he smote Hiranakus (Hiranyakasipu)."
—ib. 49, mú/.

Extremely common in Tulsi Dâs is the form at; this is noticeable because affording a connexion with the Bhojpuri and the Gipsy koro. It is not declinable, and does not therefore make the genitive into an adjective. Instances abound, the following are a few:—

### कपि कर बचन सप्रेम सुनि॥

"Hearing the friendly speech of the monkey."—Sundar-kând. 300.

### सब कर त्राजु सुद्यत फल बीता॥

"To-day the fruit of the good deeds of all has passed away."—Ay.-k. 343.

### बेगि हर्ड मृढ कर प्राणा॥

"Quickly take away (this) fool's life."—Sundar-k. 304.

In some copies a feminine form aft occurs; but this is written at in others.

### कपि करि ममता पूंछ पर ॥

"The pride of a monkey is in his tail."—Sundar-k. 304.

### श्रवण सुनत श्रठता करि बाणी॥

"Hearing with his ears the word of treachery."—ib. 309.

Kabir uses this form-

# नहि ककु होति दिवस ऋक् राती॥ ताकर कहुङ कीन कुंल जाती॥

"There was there no day nor night,

Of him (i.e. the Creator) tell what is the race and caste?"

—Ram. vi. 5.

It is not, however, frequent in his poems; and, when it occurs, is chiefly used with the pronouns, as in the lines just quoted.

At this point comes in Bengali with its genitive in ut, which, like Tulsi Dâs's genitive in at, does not change for gender or case. In Old-Bengali this case takes the affix at, which still survives in certain adjectivally used forms; but, in accordance with modern Bengali pronunciation, now takes long â, and becomes at. Thus they say, usant "of to-day," animat "of to-morrow," or "yesterday," in such phrases as

"your letter of to-day has arrived," "the affair of yesterday;" also तथाकार, एथाकार "of that place," "of this place." Oriya genitive in we also belongs to this category. In the singular it has lost its 3, because in Oriva the final a of stems ending in that letter is preserved, so that we must read घर ghara, not, as in H. or P., ghar. From this it results that when the affix at was added, the a stood alone between two vowels, and was, according to the general rule, clided. It ought to have thus produced ghara+ar=gharar; but the tendency of Oriya is to shortening unaccented vowels, and, whatever may have been the place of incidence of the accent in ancient times, in the present day it is certainly on the stem-syllable in ghárar.. Consequently, instead of gharar, we get gharar. In Bengali the same thing occurred, and the present form of the genitive in er is, in all probability, another exemplification of the often-remarked tendency of this language to corrupt & into e. This view is supported by the fact that, in many cases where custom had previously led to the rejection of the final a of the stem, the genitive even in Bengali is formed by ar, as in Oriya. If further confirmation were needed of this origin of the Tgenitive, it would be found in the Oriya plural, where the a is still preserved. Oriya, as above noted, § 43, adds to the stem the word मान, to form the plural. This word takes in the nom, the form माने for animate, and मान for inanimate objects; and in the oblique मानन, which is probably for माननि, like the Old-Hindi plural. Adding to मानन the genitive form कर, we get the modern Oriya genitive मानद्वर, wherein the क has been preserved, quite according to rule, by being incorporated with the preceding nasal. Bidyapati sings-

### कुलकामिनी छिनु कुलटा भैगेनु ताकर बचन लोभाइ।—Pad. 984, 5.

"I was a virtuous woman, I have become unchaste through delighting in his words."

In his poetry, however, as in Kabir's, this form is more

frequently met with in the pronouns; in the genitive of nouns he generally drops the  $\mathsf{T}$ , and uses only  $\mathsf{T}$ : as—

### सुजनक प्रीति पाषाण सम रेहा ॥—Pad. 985, 2.

"The love of a good man is like a line (engraved on) stone."

### प्रेमक गुण कहब सब कोइ ॥—Pad.

"Every one will say (it is) the quality of love."

### यैक्ष्मे बाढत मृणाजक सूत ॥—Pad. 109, 6.

"It increases like the fibres of the lotus."

To be classed together with these forms is, I apprehend, the Marathi affix कर, denoting "a resident of," as in चिपलुंकर "a man of Chiplun." Here also I would place the Marwari रो, where the initial क has been rejected, and the still further corrupted Mewari ठो, and Konkani लो.

Passing on from at and its variations, we must come to a fuller form at, at. This was first pointed out as a genitive by Hoernle in his articles in the Journ. As. Soc. B., and I have since found additional confirmation of his view from other instances. The two passages which were first noticed are in Chand.

# कियौ नद नीसान फीजें सु फेरी ॥ भिदी दिष्टि सीं दिष्टि चाज्जवान केरी ॥—xxi. 29, 9.

"The kettle-drum made a noise, the armies wheeled,
The sight of the Châhuvân was lost from view."

That is, the two armies lost sight of each other from the dust they raised. The second passage is very obscure, and the rendering is tentative only; there is, however, no doubt about the genitive.

# दौरे गज ग्रंधं चाज्ञवान केरी ॥ करीयं गिरदनं चिहीं चक्क फेरी ॥—xx. 141,7.

"Blindly ran the elephant of the Châhuvân;
Making a circuit, he surrounded (the enemy) on all four sides."

There are other passages also where this form occurs. In fact, it is used whenever a rhyme is wanted for the preterite of

फेर्ना "to turn." Kabir, however, uses it in the middle of a verse :—

# मानस केरि श्रथाइ या मित कोई पैठे धाइ॥ कुइ षेते चरत है बाघ गदहरा गाइ॥—Sakhi 153.

"The society of human beings, into that let no one hastily plunge; In one field feed the tiger, the ass, and the cow."

### तामस केरे तीन गुन भीर लेइ तह वास ॥ एके डारी तीनि फल भांटा जब कपास ॥—Sakhi 142.

"Of ignorance are three qualities, the bee (i.e. life) has there taken up his abode;

On one branch three fruits, the brinjal, sugar-cane, and cotton."1

### सावन करा मेघरा बुंद परा असमान ॥ सब दुनिया वैष्णव भई गुरून लाग्यो कान ॥—Sakhi 77.

"(As from) the clouds of Srâvan drops fall from the sky,
All the world has become Vaishnava, giving car to the gurus."

(Here असमान = گنیا, दुनिया = گنیا). Tulsi Dâs also knows this affix, thus—

# भये बज्जत दिन ऋति ऋवसेरी ॥ सगुन प्रतीति भेंट प्रिय केरी ॥—Ayodhyâ-k. 53.

"For many days there was great expectation,
Omens and certainty of a meeting with the friend."

# सुनि ग्रस उक्ति पवन सुत केरी ॥ बिहसे रघुपति कपि तन हेरी ॥—Lankâ-k. 6.

"Hearing this speech of the son of the wind, Smiles Raghupati looking at the monkey's body."

### भूत्रां देखि खर दूषण केरा ॥—Aranya-k. 265.

"Seeing the smoke of (the bodies of) Khara and Dûshana."

The form at, with its changes for gender and case, is also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is one of Kabir's obscure didactic utterances. He means that ignorance, or rather the condition of unassisted human nature, has the three qualities of sattva, rajah, and tamah, in which life, compared to a bee among flowers, is sunk. It is, he says, as if one branch should bear such different products as those mentioned.

in use in Old-Gujarati, and the range of forms is fuller than in Hindi; thus we have—

Illustrations are—

#### चंपक केरी बेटडो ॥ मे राखो के घेर॥

Samul Das, Padmavati, 64.1

"I have kept at home the son of Champak."

### हकम होय हजूरी केरो शोषी नाखं बाधो सायर॥

"Let there be an order of Your Majesty, I will suck the sea dry."

—id. Angadavishthi in Kûvya-d. i. 23.

### जाइवी केरा तरंग तजीने तटमां जाद कृप खादे रे

"Having left the waves of Ganges, he goes on to the bank and drinks from a well."

-Narsingh Meheta in Kâvya-d. ii. 4.

It is a well-known and frequently used affix in Gujarati.

To complete the range of illustrations, I may add here a remark which was accidentally omitted from its right place a page or two back, that Bidyapati's genitive in a, formed by omitting the  $\tau$  of  $\tau$ , is also found in Old-Hindi. Thus, Tulsi Dâs has—

#### पितु त्रायस सब धर्म क टीका ॥—Ay.-k. 334.

"(To obey) a father's command is the crown of virtue."

And it occurs in Chand:-

### हरि सु उद्धरे क्लिक महि॥

"Hari can save in an instant."—i. 60.

In this place মন্থি is = মন্ত্ৰ, and is used in the third grade of cohesion with the genitive of the governed noun, so that ছিলক is a genitive (ছিল = খ্ৰাড), and ক is shortened from কৰ্ or ক্লো.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From Lecky's Gujarati Grammar, p. 250.

The various facts that have been adduced have brought us up to the edge of the gap of the dark ages; and, before leaving terrà firma for the region of analogy and the cloudland of inference, it may be as well to sum up what we have gained.

Hindi forms its genitive by affixes which produce an adjectival construction; these are का, के, की. Of these का is written को in Nepali, and in many rustic dialects, also in mediæval poetry.

Bhojpuri has a pronominal genitive in  $a, \bar{a}$ . Marwari has  $\bar{c}$ ,  $\bar{c}$ ,  $\bar{c}$ . Tulsi Dâs and other writers have  $\bar{a}$ , with a feminine  $\bar{a}$ , which is doubtful. Oriya has  $\bar{a}$  in the genitive plural, and  $\bar{a}$  in that of the singular, which latter is due, as is also the Marwari  $\bar{c}$ , to loss of the  $\bar{a}$ . Bengali had  $\bar{a}$  in pronouns, and has  $\bar{c}$  in certain adjectival formations; and its present genitive  $\bar{c}$  is formed from  $\bar{c}$  by loss of  $\bar{c}$  and softening of the  $\bar{a}$  (resulting from the coalition of the final  $\bar{a}$  of the stem with the initial  $\bar{c}$  of the termination) into  $\bar{c}$ , more suc. The gipsies have preserved the  $\bar{c}$  and the  $\bar{c}$  both in their genitive koro, which, when used as an adjective, has a feminine keri.

Old-Hindi and Gujarati have a fuller form of this genitive affix—करा, करी, करे.

Beyond these facts, there is, as far as we know, nothing further to be adduced; and the question now is, what is the origin of these forms? The form at is found in one of those scraps of Apabhransa saws which Sastri Vrajlal has quoted in his admirable little work. He unfortunately does not give the author's name, and gives the date as Samvat 1551 (A.D. 1495), which is very modern for Apabhransa; but the language of the lines is older than this date:—

# कासी केरो आफरो दासी केरो नेह॥ कंवल केरो बंधणो झटिक देखाउद केह॥

"Constipation at eighty-six, falling in love with one's female slave, Wrapping oneself in a blanket, show that death is near."

This might pass for Old-Hindi or Old-Gujarati; and it is noteworthy that the language is precisely identical with that which Hemachandra writes about as a Prakrit. It may, therefore, be permitted to us to regard the form at as one which ascends into the Prakrit stage, or that of decaying but still existent synthetical forms, and it is consequently a form which has not yet become a mere affix, but still retains something of the nature of an independent word, and, as such, has a meaning of its own. We shall see, as we go further back in point of time, that in a slightly earlier age this word is capable of being used alone as an integral constituent portion of a sentence.

There has lately been a controversy between Hoernle and Pischel on this very subject; and in a work like this, which professes to lay before the reader such deductions as the author has been able to make from his own observation, and such facts as are generally admitted by scholars, it would be out of place to enter into a lengthened argument upon questions which are still subjudice. After reading and testing as far as I am able the arguments of both sides, the conclusion that I have come to in my own mind is that Hoernle is right, and that the objections of Pischel refer rather to details than to the general argument. The reader may judge for himself, either from the original articles (J. A.S. B., pt. i. p. 124, 1872; Indian Antiquary, vol. ii. pp. 121, 210, 368), or from the following brief, but I trust accurate, abstract of the arguments.

The Prakrits have a word kera, which is used in the plays in the sense of "done by," "connected with," "belonging to," and the like. It is to this word that the genitival form at is referred by the writers above mentioned. It also occurs as keraka. Hoernle derives it from the Skr. past participle an; Pischel, following Lassen and Weber, derives it from karya. Hoernle's process is as follows: and becomes in Prakrit attal, just as and becomes attal and and changes to utal; then the a drops out according to rule, leaving attal, which is the same word under a slightly different method of spelling as Chand's attal, a form in use all through mediæval Hindi.

करियो or कर्यो makes केरो just as naturally as ग्रायर्थ makes पक्रो through पक्रियो (see Vol. I. p. 135). Pischel seems to mistake Hoernle's meaning, and to labour under the disadvantage of knowing nothing of the modern languages. A question like this is not to be settled, like a matter of Greek or Latin scholarship, merely by collating texts of written works. He urges that keraka is far too modern to have undergone "so vast and rapid" a change as that into Bengali er. But, unless the whole of this work which I am engaged on is wrong, the most striking feature of the seven languages is precisely the "vast and rapid" change which they have undergone"; and, in this particular instance, a change that took fifteen centuries to effect is hardly too rapid. He relies on Lassen (p. 118), who, however, admits that his reason for doubting the derivation of केरक from क्रत is merely the absence of an द which could form ए in the first syllable by epenthesis. Hoernle has shown how this might be, and has proved the existence of intermediate forms करितो and करिद्यो. The derivation from कार्य is a sort of pis aller, a casual thought which appears to have occurred to Lassen when he was hunting for an analogy to aścharya=achchhero. It does not seem to have struck him or Pischel that the meaning of káryam will not in any way produce a genitive, while that of krita will. Krita means "done;" and to take as an instance the line of Tulsi Das quoted above, निप कर बचन = निपन्नतं बचनं "the speech made by the monkey," is a phrase which would easily glide into "the speech of the monkey," while the phrase कपिकार्यं बचनं would require to have its meaning violently wrenched before it could be got to mean anything of the kind. I cannot perceive the drift of Pischel's objection founded on the fact that keraka is always inflected. Of course it is, and so is its descendant Gujarati केरो, केरो etc.; and it is this very quality of being inflected that makes it suitable for an adjectival genitive affix: It is further urged that in the later Prakrit dialects keraka becomes kelaka. This does not concern us, because the later

written Prakrits are as artificial as Sanskrit itself, and probably were utterly unlike the spoken language.

It may then, as a conclusion, be safely stated that Skr. BAR becomes in I'r. artal, then art and artal, from which we get artal and art, and that from this, shortened into art, comes the Mod.-Hindi an; while from art, rejecting the final vowel as useless, in the two languages which have dispensed with gender and case, the Bengali forms art and ut and the Oriya art and art are derived. It is possible that the Mewari and Konkani art may be similarly derived from area; but I prefer to regard them as modifications of an original th, such as we have in Marwari.

Gujarati has for its genitive affix a series—नो, नो, नुं, etc. The early form of this affix, which is found also in Apabhransa, is तथो, तथों, तथों. Instances are—

### ध्यान धर हरितणुं ऋल्यमति ऋालमू॥

"Fix thy thoughts on Hari, () thou of little wit, indolent."
—Narsingh Meheta, Kâvya-d. ii. 1.

(Literally, "grasp the meditation of Hari.")

# सरस गुण हरितणा जे जनो ऋनुसर्या। तितणा सुजग्र तो जगत बोले॥

"The man who has cultivated the excellent virtues of Hari, The world celebrates his glory."—ib.

# द्रूपदी केरि जञ्जातणे कारणे दारिका थी धशा एक श्वासे॥

"On account of the shame of Drupadi, he rushed from Dwarika in a breath."—ib. ii. 5.

In this line both forms of the genitive are used, that with करी and that with तथ. Another instance from the Apabhransa was quoted in § 46. Another is—

#### महाराज लंका तशो, एम भाखे।

"The King of Lankâ thus speaks."—ib. i. 16.

Side by side with this affix, the modern affix in नी, etc., occurs;

but this does not prove that it is not a later form of त्या, because the poets habitually employ archaic forms side by side with those of their own day, as we have seen in the quotations from the Hindi poets in this Chapter. Nor does the change of to च present any difficulty, as Gujarati is very lax in this matter, and in common parlance, like Hindi, uses च in places where U is etymologically correct.

Bearing in mind that the principle which runs through the genitives of all these languages is to apply to the noun some affix which shall give it an adjectival sense, it will not seem strange that each language should have selected its own affix from the extensive range of adjectival affixes which Sanskrit offers. In the present instance it is natural to look to the affix तन, in such words as सनातन "perpetual," from सना "always;" पुरातन "ancient," from पुरा "formerly;" नूतन "new," from न "now;" चिरंतन "old," from चिरं "long ago." न is generally written u in the western Prakrits, and thus तन becomes in Apabhransa, as we have seen (§ 46), तसो. The meaning of तन accords well with that of an adjectival genitive; and it is not strange to see an affix which in the classical language is of restricted use, extended by the vulgar to every noun in their language. Although in Sanskrit this affix forms adjectives out of adverbs of time only, yet in the Gipsy language we find the corresponding affix tno extended to adverbs of place; as,

```
anglalutno "former," from angldl "before" (Skr. 夏春).

rattutno arattutno "nightly," " {ratti aratti} "night" (Skr. 夏春).

avgutno "firstborn," " avgo "former." duritno "distant," " dur "far" (Skr. 夏春).

And even to nouns, as—

gavudno "villager," " gav "village" (Skr. 夏春).

dakarutno "kingly," " dakar "king."
```

With elision of t, just as in modern Gujarati,

angaruno "made of coal,", angar "coal" (Skr. watt).

baruno "stony,", bar "stone."

The affix तथा is also in use in some rustic dialects of Hindi, and will probably be found in Chand, though I do not remember to have seen it there.

The Marathi genitive affix at has been asserted to be derived from the H. and by the change of an into a; but after much searching I am unable to find a single other instance of this change in the whole of this language, and hesitate to believe that so common a word as the genitive affix should be the result of a phonetic change, which is absolutely isolated and unsupported by a single analogous process (see Vol. I. p. 209). scems rather to have arisen from the Skr. affix a, meaning "produced in," "sprung from," in such words as द्विणात्व "southern," पाश्रात्व "western." Here, again, it is assumed that an affix of restricted application in Sanskrit has obtained a wider use in the vulgar language. In old Marathi poetry this affix has a lengthened form चिया, चिये, and चेनि; but this additional syllable is merely added to eke out the metre, and is commonly found after other case-affixes also, as in हनि and हनियां for हन.

Sindhi takes as its affix and, which Trumpp wishes to derive from the Skr. adjectiavl affix and through a to and, a process for which there is no authority. It will be in analogy to the derivations suggested for the G. and M. at, to derive this affix also from a Sanskrit adjectival termination, namely a (and), in such words as and, via, and the like. The only obstacle to this theory is that and is added to the oblique form, whereas, if every substantive had been made into an adjective by the addition of at, only the stem would remain; and the same objection applies to the derivation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Miklosich, ueber die mundarten der Zigeuner Europa's, ii. 23.

suggested for Marathi च; thus घोटनता: equinus, might give घोटनता, and thence घोडाचा, but not घोडाचा, as it actually is. Perhaps among the unthinking vulgar the custom of adding all the other case-affixes to the oblique form was carelessly extended to the genitive also. As regards Sindhi, however, it is, as an alternative line of investigation to be pursued, worthy of consideration whether we have not here the relative pronoun च "who;" thus घरान जो घणी "the master of the houses," would be originally, "the master who (is) of the houses,"—the oblique form here doing duty as a genitive, which is exceedingly probable when we remember how large a part the genitive occupies in the formation of the modern oblique.

Sindhi and Kashmiri have a genitive affix, S. संदो, K. संद. The modifications of the Kashmiri genitival affix are very eccentric and peculiar, and are given as follows by Elmslie (J. A. S. B., vol. xxxix. p. 101).

Here not only does the affix vary in concord with the governed noun, but it varies with the governing noun also, thus introducing a double series of confusions. Examples are not given in the meagre article from which these forms are taken, and there are contradictions in it which detract from its trustworthiness. Moreover, the author having laid down at the outset a system of transliteration, straightway departs from it; and by giving us also the words in the Persian character instead of the Nagari, he still further misleads the reader. All this is very tantalizing, because the few scraps of information which we have about Kashmiri are just enough to show that it is one of the most interesting and instructive languages of the group, retaining a singularly rich array of archaic words and forms.

There is one peculiarity, however, of which a firm grasp can be taken, namely, the change of initial # into #. This is a well-known feature in Zend and in those Aryan languages which are situated close to the Zend area (see Vol. I. p. 258). This process seems to explain the origin of the Panjabi genitive in दा, दे, दी, etc. In Panjabi, the change of स into ह is extremely frequent, and it is in its general features a less archaic language than Kashmiri, so that it is not unreasonable to suppose, that while the latter only suffered a to be weakened to a in the feminine affixes, Panjabi suffered the change to take place in all cases, and we thus postulate an old Panjabi form it. This form is still used in many rustic forms of Panjabi. It and संदा are simply the present participle of the verb "to be," and one often hears संदा इंदा= "being," or हंदा इंदा, according to the dialect. संदा is the Panjabi version of Pr. सन्तो, Skr. सन्तः, from the root TR. One can readily understand how a word meaning "being," when added to the oblique form of a noun, would gradually acquire the sense of a genitive; thus, "the horse being to me," or "which is to me," becomes "my horse," just as in Latin we have the construction "est mihi." anuswâra of the present participle is dropped in Panjabi in many verbs, especially in the more cultivated portions of the country, where the typical or classical form of the language would naturally be developed; thus, while the rude herdsmen of the southern deserts say मारेंद्रा marenda, "beating," the dwellers in towns say मारदा marda. In this way संदा would become हंदा, and that again हदा, whence by elision of ह we get the present genitive form **27**. It is worthy of notice, that this form in ET seems to be of comparatively late origin, and that in the Granth we more frequently find the genitive affix an, as in Hindi.1

· § 60. THE LOCATIVE.—The traces of the synthetic system still

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Trumpp in J.R.A.S., N.S., vol. v. p. 198.

remaining in this case have been commented upon in § 48: here we have to notice the modern case-affixes used to express a locative meaning. These are as follows:—

Hindi . · . में	. Bengali	ते.
Panjabi वि	च्. Oriya	₹.
Sindhi में,	, मंद्रि. Nepali .	मा-
Gujarati मां	. Kashmiri	manz (= मंद्य?).
Marathi wi	ਰ. Gipsy .	te. <sup>1</sup>

The most important group of these endings is that having a for its characteristic, and we are fortunately able to trace the origin of this affix fully back to the earliest times. It is derived from the Sanskrit adverbially used locative and, meaning "in the middle." Chand uses a great variety of progressive forms of this affix, thus showing that even in his time the changes had been completed, and that he was consciously employing archaisms, as he so often does, when he used forms which were older than the latest a. Instances are, dropping the final c, and thus giving area.

# श्रमृत सु म्रत मध्य वसि॥

"Immortal dwelling among mortals."-i. 3.

### इह बोलि बाणी दल मध्य त्रायी॥

"Having said this speech, he came amongst the army."-xxi. 10.

In this fuller form the word retains the fuller meaning "amongst." Next comes the solution of the semivowel into its vowel मधि, and when a long vowel is required मधि.

### हजार सुतीन परे धर मधि॥

"Thousands three fell on the earth."-xxi. 7, 59.

### जोगिनीय गई रागिनी मिति॥

"The witch went amongst the queens."-i. 178.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paspati, p. 55. See also p. 500.

The natural transition from  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{v}$  (see Vol. I. p. 326) gives the form  $\mathbf{v}$ .

### मुक्तेव परिय मिद्ध विल ऋषावं॥

"Fell headlong into the bottomless pit."—i. 79.

मुहेव is here a form of the 3rd person singular preterite for मुह्यी, which would be the Hindi rendering of Skr. मोचितं from मोच्, and thus means "was set free;" combined with परना = पडना "to fall," it means "was let loose falling," i.e. "fell unrestrainedly or headlong." The order is here, as sometimes in Chand, and often in Sindhi, reversed, the postposition becoming a preposition.

• Connected with this last form are the forms **माद्य** and **मन्द्रां**, with inorganic anuswâra, and the former with lengthened vowel. The final short  $\mathbf{T}$  is rejected, a fate which frequently befalls final short vowels in Hindi.

#### उपवाग मांझ चिल गये आप॥

"They themselves went into the garden."—xxi. 5, 6.

उपवाग is probably a curious termination of उप with Persian ं, after the fashion of उपवन.

### को राजन कवन धर मन्द्रं॥

"What king, in what land."-xxvi. 18.

In the next line we get-

#### परचर उजेन मद्यं॥

"In wealthy Ujain."

परचर = Skr. प्रमुर "abounding in (wealth)." A lengthened or secondary form, महार, with a quasi-diminutive termination, is also used, but with the more definite meaning, "in the middle of."

### नर नारी लच्या गई॥ फागुन मास सद्यार॥

"The modesty of men and women has gone, in the middle of the month of Phâgun."—xxiii. 1, 4.

—alluding to the indecent songs sung at the Holi festival in that month;—

### के षबरि सहर पजंची मझार॥

"Having received the news, she arrived in the middle of the city."

—i. 178.

#### श्रिर भिज गये गिर बन मझार॥

"The enemy fled into the middle of the hills and forests."-i. 206.

A step further brings us to the rejection of the organic portion of the aspirate and retention only of the aspiration (Vol. I. p. 263, et seqq.), thus producing the extremely common form

# कज्जल महि कसूरी॥ रानी रेहंत नयन ग्रंगारं॥

"Putting musk into lampblack,
The queen streaks her eyes for ornament."—i. 20.

#### झार्षंड महि चरत॥

"Grazing in Jharkhand."-i. 61.

It is frequently lengthened to माही and माही.

# देखित नुपति बसि नींदा माही॥

"Seeing the king sitting in sleep."—i. 191.

### लग्यी बीर जल्हन पर्यों धर मांही॥

"The hero Jalhan was smitten, he fell in the field."-xxi. 264.

There is also a corrupt form माहि.

# पिय रन मांहें मरे ॥ नारी सती न होय॥

"If the husband dies in battle (and) the wife does not become a Sati."—xxi. 175.

From this last form, which is properly मह, by rejection of the geomes the present ordinary form #.

#### एक मास में नगर बसायी॥

"In one month he colonized a city."—i. 218.

The Sindhi and Gujarati forms are only slight dialectic variations from the Hindi type; as is also the Nepali, which has not the anunasika.

Bengali and Oriya often express the locative by adding the full Sanskrit form मध्ये to the genitive, and Oriya oddly gives the locative ending to this word, so that we often hear ताहार मध्यरे, where the last word is the locative of a noun मध्य. Marathi also uses मध्ये in the same way, and in poetry the archaic माजो, as in Tukaram, Abhanga 1887:—

# विश्व हैं विठ्ठल नाहीं दुजें कांहीं ॥ देखें गुहें ही तयामाजी ॥

"Vithal is the universe, there is nothing besides, thou also art seen in him."

And again-

### विरंची ने केंक्रें ब्रह्मांड सकळ ॥ तयामाजी खेळ नाना युक्ति ॥

"Brahma has created the whole universe, in it are his various diversions and skill."—Abh. 1886.

The most common method of expressing the locative, however, in Marathi, is by adding to the oblique form nt, apparently derived from the Skr. adverb जन् "within," which in Prakrit becomes जाती. This particle is, even in classical Sanskrit, used as an affix, as दनानर, between the teeth. In Marathi the initial vowel is lost, and the two letters nt alone remain. Thus, जरा + न चरांत "in a house," इनी "on an elephant," from हती; मधूत "in honey," from मधु, with the vowel of the termination lengthened, as is customary in the oblique form.

Of the Bengali at the most probable origin is from the adverbial ablative at, of which notice was taken in a preceding paragraph; and Oriya at, which, in its fuller form, is sit, is probably of the same origin,—the two forms, sit and sit, having by degrees been restricted to special meanings of the same case, namely the ablative.

§ 61. In addition to the case-affixes above noticed, which are

specially attached to definite cases, there is a very large number of particles which serve to modify the noun, but which cannot have any definite place assigned to them in the narrow range of cases to which the grammarians have bound down the Aryan declension. They fall into two classes, according as they are attached to the crude form of the noun, or to the genitive The classification cannot, however, be carried out very thoroughly, because some of them fall into different categories in different languages. Thus, II. पास "near," (Skr. पास्री), is attached to the oblique masculine genitive, as घर के पास "near the house," literally "near of the house;" but M. पास्न, which is the same word as H. 414, is attached to the oblique stem, as चरापासन. It will be better, therefore, to go through the most commonly used and widely current of these postpositions, without attempting to class them under either of the two heads mentioned above. The distinction is important, as indicating the degree of cohesion to which each particle has attained; and thus enabling the student, in the absence of literature, to form an idea of the comparative antiquity of each of them, and thus to measure, to a certain extent, the rate of progress of those phonetic changes which have given rise to the present modern languages.

§ 62. Of wide use is II. आगे "before," used with a genitive: its older form is आगू, still heard in rustic Hindi. Sindhi has आगे and आगिओ, with oblique genitive in जे, or with ablative in जो. The other languages have—

Panjabi चारी and चारियो. Gujarati चगाउ. Oriya चगरे ,, चगर्. Bengali चारी (vulgo) एखे.

In all these pairs of words we have derivatives from two cases of the Skr. ম্বয়. The words in e are locatives from মুর্যু,

meaning "in the front;" those in u and o ablatives from wand, meaning "from the front;" wand becomes Pr. wang, wants; and the long  $\hat{u}$  is in Hindi entirely omitted, and in S. and P. softened to i; while Oriya adds its own locative and ablative case-affixes  $\hat{i}$  and  $\hat{i}$ .

Similarly, for the corresponding word "behind," the Old-Skr. adjective पञ्च (vedic) supplies its locative पञ्च (not used in classical Skr.) and its ablative पञ्चात. The words in use are—

- H. पाके, पोके and पाकू.
- P. पिक्के " पिक्कों.
- s. पोए " पुत्रां.
- G. पर्के "पक्री, पाक्री.
- B. पिक्रे.
- 0. पर्के "पाकुः

The nexus स regularly becomes क, and then क, with a preceding long vowel. H. पीके presents an anomalous long i, for which it is difficult to account. My theory is, that the same process has taken place as in निस्स (Vol. I. p. 307), where the nexus is sundered, and the स passes into इ, producing निह्ने; thus पस would become पहने, or, owing to the tendency to insert i after h between two short vowels (Vol. I. p. 138), पहिन, and thus तीने, which, from imitation of the kindred word पाके, has been written with क. The Sindhi has entirely dropped the nexus, and substituted a labial vowel, which is very anomalous. In every case it will be seen that the terminations are respectively locative, meaning "in the rear," and ablative, meaning "from the rear."

A third series, meaning "below," is afforded by the same two cases of the Skr. adj. নীৰ "low."

- H. नीचे and नीचू.
- P. नीचे " नीचीं.
- G. गीचे.
- B. id.

This series is not so extensively used as the others, as there are two competitors for this meaning: तन्त्र, which is the favourite word in B. and O.; and Ez, which has the preference in P. Ez "below," हेडों "from below," हेडदें "along the under side of;" G. हेडे "below;" S. हेडे "below," हेडां "from below." तने requires no explanation, being a simple Skr. locative, one of those strong plain words which is not susceptible of any phonetic change. Fo is a curious word, and has undergone considerable Its origin is Skr. अधन्त, which, in Pali and Prakrit, becomes \$3, by rejection of the initial a, solution of w into \$3, and change of the second a to a short ĕ. Although अधसात, a common Skr. adverb, is generally quoted as the origin of this word, yet it would be more in consonance with the regular course of phonetic change to look to a form was: the last element of which would be the root en (81), to stand. P. हेरों and S. हेरां are, however, regular ablatives; and G. हेरे is the regular locative, just as the other above quoted words. (Weber, Hâla, pp. 42, 202.)

Skr. उपिर "upon," gives II. जपर, and so in all. With loss of initial u, it yields a long string of words, which have arrived at the position of case-affixes, being joined directly to the oblique form; these are, II. uv, uv, uv, uv "on;" S. uv; G. uv, O. uv, B. uv. With further softening of v into v, it becomes in M. v, and is closely attached to the noun, being written as one word with it; and so also in Gujarati: not even taking the oblique form of the noun, but the simple stem, as v "on the house," v "on a tree."

Skr. खाने gives H. ताई and तई, which, from having originally meant "in the place," has grown gradually into an affix meaning "up to," and even simply a sign of the objective = को; so you may say उस को मारो or उस तई मारो "beat him." S. has also ताई and तोई, P. ताई.

From Skr. संमुख, Pr. संमुद्दं and समुद्दं, are derived H. सान्द्रने, सान्द्र, समुद्दे, सोद्दे, and सोद्दीं; S. सान्द्रों, सान्द्रणों, and सान्द्रणें

P. साइमण्and साइमण्डियो; G. सहामं, सहामा, सहामे. The M. समोर, used like the rest in the sense of coram, in front of, face to face, is rather a modern compound from modern materials, स "with," and मोहरा "front," a recent diminutive from सुख. To this group may also be attached the Oriva हास, meaning originally "in front of," then "in the presence of (a king)," and so, ultimately, as a substantive, meaning the court or audience-hall of a king or great man, in which sense it takes the locative sign र, as राजार हासरे निवेदन कला, "he supplicated the king," literally "in the audience of the king." The Hindi writers spell their word in a dozen different ways; but from the terminations of H., as well as the other languages, it is clear, that here also we have the two Skr. cases locative and ablative, as in most of the other postpositions.

Skr. पार्श्वे and पार्श्व "near," II. पास, P. पास and पाह "near," पासों and पाहों "from near," S. पासे, G. पासे, M. पासीं, पासून. Here, again, the locative and ablative.

There are innumerable other postpositions in use in all the languages: they will be found in the dictionary. The point to be observed is the prevalence of forms derived from the Skr. locative and ablative cases, necessitating the placing of the noun to which they are attached in the oblique genitive, or, to speak more clearly, in that form of the genitive affix which it takes when governed by a noun in the singular oblique.

The structure of the modern noun is thus strikingly homogeneous in all the seven languages, as well as in those cognate dialects which have not yet been thoroughly investigated; and which, owing to the insufficiency of trustworthy data for them, it has been found necessary to exclude from the present inquiry. They have all a stem in four forms—the nominatives of the singular and plural, and two obliques for the two numbers. There are also here and there traces, faint and slight, but still quite unmistakeable, of the older synthetical system of the Aryan languages. These traces consist of abraded case-endings,

occasionally removed from the stem, and used as postpositions. Some confusion has crept in—as was natural among generations which had lost the sentiment of synthetical construction-between the various cases, and a form proper to one case has passed over to another, or been extended to all. To supply the distinctness of meaning which the mutilated case-endings no longer afforded, case-affixes, themselves for the most part shortened and simplified remnants of old adjectives, nouns, and participles, have been called in; but though this principle has been introduced into all the languages, the precise words so adopted vary in each case, every language having forms of its own not used by the others. The close relationship of the whole, however, is more fully established by this practice than it would have been had all the languages been in the habit of using precisely the same affixes. Uniformity of principle is a far deeper lying bond and token of esoteric unity than mere surface similarity of individual words: the latter might have been borrowed; the former, being an inborn mental instinct, could not.

The same remark holds good of the still further development of the noun's capabilities of expression, as shown in the post-positions which do not form cases, but are merely attached to the oblique noun. In these there runs throughout the same principle, though its exemplifications are different. The more we penetrate into the secrets of the structure of these languages, the more do they show themselves to be closely allied by the deepest and most fundamental ties,—the same blood runs in the veins of all, and the same fertile Aryan mind has found expression in their rich and varied formations for its activity, wealth of resource, and πολυμήχανος ἐνέργεια.

#### CHAPTER IV.

#### THE PRONOUN.

CONTENTS.—§ 63. Pronoun of the First Person Singular.—§ 64. Plural of the same.—§ 65. The Second Person Singular and Plural.—§ 66. Genitive of the two First Persons.—§ 67. Pronoun of the Third Person.—§ 68. The Demonstrative.—§ 69. The Relative.—§ 70. The Correlative.—§ 71. The Interrogative.—§ 72. The Indefinite.—§ 73. The Reciprocal.—§ 74. Adjectival Pronouns.—§ 75. Pronominal Suffixes in Sindhi.—§ 76. General Scheme of the Pronouns and Pronominal Adverbs.—§ 77. Miscellaneous Pronouns.—§ 78. Gipsy Pronouns.—§§ 79. 80. Concluding Remarks.

§ 63. The Personal Pronouns in all the seven languages are singularly homogeneous in type, and their analysis is rendered comparatively easy by the fidelity with which they have preserved the Prakrit forms. In this respect they stand in contrast to the nouns which have so widely departed from the ancient models. The first and second persons run parallel to one another, and have four fundamental forms, namely the nominatives and the obliques in both numbers. The genitive is a possessive pronoun, and, as in the noun, adjectival in form. It will be treated separately.

Of the first person the forms are as follows:

	NOM. SING.	OBL. SING.	NOM. PL.	OBL. PL.
Hindi	हीं, इं [मैं]	मुझ, मो	हम्	हमीं.
Panjabi	∙ हउं [मैं]	में	च्रसीं	चसा.
Sindhi	স্মান্ত্র, স্মা	मूं, मां	श्रमीं	<b>ग्र</b> सां.
Gujarati	<b>ड</b> ं	मज	ग्रम	चम, चमो
Marathi	मी	मज	त्राम्ही	ऋाम्हा.
Oriya	मु	मो	ग्रम्हे	ग्रम्ह, ग्रम्हं.
Bengali	मुद्	मो	श्रामि	ऋामा .
Gipsy	me	mûn	amén	amen.
Nepali	म	म	हामी-	हामी.

In the above scheme are given the forms which are etymologically correct, rather than those which the people really use, for much confusion has taken place in this respect in modern times in two ways. First, H. P. and M. use for the nominative a form which is really the instrumental; H. P. # has now quite superseded the old and proper nominative of in all but a few rustic dialects; and in M., as far as I can learn, there is no trace of a form si, or anything like it, either in ancient literature or in rustic speech. Oriya and Bengali have for their nominative a form beginning with H, which is characteristic of the Skr. oblique; so have the Nepali and the Gipsy languages. Secondly, Oriya and Bengali have adopted the habit of using their nom. pl. आमि and अम्हे as singulars, and have invented fresh plurals, O. अम्हेमाने, and B. आमरा, and B. has in addition struck out a plural for मुद् in the shape of मोरा. Fashion and pandit-influence have succeeded in relegating poor HT and सोरा to the domain of "vulgar" speech, and, to a certain extent, Oriya H also; and so far has this habit gone that many natives refuse to admit that H and HI are the true old singulars, but, in spite of the obviously plural nature of and with, persist in regarding them as the genuine singulars.

The nominative singular 青, 夷, 蒙 or 禹—for these four forms are all one and the same—represents the Sanskrit wit, which loses its initial a in the Apabhransa or vulgar Prakrit, and becomes इसं, which Lassen (Inst. Pr. p. 466) shows to be shortened from the Sauraseni बहुमं, so that उ stands for मं (Vol. I. p. 254). Hence, by elision of **A**, comes **E**, a form which Panjabi in many of its rustic dialects still retains. goes a step further, and rejects the z, while lengthening both The other form, wi, Trumpp considers as simply contracted from wit; but as with had been lost to ordinary speech long before the Sindhi forms began to be excogitated, it is more probable that wi is to be regarded merely as a shortened form Old-Hindi हो is the same word as P. इउ with a slight difference of spelling; while Middle-Hindi and Gujarati F are shortenings of the same by omission of the short internal Chand uses \$1, sometimes written \$1, as—

### ती हीं क्एडीं देह।

"Then I quit this body."— i. 157.

### सी हों सबै सुनत हीं मात॥

"All that I am hearing, O mother."-i. 160.

Modern-Hindi and P. A, which is now the only form in use for "I," is, strictly speaking, like Marathi A, the instrumental; in Skr. AI, in Apabhransa AI, and apparently also AI, though Lassen is doubtful on this point (Inst. Pr. p. 480). I fail to see why Trumpp calls this an accusative (p. 189). The transition of the instrumental into a nominative is rendered natural by the use of the prayoga, in which the subject takes an instrumental form, and accordingly Chand uses A only before the preterite of transitive verbs, i.e. in the place where the subject is required to be in the instrumental; in all other places he uses I. Thus—

# में सुन्यी साहि बिन ग्रंषि कीन॥

"I heard that the Shah had put out his eyes."-lxv. 110.

—where in Modern-H. we should have में ने सुना. The modern fashion of saying में ने is founded upon ignorance of the true nature of the word, and contains the instrumental twice over. In Panjabi this error is often avoided, में being used alone as an instrumental; and so also in Gujarati, where में alone is the instr. of इं. Marathi मी is probably from महे; but M. has also two forms of the instrumental, मी and म्या, the former of which refers back to महे, and the latter to some other corruption of Skr. मया, the intermediate links of which are not extant.

B. मुद्द and O. मु appear to have arisen from the Apabhransa form हम by rejection of the initial ह, and have probably passed through a stage in which they were spoken चमुद्द and चम: the first द in B. is inorganic. It is in singular accordance, as regards sound, with these forms, that Sindhi, at the other end of the Indian continent, uses also म as a nominative, as also म; but, as regards origin, these forms have apparently, like H. H. H. passed over from the instrumental.

The commonest types of the oblique form in the singular are in mo and मुद्र mujh. It appears in the slightly modified forms in and in Sindhi; and, if we are to write Paspati's man as in the Gipsy also. Although, in treating of the genesis of the oblique in nouns, it was stated that not the genitive alone, but a sort of conflation of all the cases in Prakrit fused down into one lay at the origin of the modern form, yet it was also

¹ Lassen probably reads हाँ for हहूं in Vikramorvasi, Act iv. (p. 93, Calc. ed.), where the Calcutta edition reads माजि. The line is: ए माजि पुहृित भमने जह पित्र पित्र पित्र हिम. Here it is clearly a nom. "If I, wandering about the world, shall find my love," as the Skr. gloss gives it: सहं पृथिवीं अमन् यदि पियां पित्रथे॥ Lassen uses Lenz's edition, which is not procurable here; but if माजिथे॥ Lassen uses Lenz's edition, which is not procurable here; but if माजिथे॥ to stand, it is a noteworthy instance of a construction which has now become universal in Hindi, by which the instrumental is used for the nominative even before verbs in the present and future, and not only, as in the Karmani prayoga, before the preterite.

admitted that the genitive had exercised a potent and perhaps slightly preponderating influence. Here, in the pronoun, the genitive has clearly been the foundation of the modern type. The genitive in classical Prakrit and Pali is not here alluded to, so much as that of the Apabhransa. In the classical Prakrit the genitive stands as मम, मह, मंज्या, and मे; Hâla uses ममं (once), मन्झ, मह, महं, में, and मए; and Pali has मम, ममं, मह्यं, and चारहं. Of all these forms, Hâla's महं seems the most popular, and stands one step higher than the Apabhransa मड़, from which comes directly the S. genitive A. Of the introduction of u into the first syllable in this word, and in H. मुझ, notice will be taken further on. मझं would become मीं and मी, just as the objective affix as becomes, as we have seen, and and and. Of this we have, indeed, no instances; but then we have no writings of the period when it was in use. In Chand and his successors मो, with its oblique affix हि, occurs frequently. First मो, with or without case-affixes—

#### किम उधार मो होइ॥

"How shall there be salvation for me."—i. 188.

### भट्ट जाति कवियन नृपति ॥ नाथ नाम मो चंद् ॥

"Bhat by caste, King of poets, Lord! my name is Chand."—vi. 18.

#### श्रीसी कहि मी कई दर पावड़ ॥

"Having thus said for me you find fear."-i 160.

#### जो मी सीं साच न कही।

"If you do not speak truth with me."-i. 157.

Secondly, मोहि and its shorter form महि:-

### जो मुहि ढुंढा निगलिहै॥

"If Dhundha shall swallow me."-i. 170.

#### वह्यौ मोहिन वर मोहि॥

"Quoth the lord of Mohini to me."-i. 192.

#### नहीं मोहि काम पिता राजधान॥

"There is no business for me in my father's palace."—lxiv. 366.
vol. 11. 20

In Old-Bengali also the closely-allied  $\mathbf{a}$  is the regular form in use. Here the final e is short and =i. Bidyapati has:

### कि कहसि मोहे निदान॥

"What dost thou say to me after all?"-Pad.

#### मो बिने खपने न हरिब आन॥

"Except me, in sleep thou shalt not see another."-Pad.

The other form सुद्ध is derived from संद्ध, one of the Prakrit forms of the genitive, and owes its u probably to assimilation to the pronoun of the second person तुद्ध. M. and G., while changing the द्ध into ज, retain the vowel a, and use सद्ध not only as a genitive, as in

### इह धरनी मुझ पित परपित ॥

"This land (was) my father's and forefathers'."—i. 279;

but as an oblique generally, as in

### तूं मुझ अंपहि माई॥

"Tell thou to me, O mother!"—i. 147.

Modern-Hindi uses a form  $\mathbf{q}$ , which is made by adding e, the usual sign of the oblique in nouns, and indicates the objective case; the affixes of the other cases are added to  $\mathbf{q}$  alone, as  $\mathbf{q}$   $\mathbf{q}$   $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$ , etc. The genitival origin of the form has been quite forgotten.

Gujarati is very unfixed and irregular in the oblique cases. It takes सज alone for the genitive, at least so say the grammars; and, moreover, makes it the oblique stem, to which caseendings are attached, as सज ने "to me," सज धी "from me." The old poets use also सज. Thus—

#### मुजने तजवा खेकली कठण करो को मंन ॥

"You form the cruel intention of leaving me alone."
—Tulsi in Kâvya-d. i. 4.

In addition to this, however, it uses the form of the genitive (masc. nom.) मारा as a fulcrum for the ablative and locative, as मारा थी "from (of) me," मारा मां "in (of) me;" and the

oblique form of the same Hit as an instrumental and dative. These are confusions arising from the consciousness that the oblique Ha was really an old genitive; so that, when they got a new genitive, they used it also as an oblique. One hears in Hindi also, colloquially, such expressions as मेरे से "from me," due to the same sentiment. Marathi elides the final st of HS in some cases; thus we have Hजला and Hला "to me," Hजसी and मसी "against me." In the locative, it, like G. and H., uses the oblique genitive मान्न shortened to मान्न, and with the i further recrudesced into z, as a fulcrum for the case-affix, thus producing माद्ययांत "in me," precisely parallel to G. मारा मां. Oriya and Bengali use their oblique form सो regularly throughout. O. has, however, one curious exception, making the objective मोते, or shortened मते (mote), instead of मोक, which would be the regular form. As a nowhere occurs as a case-affix in O., the only way that I see of accounting for this form is to suppose that we have here a shortening of the affix and or at, which in II. and others has the sense of an objective, so that मते = मो तइ = मी ताईं.

§ 64. In the plural, Oriva preserves the Prakrit form unchanged as regards spelling with, but pronounces ambhe, the insertion of the b being due to the influence of the preceding labial H. Bengali with appears to be merely a softening of with, which in Hindi has undergone transposition, the knowing been thrown back to the beginning of the word, just as the verb in," for it, by transposition from with, shortened from writes. Nepali exhibits a form with, as my informant writes it, which should probably be write; the long i being almost universally written for short i in rural Hindi. This form is transitional to Bengali, and the short i must be regarded as a corruption of the final e of with. Gujarati writes in, which is not necessarily more correct than with, though the analogy of

Hindi would lead us to expect it. The Gipsy form amen, perhaps to be written with, agrees closely with this, and suggests the possibility of the waiting been simply dropped from the Prakrit form. M., in its form wife, seems to have done for the plural the same as it does for the singular, namely, transferred the form of the instrumental to the nominative; for the instrumental in Apabhransa is wife, and the objective wife. From a confusion of these two would arise wife! this, with the nasal wife, is now used as the instrumental plural; and the two forms wife nom. and wife instr. stand to each other in exactly the same relation as the forms of the singular win nom. and wife instr. It is difficult on any known phonetic principles to see in wife a derivative from wife.

P. and S. stand alone in having a nom. pl. असी. Trumpp does not offer any satisfactory explanation of this. It is true that Pr. अम्हे points back to Skr. असा; but we cannot leap over Prakrit and take our form from Skr. direct; nor, if we did, would it help us with the long final i and anunasika. A change of we into we is a well-known feature of these two languages; but a reverse change of we into we is quite opposed to their habits. Kashmiri has a similar form, which in one vocabulary is written that or we are with the other with.

Kashmiri and that group of ancient Aryan dialects still spoken in Dardistan differ from the cognate languages of the plains of India in having a fondness for  $\mathbf{q}$ , which they often retain in places where the latter would modify it to  $\mathbf{q}$ ; and it may be conjectured that P. and S. derive this form from some intervening dialect of hill Prakrit which has not come down to us: they also retain the  $\mathbf{q}$  in the oblique cases of the plural. The other languages derive from the Apabhransa genitive  $\mathbf{q}$ , with which Oriya is identical. In H. the  $\mathbf{q}$  has, as in the nominative, been thrown back to the beginning of the word. Although I have given the oblique form as  $\mathbf{q}$ , yet in practice this is hardly ever used, the case-affixes of the plural being added to

हम, as हम को, हम पर. There is also a crude oblique हमें used without affixes, which comes from the Apabh. accusative चम्हरं. G. affixes its case signs to a form चमो or to चम, the former being the same as H. हमों, and, like it, seeming to postulate a genitive चम्हाएं, formed on the same principle as the gen. pl. of nouns; the other form चम is clearly from चम्ह. M. आम्हा is formed by lengthening the vowels from the same, and so is B. चामा. In fact, all these forms are so closely similar to the Prakrit as to offer no difficulty in their analysis.

§ 65. The second person is an exact parallel to the first, and its forms are as follows:—

	SING. NOM.	SING. OBL.	PL. NOM.	PL. OBL.
Hindi	तू [तैं]	तुझ्	तुम्	तुम् .
Panjabi	तूं	तै	<b>तु</b> सीं	तुसा, तुहाः
Sindhi	तूं	तो, तुंज्ञं	तहीं, etc.	तद्वां, etc.
Gojarat	ां तुं	तुज	तमे	तम, तमो,
Marath	i <b>तूं</b> '	तुज .	तुम्ही	तुम्हा.
Oŗiya	ন্ত	तो	तुरहं	तुम्ह, तुम्हं.
Bengali	<b>नुद</b>	त्ती	तुमि	तोमाः
Nepali	त	त	तिमी	तिमी-
Gipsy	tu	tú	tumén	tumen.

There is a striking uniformity in the nom. sing., for even II. has in many dialects the form  $\vec{\eta}$  with anunâsika, though this is rejected in classical Hindi. All the early languages of the Indo-European family have as their base tu. The Skr.  $\vec{\eta}$  is exceptional, and, as Bopp shows (Comp. Gr. § 326), the m belongs to the case-ending, and the a is inserted between this ending and the base in all instances where the base does not already end in a, so that before this inserted a the u of an

original 7 has been hardened to 7. Thus we may assume a form 3 for the type of this pronoun. The Prakrits exhibit a considerable number of forms; the commonest in scenic Prakrit is तुमं, side by side with which is तुच्च; and in Apabhransa तुई, where the z is merely a stop-gap. By cliding z and lengthening the labial vowel we get ##, the commonest form of the nom. in the modern languages. I take no count of the difference observable in the quantity of the vowel, though in some languages we find तुं, and in others तुं, because these languages for the most part, as has been frequently shown, ignore the difference between i and î, u and û. Hindi त is like H of the first person, the instrumental तर् of Apabhr. brought over into the nom. It is a word of the rustic and vulgar side of the language, and is only used by the educated classes contemptuously when speaking to inferiors or domesticated animals. In P. it is still the instrumental, just as में.

The oblique has two principal types, तो and तुझ, corresponding to मो and मुझ of the first person. तो is used in Old and Middle-Hindi, and still in B. O. and S. and is derived from the genitive of Apabh. तुई, which S. preserves in its genitive under the form तुंड alone. तुझ, which becomes in M. and G. तुज, is from another Apabh. form of the gen. तुझ. Nepali stands alone in having dropped the vowel altogether.

The nominative plural Pr. तुन्हें is accurately preserved in O. तुन्हें (pronounced तुन्ने), slightly shortened in B. तुन्ह; and M. here, as in the first person, takes over the instrumental तुन्हें in the form तुन्हों nom. and तुन्हों instr., which some ignorantly write तुन्हों. H. here also, as in the first person, writes तुन् and तुने, which latter is the Gipsy form also. Nepali तिनी has singularly changed the characteristic labial vowel to a palatal, just as (§ 51, p. 235) it has सित for संतो.

Panjabi makes its pl. nom. तुसी, which is as great a puzzle as असी of the first person, and for which I can as yet assign no satisfactory derivation. In this case Sindhi parts company with

P., and exhibits a very great number of forms, which, however, are arrangeable under two types. Thus—

# तहीं, तवीं, तहीं, तहें. चहीं, ऋषीं, ऋहीं, ऋहें, ऋहें.

The first form in each of the above lines is evidently the real form from which the succeeding ones have arisen by shortening, clision, and other simplifying processes. तहीं is, I think, like M. तुम्ही, the Apabh. instrumental तुम्ही, where the u has been changed to av, and the m first weakened into anunasika and then dropped altogether. It might also be accounted for by comparing it with Gujarati, which drops the labial vowel and uses तमे. If Sindhi has done this, then the a is a softening of a, as in H. गांव from याम. The other series, of which यहीं is the fullest form, is quite unparalleled in the whole group. Trumpp's explanation is probably correct, that it comes from a form Pr. यम्हे, Skr. यूक्क, where the initial य has been elided and u changed to ar, as in तही. Even if this be the correct explanation, we have a most unexampled retention of a very archaic form which has never found its way into literature.

In the oblique plural II. uses  $\overline{q}$ , as in the nom. The other languages mostly retain the form  $\overline{q}$ , which is the base of the Prakrit pl. in all cases, and stands alone in the genitive. The modern languages generally add long  $\hat{a}$  or  $\hat{a}n$ , as M.  $\overline{q}$ , B.  $\overline{a}$ , the latter with its parallel series  $\overline{a}$ , etc. G. follows Hindi, but substitutes a for u in  $\overline{a}$ , and  $\overline{a}$ , which latter agrees with II.  $\overline{q}$ , Panjabi  $\overline{q}$ , seems at first sight to agree with the other languages; but the  $\overline{q}$  is here in reality merely the ordinary Panjabi substitute for the  $\overline{q}$  of  $\overline{q}$ .

§ 66. As in the noun, so also in the pronoun, the genitive is really an adjective agreeing with the governing noun in gender

and case. The forms, which depart very much in some cases from the type of the oblique, are here given.

1	. PERS. SING.	I. PERS. PL. I	i. Pers, sing.	II. PERS. PL.
Hindi	मेरा	हमारा	तेरा ं	तुम्हारा.
Panjabi	मेरा	चसाडा	तेरा	तुसादाः तुहादाः
Sindhi	मुंड जो, etc.	<b>श्वसां जो</b> , etc.	तुं जो, etc.	तहां जो, बहां जो, etc.
Gujarati	मारी 🕠	त्रमारो	तारो	तमारो.
Marathi	माद्या	त्रामचा	तुझा	तुमचा-
Oriya	मोर	ग्रम्हर्	तोर	तुम्हर.
Bengali	मोर	त्रामार	तोर	तोमार.
Nepali	मेरी .	हाम्रो	तेरी	तिम्रो.
Bhojpuri	हमर, °रो	हमार	तुहर, ॰रो	तुहार.
Marwari	मारो	म्हारी	तेरो	<b>थारो</b> .

Sindhi in this group merely uses the ordinary oblique with the genitive suffixes, and Marathi does the same in its plurals, simply dropping the  $\xi$  of  $\xi$  and  $\xi$ , while in its singular it makes a compound form  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  are  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  are  $\xi$ 

Leaving these two languages aside, the rest exhibit, under different forms as respects quantity and attendant vowels, uniformly a type in  $\tau$ , which we have no difficulty in connecting with the older genitive of the noun formed by the affixes att and att. It has been customary, however, to give a different origin to these forms. Those who have done so have unfortunately taken two extremities of a long chain and compared them together, totally omitting the intermediate forms, with which they were probably unacquainted. Bopp, whose knowledge of Hindustani was necessarily very limited, derives II. Att, att, from Skr. Atta "meus," atta "tuus;" and in the same way sait would be for usett (as it is) from unacta and assert from unacta. The process is said to be effected by the change of a into and then to  $\tau$ , just as in the numerals

Skr. एकाट्य becomes Pr. एकाट्स, H. स्वारह. But we may look in vain in Prakrit for the intermediate forms with द, and the termination देय of Skr. does not produce masc. â, fem. i, in the moderns.

The pronouns use the same affixes for signs of all other cases as the nouns do—an, स, मं, त, and the rest; it is therefore fair to assume that they use the same signs in the genitive. Chand uses मो and तो as genitives as well as other oblique cases; but he knows already भेरा and हमारा, using, however, the former rather as a pure genitive, the two latter where possession requires to be clearly indicated.

Thus H and the genitives in T are contemporary forms, but perhaps with a slightly different meaning, the former being a pure genitive and oblique, the latter possessive adjectives. In O. and B. H forms the genitive by adding T; without this it is merely the crude form of the oblique cases. That this T is shortened from A was shown under the noun; and as in the Oriya noun, so also in the pronoun, this A is found in full in the pl. The A well as shortened AFT. Old-Bengali has a genitive A in which, as in the genitive of the noun, we have the shortened form from AT, with dropping of the T.

I see no reason, therefore, to assume any other origin for the genitives of the first two persons in \(\mathbb{T}\) than that assigned to the similar form in the noun; for even if we were to admit \(\mathbb{H}\) and its cognate forms to be the origin of the possessive pronoun, we can find no parallel forms for the similar genitive in \(\mathbb{T}\) of the noun. This \(\mathbb{T}\) genitive is an obscure and rustic but undoubtedly ancient form, which has only recently been brought to light, first by myself and subsequently by Hoernle. It was not known to Bopp or Lassen; had they known it, they would probably have abandoned the \(\mathbb{H}\) at theory.

The only point in support of that theory is the curious Panjabi genitive pl. water  $as\hat{a}d\hat{a}$ ; but the Panjabi plurals of the 1st and 2nd personal pronouns are formed upon a different system

to those in the other languages, and an argument derived from it would not be fairly applicable to them.

Popular Prakrit has such forms as **HE ACI**, from which **HCI** would naturally flow; and the rustic form of the same, namely **HICI**, would equally be derived from **HE ACI**. So also in the plural, where Marwari **FEICI** is **WFE** + **ACI**, and **WICI** for **JEICI**, through a well-known Old-II. **INETIT** from **JFE** + **ACI**, where the **H** has been dropped. Documentary evidence is certainly wanting for earlier forms, but this is because the modern forms were already in use at the dawn of medieval literature.

§ 67. For the pronoun of the third person, a direct descendant of the third person of Sanskrit is not always to be found, its place being generally supplied by the near and far demonstrative pronouns, which are reduced to their simplest elements  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  respectively.

It is first necessary to pick out the few traces remaining of the genuine old third person, which in Skr. starts from a stem 7, making, however, its nominative case  $\mathbf{H}$ : m.,  $\mathbf{H}$  f.,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}$  n. Bopp shows (§ 341) that there is not in Sanskrit a pronoun of the third person with a purely substantive signification, but adduces the stem ea as having originally occupied that place, and given rise to the Pr. . It is not our province to go beyond what we find in Skr., and it is sufficient to remark on the traces of the In Hindi we have सो "he," but often stem a which still exist. used as a correlative pronoun, answering to the relative जो. Another form at has now become an enclitic particle, but in Old-Hindi we find तीन and the oblique form तीने का, को. This answers to and interrogative, and and relative, and all three forms arise from compounding with the pronominal stem the adverb पुन:, Pr. उस, so that तीन is तो उस. Although पुन: means strictly "again," yet in the moderns it has changed its meaning; and when used as an enclitic, means merely "indeed,"

or nothing at all beyond a little additional emphasis, like the Greek  $\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\gamma\epsilon$ ,  $\delta\epsilon$ , etc.

सो is used as a correlative in most of the languages, as in Hindi—

### जो पीता सो मरता

"Whoso drinks (it), he dies."

### जो जो बैरी संचरि सो सो गिरे मार

"Whatsoever enemy attacks thee, he falls dead."
—Elliot, Races N. W. P., vol. i. p. 55.

In Panjabi it is used in precisely the same way. So also in Sindhi, where it occasionally stands alone, as—

## सो ही उसो जिया सो याजनुसी याहाज ॥ सो प्रींसो पसाज सो वेरी सो वाहरू॥

"He is this, he is that, he is death, he is Allah,

He friend, he breath, he foe, he helper."

Trumpp (quoting Sh. Kal. i. 19), p. 205.

In Marathi  $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$  is sometimes used to mean "he," but its real meaning is "that," the far demonstrative; it is not the third personal pronoun, but an adjective varying in gender according to the substantive with which it is connected— $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$  m.,  $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$  f.,  $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$  m. It may therefore be postponed till we come to the correlative.

Gujarati, however, uses ते, pl. तेजा, as a substantive pronoun = "he." Bengali and Oriya have से "he," not unfrequently, however, used as an adjective "that." These forms show a softening of the o of Pr. सो; Nepali त.

The oblique singular is H. तिस; P. तिस, तिह; S. तॅहिं; G. ते; M. त्या m., ति f.; O.-B. ताहा, ता; Nepali तस. All these forms come from the Sanskrit genitive तस्त, Pr. तस्त. Chand uses तस and तास, in the latter of which the long vowel is compensatory for rejection of one of the two consonants. He also uses

ताहि and shortened तिहि, forms resting on the Apabhranśa Pr. तह, ताह and तहि (Lassen, p. 482). Thus—

## जाके देह महोई ॥ ताहि कैसे के महियै॥

"(He) of whom there is no body, Him how can one seize?"—i. 161.

## वज्रङ्गबाङ अरिद्बमलन॥ तासु वित्ती चंद वहिय॥

"(Him) whose arms are thunderbolts, who crushes the hosts of foes, Of him the glory Chand speaks."—i. 46.

Here the u in  $t\hat{a}su$  is a mere metrical tag. Even in Chand's time, however, this word was used as an adjective, and instances will be given under the section treating of the demonstrative Bengali has in this third person, as in the other two persons, seen fit to have a finer term than the simple ordinary से, and for this purpose it takes तिनि, which is really the oblique plural corresponding to the oblique plurals of the other languages—II. तिन, P. तिनां and तिम्हां, S. तिन, M. त्यां; all of which come from the Pr. genitive  $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$  with the long  $\hat{a}$  shortened into a and still further into i. Bengali having made fafa into a nominative singular, has struck out a new form ater for the oblique, differing only from the ordinary oblique are by the insertion of anunasika in the first syllable. Oriya, on the contrary, has for the nom. plural no organic form, but adds to the singular its modern plural sign माने, making सेमाने; for the oblique it uses either an organic form ताइंबर, तांबर or सेमानंबर, a genitive of the modern fashion.

§ 68. The demonstrative pronoun falls into two divisions,—that which indicates a person or thing either present or near at hand, and that which indicates a person or thing absent or at a

distance. The former in all Aryan languages has the type  $\mathbf{x}$ , the latter  $\mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{x}$ . Thus in English, "this" and "these" have the palatal vowel, as contrasted with "that" and "those," which have the guttural and labial vowels. It would be foreign to our present purpose to make any remarks on the evidences of the universal distribution of these two types throughout the range of the Indo-Germanic family; but it may be observed that it is not confined to that family, but is found more or less in all the languages now classed as Turanian; and if we are to admit the fundamental unity of these two groups, this uniformity in so elementary and radical a part of speech is highly significant. Thus in all the Dravidian languages i is the near, and a the far demonstrative, while u holds a middle place between the two,—as in Tamil idu, "hoc," adu, "id;" Telugu idi and adi; Canarese idu, adu; Malayalam ita, ata. So also—

Tamil ivan "hic," avan "ille," ival "hec," aval "illa."
Telugu, indu "hic," vandu "ille," idi "hec," adi "illa."

To return to our own special subject. The seven languages exhibit the following range of forms:—

#### I. Near Demonstrative-"this."

	NOM. SING.	OBL. SING.	NOM. PL.	OBL. PL.
Hindi	इ, इह, ए, एह, यह	इस	चे	इन, इन्ह.
Panjabi	इह, एह	इस, इह	इह, एह	इनां, इन्हां
Sindhi	द्दे, ही, है	द्रन्, हिन	ही, है	हिनि.
Gujarati	<b>ब्र</b> (ए)	श्रे (ए)	त्रेची	<b>बेबो</b> .
Marathi	हा m., ही f., हैं n.	ह्या, या	$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} \overline{\mathfrak{g}} & m., & \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \end{array} \right\} \ \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \overline{\mathfrak{g}} & \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \end{array} \right\}$	ह्यां, यां.
Oriya	ए, एहि, इहि	दहा, एहा	एमाने	द्हां, एहां-
Bengali	Ų	इहा	प	<b>₹</b> हां.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Caldwell, Dravidian Comp. Gr., p. 333; Campbell, Telugu Gr., p. 77.

#### II. Far Demonstrative-"that."

NOM. SING.	OBL. SING.	NOM. PL.	OBL. PL.
Hindi उ, म्रो, वह	<b>उस</b>	वे	उन, उन्ह.
Panjabi उह	उस, उह	उह	उनां, उन्हां.
Sindhi <b>ज, 表, 看</b>	उन, ज्ञन	ह्न, हो	क्रनि.
Gujarati <b>म्रा</b>	স্থা	त्रात्रो	त्राची.
Marathi deest.			
Oriya deest.			
Bengali स्रो	उहा	न्त्रो	उहां.

Marathi and Oriya use the correlative, which is also the pronoun of the third person, M. तो, O. से, instead of the far demonstrative.

In Sanskrit, the primitive type ; is overlaid by accretions, which render it somewhat difficult to identify (Bopp, § 360). The form which this pronoun has assumed in classical Sanskrit is चरं m., इसं f., इदं n.; and in Vedic Sanskrit there is a form इत neuter, which, however, is used merely as an emphatic particle. Scenic Prakrit has nom. अश्रं m., इश्रं f., इश्रं n., and an oblique base इस, also इस (Lassen, p. 326; Weber's Hala, p. 55). The ordinary Apabhransa of the plays has TH, and in the songs in the fourth act of the Vikramorvasî are found loc. pl. इमेसुं (Skr. एष्); से "of her" (Skr. अखा); दुराइदो अ से प्याची "and excessive is her affection;" प्रम, abl. sing. "than it" (Skr. wand), and other similar forms. But it is perhaps useless to seek for the origin of the modern forms in any written They have their origin in all probability in a much lower stratum of popular speech than ever found its way into writing before the time of Chand, whose forms may therefore in this, as in so many other instances, be taken as the furthest point to which researches can at present be pushed back.

The types of the demonstrative in the Prithirâj Rasau are the following:—

besides variations caused by the metrical necessities of the poem,
• and having no value as organic forms.

## मोहि इह आगम बुझ्झै॥

"To me this scripture is clear."—iii. 28, 2.

Both the nom. pl. of the far and the emphatic singular of the near demonstrative are shown in the lines—•

## वे वाहै तरवारि ॥ इहै मुष पक्करि सु कट्टै ॥

"They ply their swords, he catching them in his mouth bites them."
-i. 254, 5.

### किहि काज तुम इह ध्रम्म लीन॥

"For what cause have you taken up this religion?"-i. 172, 6.

### यांहि सम्पूरन को थिर काजं॥

"To complete this is a work firmly resolved on."—i. 87, 6.

Shortened to **t** and oblique in sense:

### र्हि विधि भागल बत उचारिय॥

"In this fashion Anal uttered (his) speech."—i. 155.

The ordinary modern form of the oblique, as in H. इस, like the oblique of all these pronouns, appears to lead back clearly to the Skr. gen. with the older forms in E, as HiE, aile, aile, aile, aile, aile,

show the ordinary oblique of the noun, produced by a general fusion of all the oblique cases of the old inflectional system; while the plural oblique in न arises from the Skr. genitive आना, Pr. आए. Sindhi, however, has its singular oblique in न, and thus differs from all the other languages. This seems to derive its origin from the Apabhranśa forms of the singular oblique इस and इस mentioned above.

In practice, no distinction is observed between these two forms of the demonstrative and that of the third person, the demonstratives having to a great extent usurped the place of the latter, and being freely used to signify "he."

To trace the steps by which the various languages have arrived at each of the slight modifications of the general type by which they are distinguished, is a task impossible in the present stage of the inquiry. Much might, doubtless, be accounted for by the phonetic tendencies of each language, but this would not be sufficient to explain the whole. In the absence of a continuous chain of literary monuments which could be trusted to reflect faithfully the actually current speech of their respective periods, it is beyond the power of any scholar, however laborious, to work out all the steps of the problem. Experience has taught us that in India literature is never a faithful reflector of popular speech; and all that can now be done is to point out that one uniform type underlies the whole range of forms in the whole seven languages, and that this type can be traced back to the earliest stage of Aryan speech; while, at the same time, nothing more than the general type, the two leading ideas of i for the near, a and u for the far, demonstrative, can be with certainty identified.

§ 69. The relative pronoun meaning "he or she who," "that which," and requiring a correlative or answering word in the second clause of the sentence, is indicated in Sanskrit

by the type  $\mathbf{v}$ , which in Prakrit, followed by the modern languages, becomes  $\mathbf{v}$ . The scheme in the seven languages is this:—

	NOM. SING.	OBL. SING.	NOM. PL.	OBL. PL.
Hindi	जो	जिस	জ	जिन.
Panjabi	जी	जिस, जिह	जो	जिनां, जिन्हां
Sindhi	जो m., जा f.	<b>ज</b> ंहिं	वे .	जनि, जिनि.
Gujarati	ৰ্ষ	<u> ল</u>	जेस्रो	नेत्रो.
Marathi	जो m., जी f., जें n.	च्या m., जि f.	जे m., ज्या f., जी n.	च्यां.
Oriya	जेउं, जे	जाहा	जेमाने .	जाहां
Bengali	जे	जाहा	ज	जाँहाः .

Hindi has also जीन, Pr. जो उत्त, which is, like तीन, produced by the incorporation of ya:. From this source, also, springs O. बेड, in Old-Oriva sometimes written जेड, where the guttural nasal z is the first step in the weakening of u to anunasika. The relative portion of this compound word takes in O., as it does also when uncompounded in O. B. and G., the Magadhi nom. sing. form 3, which makes it identical in form with the nom. pl. The oblique forms in all the languages are from the genitive sing. Skr. यस, Pr. जंस, with weakening of the vowel, and Skr. gen. pl. यानां (instead of the classical Skr. येषां, which has not been preserved), Pr. आएं. Old-Hindi has its oblique singular fafe, which is more modern in type than the Modern-H. जिस; this latter, however, was probably in use contemporaneously with faft, as we have in Chand forms at and The plural in Chand takes an emphatic addition, and is बिनै: but this seems to be restricted to the instrumental. Bengali takes this plural in the shape of fare, and makes it into an honorific singular nominative.

§ 70. The correlative is based on the Skr.  $\pi$ : and is the same as the pronoun of the third person mentioned in § 67. Many of the forms were given in that section, and all the others may be made on the model of  $\pi$  by substituting  $\pi$  for  $\pi$ . Nothing further need be said about it, as it is precisely homogeneous to the relative.

Occasionally an emphatic form of these two pronouns is used formed in H. by adding a or a still, sit, but in Sindhi only is added. It will be observed that, of all the languages, S. and M. alone treat these two pronouns as pure adjectives, and give them the distinctions of gender. S. and m., at f., but in pl. only a for both genders. M., with its customary redundance of forms, has all three genders for the nominatives of both numbers, but in the oblique singular only m. and f., and in the oblique plural only one form for all three genders.

§ 71. The interrogative pronoun is just as uniform as all the others: the only difference is, that forms which, though they exist in the other pronouns, are in them kept rather in the background, here come to the fore, and displace in common speech the forms which correspond to those more frequently used in the others. Thus, in the relative and correlative, si and si are in Hindi the commoner, जीन and तीन the rarer forms; but in the interrogative, and is the ordinary form in modern use. while and is archaic, poetic and dialectic. The neuter, also, has a form of its own, whose origin ascends to a different Sanskrit word from को. The type of the interrogative is everywhere a, just as sa is of the relative. The table of forms is given, because, although exactly corresponding to that of the relative, yet the exhibition of the whole set helps the eye to make the comparison, and brings out more clearly the symmetry of the pronominal forms, which is a striking and beautiful feature of this group of languages.

	NOM. SING.	OBL. SING.	NOM. PL.	OBL. PL.
Hindi	कीन	किस	कौन	किन, किन्ह.
Panjabi	कीय	किस, किह	कीए	विनां, विन्हां.
Sindhi	कोइ n.	वाहिं		कनि.
Gujarati	$\{$ को $oldsymbol{m}, \ oldsymbol{a} \ oldsymbol{m}, \ oldsymbol{a} \ oldsymbol{f}, \ oldsymbol{a} \ oldsymbol{f}, \ oldsymbol{a} \ oldsymbol{m}, \ oldsymbol{m} \}$	के, को {	क्या m., कई f.) क्यां n.	के, को.
Marathi	कोग	कोणा	कोए	कोणां-
·Oriya .	केउं, के	काहा	केमान	कार्हा.
Bengali	वे	काहा	वे	काँहाः

Sindhi has कोड़ only in the neuter; its plural nom. is not used; and in commoner use both in Sindhi and Panjabi is the form S. केइ m., कर् f., P. कहडा m., र्ह f., obl. कहडे, which is from Skr. कोड्य, Pr. करिसो and later करिहो, which in S. merely drops the ह; while in P. the र+ह have been moulded into ह, and then again split into ह+ड. Old-Hindi preserves the symmetry by using nom. को, obl. किह, as—

### को किहि वंसहि जपच्यी॥

"Who (am I), from what race sprung?"-i. 147.

Here, again, comes in the Skr. gen. करा, Pr. कसा, as in the relative. Gujarati has singularly introduced a hiatus, writing कयो instead of को; this seems to have arisen from a form कहो, which will be explained hereafter. The forms with पुन: added are here more widely used than in the other pronouns, perhaps because of the somewhat greater emphasis involved in asking a question. In all languages "who?" more often stands alone, almost like an interjection, than any other pronoun, and thus the Pr. उत्त, which has sunk into an enclitic, would be more frequently used with the interrogative.

The neuter stands alone in all but a few exceptional instances, and is as follows:

Old-H. कहा, oblique काहे. Modern-H. क्या, obl. काहे and किस; and the same in the plural.

- P. की, किया, obl. कास, काह.
- S. कोड.
- M. काय, obl. क्या, कसा, pl. the same, but obl. क्या, with the usual anunasika of plurals.
  - O. क्या, कि. In Balasore किस (kisŏ).
  - B. वि. विसे.

The origin of all these forms is to be sought for in the Skr. किं, an old neuter. B. किंसे, H. किंस, P. कांस, refer back to the genitive, which in Pr. is कस्स, sometimes also कींस. H. कहा is apparently a conflation of the oblique forms g. कह, abl. कह, loc. कहिं, and का the ordinary modern form, from supplying the hiatus of a form कमा by य instead of ह, as in Gujarati. Prakrit has also an oblique कांग्रे, whence Sindhi कहो by softening of â to e; from its retaining the â in the first syllable the Hindi oblique कांग्रे exhibits a form which postulates a Prakrit काहिं.

Gujarati has an unique interrogative  $\mathfrak{M}$  m.,  $\mathfrak{M}$  f.,  $\mathfrak{M}$  n., the only approach to which in the rest of the group is S.  $\mathfrak{M}$ , used only as a neuter. Vans Taylor (p. 73) refers us back to the acknowledged alliance between  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  in the leading Aryan languages, as in Skr.  $\mathfrak{M}$ , Greek  $\kappa \acute{\nu}\omega \nu$ . But it is important to observe that these greater phonetic laws work only in the sphere of the larger groups of the Indo-European family: within the limits of any one particular group, their working, if it exists at all, is very feeble and restricted. It is beyond a doubt that Sanskrit exhibits words containing  $\mathfrak{M}$  which are weaker forms of an older word with  $\mathfrak{M}$ , the stronger form of which has been preserved by the cognate languages. But when once the parent language of the Indian group has preserved and stereotyped a form in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , it is not found that its descendants modify this  $\mathfrak{M}$ 

The origin of wir is to be found in the earlier form ani, a neuter signifying "what," from which have been constructed a masculine and feminine वारो and वारी, which are also used as an indefinite pronoun, and, as will be seen presently, the only form in use for the oblique plural of the indefinite is any. This form would lead us back to Pr. aiftel, Skr. alen, from which, as we have seen above, Sindhi and Panjabi draw their interrogative. and in Old-Hindi the forms are again and aan, the latter produced by elision of the short i. With this last-named form our Old-Gujarati and is identical, for I is not in this language necessarily the palatal sibilant, but rather the distinction between it and Having been obliterated, and only one sibilant sound remaining, the letter used to express that sound is sometimes &, and sometimes &, according to the habit or caprice of the scribe; so that we might here also compare the oblique in M. कसा or कशा, instead of treating it as from a Skr. gen. बस, Pr. बस, which would not account for the final long d. Sindhi to is probably also of like origin, to being often interchanged with स in all the modern languages; or कसा might also have lost the a of its first syllable, and become at, whence the transition to ti is in accordance with the usual law,

It is more probable, however, that both in S. and G. there has been loss of initial  $\frac{1}{100}$ , as it is not in harmony with the general phonetic laws of this group to suppose the creation of  $\frac{1}{100}$  from  $\frac{1}{100}$  at so late a date as we must assume, to bring it posterior in point of time to the tenth-century  $\frac{1}{100}$ .

It may here also be noticed in passing that the derivation of the forms कीन, जीन, etc., from को जन, जो जन, suggested by Taylor, is erroneous. These forms are written in the earlier stages of Hindi जनन and जजन, where the labial vowel and semivowel are indicative of the उ of पुन:. The compound phrase को उस्र is not a conjecture, but is constantly found in Prakrit (Lassen, § 32).

§ 72. The indefinite pronoun deviates from the homogeneous type of the other pronouns, and this deviation is explained by its origin. The forms may be given first, and analyzed afterwards. The typical letter is a, as in the interrogative; and the neuter, as in that pronoun, stands apart from the masculine and feminine. The word now given means "any one."

NOM. SING.	OBL. SING.	NOM. PL.	OBL. PL
Hindi कोई	<b>किसी</b>	कोई, कई	किन्हीं.
Panjabi कोई	किसे, किसी	विद	विन्हां.
Sindhi $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n} m., \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n} f., \\ \mathbf{a} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{\hat{x}} m., \mathbf{a} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{\hat{x}} f. \end{array} \right\}$		वे	कंगि,
Gujarati कोर्	कोइ	कंड्	वाशा.
Marathi कोणी, कोगही	कोणा, कोएहा	कोसि	कोएहां
Oriya { किउणसि, कीणसि, किहि	काहारि		
Bengali केह	वाहा		

These forms arise from the compound Skr. ans (a) w(); the enclitic particle will in Prakrit slides into composition with the pronoun, and is written in one word and, from which, by

elision of the  $\mathbf{q}$ , come the H. P. S. and G. forms. S. often, however, rejects the final  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$ , which is lengthened in the other languages to preserve it, and because it is emphatic. M. has merely added the emphatic particle  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$ , with  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$  lengthened to  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$  and the  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$  frequently dropped, to the modern form of the interrogative, so that we have a compound of three parts,  $\mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}} = \mathbf{\hat{t}}$ . In Oriya, the final syllable  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$  appears to be shortened from the demonstrative  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$ ; so that here, also, there is a triple compound  $\mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}} + \mathbf{\hat{t}}$ , literally "who forsooth is he?" O.  $\mathbf{\hat{t}} = \mathbf{\hat{t}} =$ 

Old-Hindi has कोज and oblique काइ, where the व or व of all has softened to the labial vowel, and the final short i has been dropped, as is usual in Hindi. The oblique forms किसि or किसी show the oblique of the interrogative with the ई, whose origin has been forgotten, so that it is regarded as a mere emphatic particle. Marathi anter arises apparently from the fact that  $\hat{a}$  is regarded as the general type of the oblique, and has been added without reflection. In ordinary current speech it is customary to add va "one" to this pronoun, so that they say कोएहा एकाचा "of some one;" and the same practice prevails in the nom. pl. of H.; thus are van or ava some "aliqui, aliquæ." The Oriya काहारि is a curious instance of how these forms arise: anert is the genitive of the interrogative, and by adding the emphatic इ or हि to it we get वाहारहि or वाहारि, which should be used as a genitive only, so that the oblique would be are; but the genitive form has been extended to all the cases, and they now say angift a "to some one," angift 31% "from some one," and so on.

The plurals of this pronoun are seldom used in most of the languages, and in B. and O. there are no plurals at all, the singular doing duty for them.

The neuter form "something" is expressed as follows:

Old-H. काकू, Modern-H. कुछ; oblique, Old-H. काइ, Mod.-H. किसू, but the oblique form is rarely used colloquially.

- P. कुछ, rarely if ever inflected.
- S. and, not inflected at all.
- **G.** कंड्
- M. **南i**莧 , do.
- 0. निक्
- **B. 有**段
- H. P. O. and B. have a common origin from the Skr. किचित, the final त of which is dropped in Prakrit किचि. The archaic and poetical H. कह seems to point back to a form in which the enclitic चित् had been affixed to a neuter कत, instead of कि, thus making कचित; from कह, the u has leapt backward into the first syllable, making the modern कह. The change from च to æ is hardly to be accounted for by absorption of the anuswara, and must remain unexplained. The three western languages, S. G. and M., do not seem to have any connexion with किचित; but the last two use oblique forms of the masculine indefinite, and the first merely a lengthened form of कि with loss of the anuswara.
- § 73. The reciprocal or reflexive pronoun "self" is in most of the languages a derivative of the Skr. when "soul, self." As a substantive it means "self," and as an adjective "own." The former is
- H. आप्, P. आप्, S. पाण, G. आप, M. आपण, O. आपे, आपण, B. आपनि.

The principle of phonetic change which lies at the root of these modern forms was indicated in Vol. I. p. 330. The process began in Prakrit, as we have wur, with, side by side

with wan, etc. This latter form has not been adopted by the moderns.

Hindi, followed by P. and G., uses the simple nominative, rejecting one of the two consonants, and lengthening the preceding vowel in accordance with the regular practice in the treatment of the nexus. B., as in इति, उति, जिनि, etc., has made for itself a nom. singular out of a plural form. The other languages retain a final or or, which rests on the Pr. form अप्यास (Var. v. 45), which by his next following sûtra Vararuchi extends to all other Sanskrit nouns in न, as यवन = जुनाय, etc. Sindhi adheres most closely to the Prakrit, merely rejecting the first syllable; but the other languages, while they retain the initial w, lengthen it to w, and shorten the second syllable. I am disposed to think that this alteration of quantity is only apparent, and that the forms आपस, etc., are really derived from the oblique cases of the Pr. singular, as instrumental अपना, gen. अपना. It is observable in the modern Romance languages that where the type of the oblique differs from that of the nom., the modern language adopts the former for all cases; thus we see in Italian monte, where the Latin nom. is mons, and the t occurs only in the oblique cases. The latter being used five times to the one of the nominative, naturally acquires the predominance in the vulgar usage.

Hindi, when used as an honorific substitute for the pronoun of the second person, so also in P. and the other languages; but it has a special genitive used adjectivally and with a possessive sense, meaning "my, thy, his own," according to the person in which it is used. This therefore becomes almost a separate pronoun, and has the following forms:

H. अपना m., अपनी f., अपने obl. sing., अपनी obl. pl. (raro आपना, etc.).

P. श्रापणा, श्यी, श्यी, श्यीश्रां.

S. पांह जो, जी, etc.

- G. आपगो, श्वी, श्वां, etc.
- M. श्रापला, ॰ली, ॰लें, etc.
- O. श्रापणार् (rare, निजरं is usual).
- B. आपन.

There is also a curious word आपस allied to this stem, and used in H. P. and O. always with the affix of the locative, as H. आपस में, O. आपसर "among our-, your-, them-selves," as आपस में बांटो "divide it among yourselves." No origin for this form can be found in any of the Prakrits, nor can the form itself be considered as a locative apart from the caseaffix. It is the case-affix which contains the locative idea, and when we remember that में is from मध्य "in the midst," we shall see that the complement of the idea involved in the whole phrase is a genitive "in the midst of selves." I therefore hazard the conjecture that this form is like रूस, उस, जिस, and the rest, a genitive irregularly formed from a vulgar Prakrit आपस, which would postulate a Skr. आयस. Now though no such form exists, or ever did exist, yet we have seen in the case of the noun, that the varied inflections of the numerous Sanskrit

nominal bases have all been rejected, and the declension of the a-stem taken by the moderns as the type of all nouns; it would not therefore be at all out of keeping with analogy, but rather much in keeping with it, for the modern languages to have in this instance also taken no heed of the peculiar forms of the declension of man, but to have treated it like an ordinary noun of the a-stem, and made a genitive in m, as in all the parallel cases. In my own mind there is not the shadow of a doubt that this is really what has happened, and this form may be set down as one more illustration of the admitted fact that a type in common use is often extended to all classes, superseding entirely the minor types, and securing simplicity and uniformity in the place of a multitude of divergent forms.

§ 74. Although the pronouns, other than personal, above enumerated, are all, to a certain extent, adjectival, yet in several of the languages they have lost those variations for gender which mark the true adjective; II. 31, for instance, is used both with mase, and fem. nouns, only in M. and S. are they treated as adjectives with separate gender forms. There are, however, certain pronouns which are adjectival in all the languages, and exhibit in their initial letters the types of all the above classes. They express quantity and quality, considered demonstratively, interrogatively, relatively, and so on. As a type of them, the interrogative is here given, the whole series being exhibited in full in a future section.

"How much?" quantus? "Of what kind?" qualis?
Hindi fann, of att, of

## Marathi वितवा, ॰को, ॰कें कसा, ॰सी, ॰सें । Marwari वितरो, ॰री विस्थी, ॰सी

O. and B. having lost all gender, do not inflect the adjective; they use the following: O. कत "how much," B. कत. The former series, that indicating quantity, is based upon Skr. कियन (m.), कियते (f.), कियत (n.), which in Pr. becomes केन्सिंख (Var. iv. 25), also केह्ह. The older Hindi form is केता, which is an immediate descendant of the Pr. form. Thus Chand—

## किते नर रिष राई॥ अए सुर दानव ऋग्गै॥

"How many men and Rajarshis have there been, (and) gods and demons of you?"—i. 162.

The addition of the affix  $\overline{a}$  in Bengali indicates affirmation, and the affix seems to have been at first distinct; thus in Old-Bengali  $\overline{a}$  regularly follows  $\overline{a}$  (kötö), as in Bidyâpati:

## कत ना जतने कृत चद्भूत बिहि बहि तीरे देन ॥

"With how much labour, how many wonders has fate brought to thee!"
—Pad. 1352.

### कत ना जतने कत ना गोपसि हिमे गिरि ना खुकाय ॥

"With how much labour, how much soever thou coverest it, the snowy mountain will not be hidden."—Pad. ib.

In fact, in the eastern area and in Orissa wand was are not negatives only, but affirmatives also, the sense depending on the sentence or on the tone of the voice; thus in Oriya:

## मो घरकु जिबु ना जिबि

"Will you go to my house? Yes, I will go."

ना is probably in H. a diminutive (§ 24), and with this agrees the S. and the Marwari कितरो, where रो is also a diminutive ending, as is also the को of G. केटबो, and so perhaps is का of the Marathi. This क is a common addition

to the pronouns of this series; thus we often hear in eastern H. [कतेक, and in M. [कतोक; it is, I think, erroneous to connect this a, as some grammarians do, with va, supposing vato be used as unos, unas, in Spanish, in the sense of "some." The vappears to be the sign of the nom. pl., and does not belong to the affix, which latter consists simply of a, a longer form of which is a, and a longer still aft, from which a or as is made by omitting the . Both forms occur with the pronoun and in one passage of Chand—

### जाकी देह न होय। ताहि कैसे के गहिये॥

"Of whom there is no body, him how can one seize?"—i. 161.

And

## जिहां दिष्ट न भिदै। ताहां कैसे करि सुद्दी॥

"Where sight does not pierce, there how can one see?"-ib.

कसे के and कसे करि literally mean "what kind (of work) having done," and thus, too, कितेक would mean किते करि "how many (works) having done." In the form केएक "some," however, the latter element may be admitted to be एक, and it is often written as two words, especially in modern Urdu

The group कैसा, etc., comes from Pr. केरिसा, Skr. कोट्य, as has been already stated. P. and S., more suo, change स into इ, making के इ and shortened कि इ, to which S. adds the diminutive ending डो. G. केवो appears to arise from the substitution of v for h, which is characteristic of the Rajput dialects of Hindi, from which G. sprung.

There is another series meaning "how great" in some of the languages, which arises from a composition of the Pr. **बडो** (Skr. **बड**) or **बढो** "great." This is S. **बेडो**; P. **बेडा**,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr. Bühler's welcome announcement of his discovery at Jesalmer of the Vikramarka charitam, or Chronicle of the Châlukyas, leads us to hope that we shall now have some trustworthy data as to this interesting race, valuable for the early history of Gujarati.

केड; G. केवडो; M. केवडा; O. केड (indeclinable). Thus Dîna-krishna—

# काम मदे तु हो इ मत्त भोळा॥ कर मद्म ठारे या एंडे हेळा॥ कि तु जानु नाऊं ए जेंडे सर्॥ कुच जुगल तोर जेंडे गुरू॥

"Thou, drunk with the wine of love, forgetting Art, thy waist how slight (it is).

What, knowest thou not how small it (is),
Thy twin breasts how heavy?"—Rasakallol. iii. 43.

Hindi does not possess this form, saying instead and as and the like. Sindhi here, also, uses a diminutive as meaning "how small?" a form which is not in use in the other languages. The whole of these series will be seen in their correspondence with the adverbs, the generic types running through the whole with admirable regularity.

§ 75. Sindhi allies itself to Persian and Pushtu by a practice foreign to its sister tongues of suffixing pronominal signs to nouns, pronouns, and verbs, a complicated and difficult system, from which the other languages are fortunately free. These suffixes are, according to Trumpp (p. 225)—

SING. PI..

1. 相 · あ or 意.

2. द् व.

3. सि नि or न.

Into the intricate changes rendered necessary by the addition of these suffixes in the terminating vowels of nouns, etc., it is not necessary here to enter. As is undoubtedly the Pr. gen. A, and is still sounded me in Pushtu, but m in Persian; Trom Pr. A by elision of A, so also the third person A from A. In

the plural, क or इं, which appears to be used only with pronouns, is by Trumpp referred to the Pr. form अम्हो (rather अम्ह), which, however, hardly accounts for the anunâsika: a more probable origin would be from अम्हाणं, reduced to अंहां, and thus to हों and इं; the second person व recalls Pr. वो, and the third person व from इणाणं, a Pr. gen. pl. of the base इव, which we have already seen is substituted for that of the near demonstrative used as a third person.

The general rule for the method of adding these suffixes appears to be that the noun undergoes merely euphonic changes of its final vowel, but not constructive changes, and the discussion of them pertains rather to the study of the individual language than to that of comparative grammar. The Old-Hindi and Bengali, and to a certain extent also modern colloquial Oriya, exhibit the beginnings of a tendency to this system, which, happily for those who had to speak the languages, did not get beyond the first stage, namely, using the crude form of the personal pronouns, as in Chand's मो पित "my father," तो पाई "thy feet," and in Mod.-Oriya मो घर "my house." It wanted but the shortening of the vowel and the change of position to load us with forms like घरम, पितत, and the rest.

§ 76. Not only do the substantive and adjective pronouns run in perfectly analogous series, each distinguished by the typical initial consonant or vowel, but a long string of adverbs also follows this analogy. At the risk of a little repetition, it will probably be as well to group together, so that the eye can take them all in at a glance, the whole of these concurrent forms, in a series of tables.

. THE NEAR DEMONSTRATIVE—TYPE

	HINDI.	PANJABI.	SINDHI.	GUJARATI.	MARATHI.	ORIYA.	BENGALI.
Procoun, "this"	इ, यह, यिह	ito:	day the	<b>P</b>	tio	<b>Þ</b>	<b>P</b>
" "of this kind".	ऐसा	इहा, ऐसा	इहदी	एबो	असा	एमन	प्मन
" "this much".	इतमा	हतना	प्रतिरो	एटलो	इतका	E)	E
., "this big"	deest	प्रहा	पड़ो	एवडो	एवडा	<b>T</b>	deest
Adverb of time, "now" .	স		ह्याओं, etc.	<b>(Ma</b> )	(Si	त्व	एखन
" place, "here"	इहां, यहां	न सि	इति	माहो	मुद्ध	18	एखाने
" . motion, "hither"	इधर	deest	(2)	आधिगम	इ महे	<b>T G G</b>	1
" manner, "thus"	ेव: चीं:	. <b>G</b> ë.		щ	क्र	ए परि	एमन, हैन
	•	I	)emonstrativ	IVE—TYPE &			
Pronoun, "that"	ज, वह, वृह	<b>10</b>	16 15	*	deest	deest	<b>a</b>
" " of that kind "	वैसा	deest	उहडी	म्नावो	:		deest
" "that much".	उतना	उतना	म्रोतिरो	माटलो		:	
" "that big"	deest 1	deest	मोडो	म्रावडो			
Adverb, "then"		2	deest		2		•
" "there"	कहां	बुक्र	डिं	deest			म्रोखाने
" "thither"	<u> च</u> धर	deest	म्रोड		•	•	deest
" "thus"	deest	•	SK AN	आम		•	

1 The places marked "deest" are so marked because the languages under which they occur use forms belonging to some other series; thus, for "then," H. uses the form तेष् belonging to the correlative series, and has not the form जिष्, which we should expect in the far demonstrative.

III. THE RELATIVE—TYPE .

		HINDI.	PANJABI.	-INDHI.	GUJARATI.	MARATHI.	ORITA.	BENGALI
_	Pronoun, "whoever"	बो	न्	न	ता)	त्रो	धा तं,	<b>(15</b>
	" "of whatsoever kind"	जैसा	जिहा	जिहडी	जवी	जसा	जेमन	ध मन्त
	" "how much soever"	जितना	जितना	जितिरो	जेटलो	जितका	<u>ध</u> ार्ग	ग
	" "how big soever"	deest	<u>ज</u> ी	जी हो	जेवडो	जवहा	ला	deest
~	Adverb, "whenever"	जब, मह	ल	जिहा	जनारे	ज जहां हां	ता) बा	न त
	" "wherever".	<u>ज</u> हां जिल्लां	<u>बि</u> क्रे	जिति	माहा	जेघं, जिध	जंदा	जिलाने
	" "withersoever".	जिधर	deest	ল) ল)		जिन्हे	भारम	deest
	" "howsoever".	朝	वां वां	<u>जों</u>	म	ज्ञाः	ज परि	जिम्
H-1	Pronoun. "that".	The state of the s	IV. THE C ALSO US	(Also used as demonstrative)  (Also used as demonstrative)	E—TYPE A. RATIVE)	4	(h	· 伊
•			Ē	5	-	=	<b>F</b>	5
	" "of that kind".	तैसा	तिहा	तिहडी .	तेवो	तसा	समन्त	समन
	" "so much"	तितना	तितना	तितरो	तेटलो	तितका	तत	मुप
	" "so big"	decst	तेडा	त्रे जु	तेवडा	तेनहा	તો કો	deest
~	Adverb, "then"	तब, तद	jo K	निडिहीं	तेवारे	तेह्नां	त्री ब	तिखम
	" "there"	तहां	तिये	तिति	नांहां	नेधं	भेठा	सेवाने
	" "thither"	तिधर्	decst	न	तेणीगम	तिकांड	सेठक	deest
	., "in that way".	į	તું. કુ	il il	TH.	11;	भ परि	नमन

1 Rarely used, and never except in the apodosis of a centence which has the relative in its protasis.

V. THE INTERROGATIVE-TYPE 4.

		HINDI.	PANJABI.	SINDHI.	GUJARATI.	MARATHI.	ORITA.	BENGALI.
ronoun,	Pronoun, "who?"	को, कीन	मीय	नित, नोह	मीस	मीख	म नंदं,	( <del> 6</del>
2	"of what kind?"	क्रमा	िकहा	<u>किह</u> डो	मेवो	मसा	केमन	क्रमन
٠.	"how much?"	कितना	कितगा	मितिरो	नेटलो	वितवा	भीते	10 10 10
2	"how big?"	deest	मेडा	मेडो	नेवडी	मेवहा	<b>A</b>	deest
Adverb,	"when?"	वाब, वाद	मह	वाडहाँ	नेवारे	म हर्म र	<b>च</b> ि	<b>म</b> स्था
2	"where?"	कहां	नित्ये	् मिति	कांहां	केंध, कोंटे	न्नोठा	मीबा
*	"whither?"	निधर	किडर	(F)		निवाड	मोठकु	deest
2	"how?".	'ন্ধ	किक्कर, कीउं	को अ	e H	म्	किपरि	क्रमन

The reader will see by casting his eyes down any one of the columns how perfectly symmetrical all the languages are; how H. has usi, asi, asi, asi, asi, asi; and the same in all the other languages.

The adverbs will be explained in their proper place, and all the pronouns have been commented on above. The forms in use are often numerous, especially in Sindhi; thus the demonstratives ही and ह are pronounced दे and ज in Lar, or the coast district of Sindh. In Gujarati the adverb of time appears as agit. कारे, कारे, successive stages of shortening; and many other trifling dialectic variations occur; but in the above tables only one, and that the central or typical form, has been recorded, except in cases where two forms appear to be used with equal frequency, in which case both are given. Much of this redundance of form is doubtless due to the absence hitherto of any settled standard of spelling in all the languages. Native scholars have unfortunately set themselves to improve their mother-tongue by the resuscitation of Sanskrit words, instead of striving to fix the orthography of the words really in use among their contemporaries; and from this misdirected energy of theirs it has too often resulted that the language presents a disjointed heterogeneous aspect, certain parts of it, as the nouns and the nominal part of compound verbs, being highly refined classical Sanskrit; while other parts, as the inflectional and connecting particles, are rude in form and unsettled in orthography. unfortunate practice, moreover, has misled such European scholars as have taken a cursory glance at the subject into supposing that the modern languages are far more closely allied to Sanskrit than they really are; and Bengali, which, from its phonesis and organic structure, is proved to be a very poor and rustic patois, has had so many "purpurei panni" sewn on to it, that it has been regarded as the eldest daughter of Sanskrit, which has preserved, with greater fidelity than its sisters, the family type. It is in truth one of the youngest grand-daughters; and an examination of its essential features shows that it has wandered further from the original forms than any of the allied speeches.

§ 77. Besides the pronouns which have been arranged in classes above, there are certain miscellaneous pronouns which it is difficult to classify exactly under any of the heads which have Such is S. सभ "all," which is declined throughout, so is G. सर्वे, while in the other languages it is indeclinable, as H. सब, P. सभ, O. सबु, B. सब. Traces remain of this pronoun having been inflected in Old-II. संज्ञ, which seems to be a reproduction of Skr. सर्वे. S. has also an emphatic form सभोई "every one," also compounds समुको and समुकोई. These two latter are treated as compounds in S., but their equivalents are written as two distinct words in the other languages. In S. it is necessary to regard them as compounds, because HH being capable of inflection, if it were written as a separate word it would have to be inflected also. Unique, as far as I have been able to ascertain, is the curious S. adjectival pronoun Heit, or मिद्धोई m., मिद्धाई f., meaning "every," which seems to have come from Skr. मर्त्य, originally of course meaning "mortal," but subsequently shading off into the meaning of human beings in general, just as Latin homo has become French on, or as in German man has lost its distinctive meaning, and now implies merely "they," "people in general," and the like. मर्ख would become मर्यो; and by further softening, aided by the fondness of Sindhi for the i-sound, it would successively be मड्यो and The  $\xi$  is merely the emphatic increment, as is shown by the fact of the inflection taking place in the syllable which precedes it as it does in सभोई also, thus:-

Obl. sing. masc. 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\hat{\xi}} \\ \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\hat{\xi}} \end{cases}$$
 Obl. sing. fem. 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} \end{cases}$$
 Nom. pl. com. 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\hat{\xi}} \\ \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} \end{cases}$$
 Obl. pl. com. 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} \end{cases}$$
 Obl. pl. com. 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{\hat{\eta}} :$$

The ablative plural shows the form of the synthetic abl. in  $\frac{1}{2}$  with the emphatic  $\frac{1}{2}$  added, thus **atlants**. Only the obl. sing. fem. seems to show some divergence. The feminine of an adjective in o generally ends in i, and its formative or oblique in ia, as  $\frac{1}{2}$  "dry" (m.),  $\frac{1}{2}$  (f.), obl. fem.  $\frac{1}{2}$  What appears to have happened is, that the type of the oblique ia has been added to the irregular feminine in a, and the emphatic i altogether omitted.

In सभुको a double inflection occurs; thus fem. सभका, where सभ is fem. of सभ, and का fem. of को; but in the declension no further change takes place in the termination of the first number of the compound, which remains सभ throughout.

Although सब is in the languages where it occurs indeclinable, yet H. P. and G. have a declinable adjective from सबं, which takes the form m. सारा, f. सारी, obl. सार, and like S. सभु, means "the whole." P. declines सभ in the oblique, as सभनां, सभनीं; the latter is an instrumental, but is used as an oblique with the objective affix ताई in the first line of Bhai Mihr Singh's "Panjàb dâ Roshan Kissâ."

## सचा साई सभनीं ताई कुद्रति जिस खिलारी॥

"The true God who has spread out his power for all."

Similarly Panjabi makes a declinable word out of the indeclinable H. wit "other," also used as a conjunction "and."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was written for me by the author, and is in the purest Panjabi of Gujrat, a town in the most fertile portion of the Panjab, near the banks of the Chenab. The MS. is in my possession, and has never been edited or printed.

This is in Old-II. And, and sometimes and, which betrays its origin from the Skr. preposition 34. In P. होर means "other," and it is declined in the oblique pl. होरां "others," and होरनां. It has also an indeclinable form होरस, which is analogous to आपस, and has the genitive case-ending irregularly tacked on to it. In such forms as सभना and होरना, an irregular a has been inserted between the stem and the termination. This is probably due to the tenacity with which all pronouns retain archaic forms; the nom. pl. of the old declension would have been सभनि, होर्नि, and in adding the plural oblique (i.e. Prakrit gen. pl. आएं) ending, which is आं, it has been forgotten that the न of सभिन is an inflection, and a new plural base सभन has thus been formed, to which the oblique has been added. The same process has operated in II. ग्रंपनीं, where the न of ग्रंपना has similarly been incorporated into the stem. In all languages of the Indo-European family such eccentricities are found in the pronoun, and had their origin at that stage of the progress of language when the old synthetical forms were breaking down and becoming confused, and before altogether disappearing, were being used in a way which would have broken the heart of Cicero to hear. similar to such forms as सभनां is the French "leurs;" the Latin genitive mase. illorum becomes "leur"="their." and like the modern Indian genitives, has become an adjective, and, as such, has been supplied with the plural sign s, which comes from os, the termination of the Latin accusative, so that "leurs" would be translated back into illorumos! just as सभनां contains the elements of Skr. सर्वाणि + आना. So also Italians, forgetting that loro=illorum is already a genitive, prefix to it the genitive preposition, and say di loro="of them," as also da loro, "from them," and con loro, "with them," as if a Roman should have said de illorum, de ab illorum, cum illorum. Thus language plays sad tricks with ancient forms, whose meaning has ceased to be felt or understood. Not less eccentrically Hindi says

आपस में "among themselves," as if there had existed a Sanskrit phrase श्रात्मख मध्ये. Priscian in the one country, and Panini in the other, had, fortunately for their peace of mind, passed away before such processes were invented. Panjabi uses a genitive case-affix with आपस, and makes आपस दा, and an objective आपस नं, also an ablative आपस ते. When a form-. whether derived from a nominative or from an oblique formin Prakrit had once established itself in the mind of the hornyhanded Panjabi peasant, he, knowing nothing about direct or oblique forms, treated it as a stem, and added the usual caseendings to it. So true is it that man is not the master of language, but merely the instrument by which the processes of speech develope themselves according to natural laws. in fact makes nothing; nature makes, and man merely places materials in such a position that the forces of nature can work on them. Man collects the wood, applies the fire, and sets the pot on it; but the forces of nature thus brought together boil the water in the pot. So man utters sounds by means of his vocal organs, but nature controls the form which his utterances shall take; and man unconsciously works out great and deepreaching developments of speech far beyond his cognizance or comprehension.

Compound pronouns are in common use, but they present no remarkable features. The laws of their composition may be studied in the grammars of the several dialects, but do not come within the scope of a work which deals with structure only, because structurally they have nothing to be explained beyond what has already been stated when treating of them separately.

Gujarati has two pronouns peculiar to itself. One is पोते. pl. "self or selves," obl. पोता, the origin of which is by Taylor (p. 73) correctly referred to the Skr. खत:; the व of ख being hardened to प and the स dropped, the visarga becomes आ, and the ablative affix तस, having lost its special signification, has been applied to all the cases of the pronoun. The word seems

to have been formed in two halves, as it were: Ex: becoming separately Exit and Exit and Exit and Exit and Executing separately A. The word when first compounded must have been used adverbially, "by themselves" or "of itself;" and then, through the common custom of forgetfulness of its origin; the compound has been treated as a nom. pl., and regularly inflected. A regular form Exit in use, but as a distributive, and with the full form Exit added to it; thus Axi Una Exit at They went each to his own house," literally, "they his own, their own, house went."

The other pronoun is uell, a remote demonstrative "that," which is also written uell. The origin of this word is uncertain. Uell means "first," but I think this is a different word from uell. After e the Gujaratis often insert, in speaking an inorganic e, so that the two words come to be alike. My own idea is that uell is shortened from uell, and that again from uell, a secondary formation from uell "distant," just as in Panjabi we have seen "on this side," uell "on that side" (of a river, road, etc.), so that uell would literally mean "yonder," just as in the colloquial English of rural counties we hear "yond man," or "yon man," for "that man," German jener = "that."

§ 78. The language of the Gipsies in various countries of Europe, though its vocabulary is a medley of words taken from the languages of all the lands in which this strange race has sojourned, is purely Aryan in its structure; and Modern-Aryan too, being in many respects quite as far removed from the old synthetical system as any of the seven languages now under discussion. In respect of the pronouns, other than personal, it preserves the traces of its origin very clearly. Thus we have the interrogative kon "who?" káya "what?" with its oblique kas, tlso kalés, pl. oblique kalén, and the indeclinable ka "which." In addition to this, they have a more definite interrogative kavá,

which in sound and meaning is almost identical with G. केवो. It is singular that they have also the unique (so far as the Indian languages go) G. भो, Gipsy so, from which comes an ablative sostar, which, when pronounced with the accent on the first syllable sostar, means "why?" but with the accent on the last syllable sostar, "because," literally "from what." From this again comes a further interrogative savo "qualis?" parallel to karâ. The Kashmiri, with which the language of the Gipsies is most generally in accord, here diverges from it, and the connexion is closer with Gujarati than with any other of the languages. Kashmiri has युस and कुस for "who?" or "what?" oblique kas as in Gipsy, but here, as far as the meagre information accessible enlightens us, the resemblance stops, and Kashmiri runs into its usual similarity with Panjabi.

The reflexive pronoun "self" is represented by forms derived from the stem  $\frac{1}{34}$  as mase. po, fem. pi, obl. pc. An older form, which Paspati (p. 71) says is rare in the present day, is pinro m., pinri f., pinre obl. Here we see  $\frac{1}{34}$  with the loss of its initial  $\hat{a}$  and the old genitive affix ro, ri, re, shortened from koro. With this agrees the possessive of the two first personal pronouns minro, -ri, -re, "mine;" tinro-, ri-, re, "thine;" and plurals strikingly Indian amaro, -ri, -pe, "our;" tumaro, -ri, -re, "your." But the language still possesses the simple genitives mo, to, as in H. B. O.  $\frac{1}{1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{1}$ , though it differs from H. in treating them as possessives, and consequently as adjectives, and inflects them for gender and case mo m., mi f., me obl.; so also to, ti, te. In addition to the reflexive po, pi, pe, it has also pes= $\frac{1}{34}$  and "each other."

Demonstratives are aka and avaka "this," oka, oroka, "that," akarka and okorka "this here," "that there," which do not ally themselves very closely to the corresponding words in any of the Indian languages. Peculiar also are kadara m., kadayá f.; kadalá nom. pl. m., kadale f., "this," and odora "this." Perhaps we are here to suppose the operation of some influence other than Indian.

The demonstratives aka and oka form sets of parallel pronominal adverbs, just as do the demonstrative types of the Thus we have akaté okoté "here and there," Indian group. akatar, okotar, "hence," "thence." The latter of these corresponds in the manner of its formation to the Panjabi, which expresses "hence" and "thence" by adding the ablative of the old synthetic system under the form wi to the words for "here" and "there" respectively, as इत्ये "here," इत्यों "from here," "hence," उत्थे "there," उत्थों "thence." In like manner, tar is the sign of the Gipsy ablative. Thus too M. has इकाडे "hither," and the ablatival form इकडून "hence," so also तिकडे and तिकडून "thither" and "thence;" and the same method runs through all the languages, for which reason the words expressive of "motion from" have not been given in the lists above exhibited, as they are merely the ablatives of the words expressive of "rest at."

The only two authorities for the Gipsy, namely Paspati and Miklosich, which are procurable here, do not give a full series of pronouns and pronominal adverbs; and it may be conjectured that, in the rude speech of this people, they are not all to be found. Those noted, however, are in striking conformity with our Indian group.

§ 79. In concluding, amidst constant interruptions, this volume on the Noun and Pronoun, I have a few further remarks to make of a general character. Attention has already been directed in several parts of this volume to the varying nature of the seven languages in point of simplicity; but the geographical aspect of this question remains to be noticed. The most complicated of the seven languages are Marathi and Sindhi, and, as far as we know anything of it, Kashmiri. If Gujarati and Panjabi were as complicated as these three, then we might establish a regular gradation from east to west; for the Oriya and Bengali, the most eastern members of the group, are distinguished by

extreme simplicity; while the Hindi, the central language in position, is central also in this respect, being less simple than B. and O., less complicated than M. and S. It will be remembered that in the First Volume Hindi was noted as central in respect to its phonesis, leaning neither to the peculiarities of the extreme eastern dialects, such as a fondness for long vowels and the u-sound, nor to those of the western dialects, a predilection for short vowels and the i-sound. As regards the structure of the noun, the homogeneity of the western group is disturbed by P. and G. The former, with its structure only slightly less simple than Hindi, lies between the intricate Sindhi and the no less intricate Kashmiri; while the latter also, only a little more full than Hindi, intrudes between Sindhi and Marathi.

The comparatively simple structure of Panjabi, as compared with its neighbours to the north and south, is probably to be ascribed to the fact that the Panjab has been for numerous centuries the battle-ground of India, over whose plains have passed and fought Greek, Persian, Arab, Turkish, and Indian armies; from all, except perhaps the first, of whom the language has imbibed words and ideas, and has been led to reject much of its former complicated structure. Since the establishment of the Musulman on the throne of Delhi, it has been constantly ruled from the Hindi area, and so strong has been, and still is, the influence of Hindi, that the wonder is that anything should be left of a distinctly dialectic character. As it is, in the towns and more civilized portion of the country, Panjabi is fast disappearing, and will in all probability disappear entirely at no distant date.

The simplicity of Gujarati has been frequently explained in these pages by a reference to the fact that it is a dialect of Hindi, separated at an early, but not precisely assignable date, from the parent language, and thus retaining a certain amount of archaism.

§ 80. With regard to the two eastern languages, much remains for future research to make plain. In Orissa the traces of a large non-Aryan element in the population are patent to the most superficial observer, and the same may be said of Bengal; but unfortunately neither of these provinces has as yet been examined, either as to their language or ethnology, as thoroughly as could be desired. The Europeans resident in India are all busy men; no one of us comes here except to work, and as a rule to work very hard. The machinery of Government becomes more complex, and makes greater demands upon the time of members of the administration every year; so that those whose previous training would best qualify them for the task of investigation have as a rule the least leisure for it. It is not possible for scholars in Europe to conduct minute inquiries, because the materials they possess refer rather to ancient than modern India; and it is necessary that one should live in the country itself, and in daily communication with its people, in order fully to breathe the spirit of their customs and institutions, and so to get an insight into the nature of them; such as may indicate the most promising line of inquiry to follow. There are more materials for Orissa than for Bengal, because the former province was more isolated, more homogeneous, more bound up in itself, more a nation in short than Bengal for many centuries; and the records of the great idol-temple at Puri, together with others which are probably still to be found in various holes and corners of this most conservative of Indian provinces, will, when they can be got at and examined, probably yield a rich harvest of facts to the labourer in the departments of history and philology.

END OF VOL. II.